

Using single cases to understand visual processing: The magnocellular pathway

Jared Medina

Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

1 Vannuscorps, Galaburda & Caramazza (2021b) report on an individual (Davida) with a
2 developmental deficit who consistently perceived stimuli as rotated around and/or mirrored
3 across the object's primary axes. Interestingly, Davida was unimpaired under a variety of
4 conditions. Her ability to judge stimulus orientation was excellent when using touch without
5 vision, for three-dimensional objects, and for two-dimensional objects that were blurred, low
6 contrast, moving, or flickered. Her errors instead occurred for two-dimensional stimuli that were
7 sharp, high contrast, stationary and sustained. This pattern is consistent with proposals
8 suggesting a distinction between two visual pathways, a magnocellular pathway (M-pathway or
9 transient system) specialized for brief, moving, low contrast stimuli, and a parvocellular pathway
10 (P-pathway or sustained system) specialized for stationary, high spatial frequency stimuli.

11 Along with evidence from visual perceptual studies with neurologically intact individuals
12 (Breitmeyer & Ganz, 1976; Breitmeyer & Ogmen, 2000), single case studies have provided
13 additional evidence for such a distinction. A.H. (McCloskey, 2004, 2009; McCloskey & Rapp,
14 2000; McCloskey et al., 1995), a college student with a developmental deficit, mislocalized
15 stimuli as reflections across attention-centered midlines, maintaining the distance and
16 eccentricity from the midline, but not correctly representing the direction from this midline. Her
17 performance substantially improved for visual stimuli that primarily utilize the M-pathway. In
18 A.H., these reflection errors significantly decreased when stimuli were presented for 100 msec or
19 less compared to stimuli longer than 250 msec, for moving stimuli versus stationary stimuli
20 (McCloskey et al., 1995), for flickering stimuli versus static stimuli, and more versus less
21 eccentric stimuli (McCloskey, 2004). A similar pattern was also observed in the case of P.R.
22 (Pflugshaupt et al., 2007), an individual who demonstrated mirror reading and writing
23 subsequent to diffuse cerebral hypoxia. P.R.'s deficit was also attenuated under similar

24 conditions, as target saccades were more accurate for moving versus stationary stimuli, flicker
25 versus constant stimuli, and relatively short (50-100 msec) versus longer duration (≥ 200 msec)
26 stimuli.

27 Vannuscorps, Galaburda & Caramazza (2021b) provide evidence that these transient and
28 sustained channels can be selectively damaged at the level of mapping intermediate shape-
29 centered representations (ISCRs) onto higher-order (e.g., spatiotopic, body-centered) frames of
30 reference, with Davida demonstrating a deficit selective to the parvocellular, but not
31 magnocellular, pathway. Vannuscorps and colleagues note that this interpretation could be
32 challenged as “most cases of patients who suffer from orientation and/or localization disorders in
33 the context of dorsal stream lesions do not appear to show any sign of influence of visual
34 variables” (p. 18). While possible, I believe that this may be due to the opposite pattern (damage
35 to a magnocellular, but not parvocellular pathway) being more difficult to detect. If this M-
36 pathway is preferential to brief stimuli, a deficit for transient stimuli may easily go unnoticed
37 especially when compared to other impairments caused by parietal lesions (e.g., neglect).

38 We (Medina et al., 2016) reported an individual (K.G.) with a right-hemisphere lesion
39 who demonstrated a deficit that may have been specific to the magnocellular pathway. In initial
40 testing, we presented her with a standard visual confrontation task in which the experimenter
41 separates their arms and quickly moves either the left index finger, right index finger, or both
42 simultaneously. When the experimenter stood directly in front of K.G. (i.e., typical clinical
43 presentation), she was accurate at detecting and localizing stimuli presented in her ipsilesional
44 visual field. However, when doing the same task with the experimenter’s hands directly over
45 K.G.’s hands, she reported seeing the index finger of both hands move on trials in which the
46 finger moved over her ipsilesional hand - visual synchiria. Given studies that have linked

47 peripersonal visual space (the area near the body) with the magnocellular pathway (see Goodhew
48 et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2015 for reviews), we then repeated the experiment with visual stimuli
49 (red circles) that varied in duration (50-1000 msec) and whether they were presented on or off
50 of the hands. For ipsilesional stimulation, we found that her synchiric percepts were most
51 frequent (approximately 80% of trials) when visual stimuli were brief (≤ 250 msec) and presented
52 on the hands, with significantly lower rates of synchiria for longer stimuli and brief stimuli not
53 presented on the hands (approximately 25% of these trials). Given previous evidence suggesting
54 that the M-pathway is preferential to brief and peripersonal visual stimuli, we interpreted these
55 results as a deficit that was specific to the M-pathway.

56 In our communications with K.G., she never reported anything out of the ordinary in
57 daily life, which would be expected given that the deficit was quite specific (only occurring for
58 brief stimuli presented on the body). We wanted to explore questions regarding shape
59 representation by presenting K.G. with two-dimensional objects in different locations and
60 orientations, but unfortunately were unable to test her further. Given that her lesion is not
61 particularly rare, we believe that other individuals with selective deficits to the M-pathway due
62 to dorsal stream damage likely exist, and that examining them could provide evidence regarding
63 the nature of object representation in the M-pathway.

64 Second, Davida's performance provides additional support for the coordinate-system
65 orientation representation (COR) hypothesis (Gregory et al., 2011; Gregory & McCloskey, 2010;
66 McCloskey et al., 2006), with her deficit manifesting as errors in correctly representing the axis
67 correspondence and axis polarity correspondence for visual stimuli that are thought to be
68 represented by the M-pathway. One case study, B.C. (Valtonen et al., 2008), made errors that
69 were left-right mirror reflections across a vertical, external coordinate axis. Interestingly, her

70 deficit was manifest in both the visual and tactile domain, as similar errors were made when she
71 was asked to reproduce the orientation of a wooden stick explored via touch only. Given that
72 other cases with related deficits (T.M. and A.H., see McCloskey, Valtonen & Sherman, 2006 for
73 a discussion) were not tested with tactile stimuli, one interpretation provided was that reflection
74 errors such as those reported in these cases occurred at an amodal representational level. When
75 asked to report the orientation of a three-dimensional wooden arrow or letter explored only via
76 touch, Davida was perfect. While it may be possible that object orientation can be represented
77 amodally, Davida's pattern of performance suggests that coordinate orientation can be
78 represented specific to the visual system.

79 As a final point, Davida's case is an example of the importance and value of cognitive
80 neuropsychology for our understanding of visual and cognitive processing. From the careful
81 examination of a single individual, Davida's performance alone has strong implications for our
82 understanding of visual processing along multiple dimensions: potential dissociations between
83 specialized pathways for visual processing, the decompositional nature of representing object
84 location relative to external reference frames, how object axes are represented (Vannuscorps et
85 al., 2021a), dissociations between two-dimensional and three-dimensional object processing
86 (Erlikhman et al., 2018; Freud et al., 2016) and our understanding of visual systems for
87 perception and action (McCloskey, 2004). Although cognitive neuropsychological research has
88 declined in usage relative to cognitive neuroscientific techniques (Medina & Fischer-Baum,
89 2017; Shallice, 2014), this study is a testament to the power of single case studies in developing
90 our understanding of the human mind.

91

92 **References**

93 Breitmeyer, B. G., & Ganz, L. (1976). Implications of sustained and transient channels for
94 theories of visual-pattern masking, saccadic suppression, and information-processing
95 [Article]. *Psychological Review*, 83(1), 1-36. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.83.1.1>

96

97 Breitmeyer, B. G., & Ogmen, H. (2000, Nov). Recent models and findings in visual backward
98 masking: A comparison, review, and update [Review]. *Perception & Psychophysics*,
99 62(8), 1572-1595. <https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03212157>

100

101 Erlikhman, G., Caplovitz, G. P., Gurariy, G., Medina, J., & Snow, J. C. (2018). Towards a
102 unified perspective of object shape and motion processing in human dorsal cortex.
103 *Consciousness and Cognition*, 64, 106-120.

104

105 Freud, E., Plaut, D. C., & Behrmann, M. (2016). ‘What’ is happening in the dorsal visual
106 pathway. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20(10), 773-784.

107

108 Goodhew, S. C., Fogel, N., & Pratt, J. (2014, Dec). The nature of altered vision near the hands:
109 Evidence for the magnocellular enhancement account from object correspondence
110 through occlusion. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 21(6), 1452-1458.

111 <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-014-0622-5>

112

- 113 Gregory, E., Landau, B., & McCloskey, M. (2011). Representation of object orientation in
114 children: Evidence from mirror-image confusions. *Visual Cognition*, *19*(8), 1035-1062.
115
- 116 Gregory, E., & McCloskey, M. (2010). Mirror-image confusions: Implications for representation
117 and processing of object orientation. *Cognition*, *116*(1), 110-129.
118
- 119 McCloskey, M. (2004, Sep-Dec). Spatial representations and multiple-visual-systems
120 hypotheses: Evidence from a developmental deficit in visual location and orientation
121 processing. *Cortex*, *40*(4-5), 677-694. <Go to ISI>://000224671800011
122
- 123 McCloskey, M. (2009). *Visual reflections: A perceptual deficit and its implications*. OUP USA.
124
- 125 McCloskey, M., & Rapp, B. (2000, Jun). Attention-referenced visual representations: Evidence
126 from impaired visual localization. *Journal of Experimental Psychology-Human*
127 *Perception and Performance*, *26*(3), 917-933. <Go to ISI>://000087652300005
128
- 129 McCloskey, M., Rapp, B., Yantis, S., Rubin, G., Bacon, W. F., Dagnelie, G., Gordon, B.,
130 Aliminosa, D., Boatman, D. F., Badecker, W., Johnson, D. N., Tusa, R. J., & Palmer, E.
131 (1995, Mar). A Developmental Deficit in Localizing Objects from Vision. *Psychological*
132 *Science*, *6*(2), 112-117. <Go to ISI>://A1995QR66500008

- 134 McCloskey, M., Valtonen, J., & Cohen Sherman, J. (2006). Representing orientation: A
135 coordinate-system hypothesis and evidence from developmental deficits. *Cognitive*
136 *Neuropsychology*, 23(5), 680-713.
- 137
- 138 Medina, J., Drebing, D. E., Hamilton, R. H., & Coslett, H. B. (2016). Phantoms on the hands:
139 Influence of the body on brief synchiric visual percepts. *Neuropsychologia*, 82, 104-109.
- 140
- 141 Medina, J., & Fischer-Baum, S. (2017). Single-case cognitive neuropsychology in the age of big
142 data. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 34(7-8), 440-448.
- 143
- 144 Pflugshaupt, T., Nyffeler, T., von Wartburg, R., Wurtz, P., Luthi, M., Hubl, D., Gutbrod, K.,
145 Juengling, F. D., Hess, C. W., & Muri, R. M. (2007). When left becomes right and vice
146 versa: Mirrored vision after cerebral hypoxia [Article]. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(9), 2078-
147 2091. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.01.018>
- 148
- 149 Shallice, T. (2014). *The cognitive neuropsychology research paradigm: Dodo or phoenix?* 32nd
150 European Workshop on Cognitive Neuropsychology, Bressanone, Italy.
- 151
- 152 Taylor, J. E. T., Gozli, D. G., Chan, D., Huffman, G., & Pratt, J. (2015, Jan). A touchy subject:
153 Advancing the modulated visual pathways account of altered vision near the hand.
154 *Translational Neuroscience*, 6(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1515/tnsci-2015-0001>
- 155

156 Valtonen, J., Dilks, D. D., & McCloskey, M. (2008). Cognitive representation of orientation: A
157 case study. *Cortex*, *44*(9), 1171-1187.

158

159 Vannuscorps, G., Galaburda, A., & Caramazza, A. (2021a). The form of reference frames in
160 vision: The case of intermediate shape-centered representations. *Neuropsychologia*, *162*,
161 108053.

162

163 Vannuscorps, G., Galaburda, A., & Caramazza, A. (2021b). Shape-centered representations of
164 bounded regions of space mediate the perception of objects. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*,
165 1-50.

166

167