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# Delaware Education Research and Development Center <br> OF THE <br> University of Delaware <br> 2003 Public Poll: <br> Summary of Results on the Condition of Education in Delaware 

## INTRODUCTION

Each year the Delaware Education Research and Development Center (R\&D Center) of the University of Delaware conducts a telephone poll surveying citizens on their impressions regarding the condition of education in Delaware. This report summarizes the results for the statewide Public Poll conducted in the fall and winter of 2003. Highlights of key findings related to several educational issues are reported and include the following:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { I. Quality of Education } \\
\text { Grading the Schools } \\
\text { Focus on Achievement } \\
\text { Funding Needs }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { II. Students with Special Needs } \\
\text { Systemic Change }\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}\text { Classroom-Level Effects of Inclusion }\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}\text { Who SiI. Early Care and Education } \\
\text { Should Attend Full-Day Kindergarten } \\
\text { Funding Full-Day Kindergarten }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { IV. Educational Accountability } \\
\text { Expectations about No Child Left Behind } \\
\text { Impact on Schools and Children } \\
\text { Implications for Teachers }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Entrance into Higher Education\end{array}\right]\)| V. Health and Recreation |
| :---: |
| Commity-Wide Health and Recreation |
| Children's Health and Recreation |

In addition to a summary of the findings, this report includes:

- Full length copies of the Public Poll results [overall (white pages) and comparisons of parents vs. non-parents (green pages)];
- Trend analyses of the statewide poll on the condition of education in Delaware (purple pages);
- Comparisons of the 2003 poll results to national data (blue pages);
- Background information on the poll, survey design, data collection techniques, sampling error; and,
- A list of references (peach pages).


## Putting the Results in Context

The summary of results for each topic on the poll includes a section entitled "Putting it in Context." Information concerning related research, trends across polling years in Delaware, and national comparisons are included to provide a context for the poll results. While not all trend items and national comparisons are discussed in the Highlights section, the data is included in the purple and blue sections of this report.

For more information on the 2003 Public Poll, please contact the staff of the R\&D Center by email at ud-rdc@udel.edu or by phone at (302) 831-4433. The full report can also be found on the web at http://www.rdc.udel.edu

## HIGHLIGHTS OF FINDINGS

## Quality of Education

## Grading the Schools

## Poll Results

About half of Delaware citizens (53\%) felt well or very well informed about public schools in their community, with parents feeling more informed than non-parents. When asked to grade the public schools, $18 \%-20 \%$ did not believe they had enough information to give the public schools a grade. Most of those who felt informed ( $66 \%$ ) gave public elementary schools a grade of "B" or "C." Likewise, most Delawareans gave public middle schools and public high schools a grade of a "B" or "C" ( $64 \%$ and $60 \%$ respectively).

Approximately half of respondents (53\%) felt that the quality of education in Delaware was about the same as education in the rest of the nation and about one-fifth (21\%) felt that it was better.

## Putting it in Context

The grades given this year to public elementary schools and public high schools are the same as they were in 2000 (Brown, 2000). However, in 2001, the percentage of respondents that gave a grade of "B" or "C" was significantly lower for elementary schools ( $57 \%$ versus $66 \%$ ), middle schools ( $50 \%$ versus $64 \%$ ), and high schools ( $45 \%$ versus $60 \%$ ). Nationally, about half of U.S. citizens ( $48 \%$ ) gave the public schools in their community an "A" or "B." A breakdown by educational level was not available (Rose \& Gallup, 2003).

Delaware citizens' positive view of the quality of education in Delaware as compared to education throughout the nation has been increasing steadily since 1996 after an initial decline in 1997. The percentage of respondents who felt that education in Delaware is as good as that of the nation has increased from $31 \%$ in 1997 to 53\% in 2003 (Brown, 1998, Brown \& LeMahieu, 1996).

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) periodically assesses student achievement throughout the nation. The assessments of Delaware students in mathematics, reading, writing, and science conducted in 1996 and 1998 indicated that Delaware performed below the national average in these subjects, whereas the assessments conducted in 2002 and 2003 show Delaware students performing above the national average (The National Center for Education Statistics, 2003).

## Focus on Achievement

## Poll Results

While 44\% of Delawareans thought that student achievement standards in Delaware public schools were about right, $34 \%$ felt they were too low.

## Putting it in Context

In a national survey, Duffett and Johnson (2003) found $21 \%$ of parents thought that public schools generally expect kids to learn too little. Almost half of parents ( $45 \%$ ) surveyed felt most students would benefit if they were pushed harder in school. Furthermore, $82 \%$ of parents felt that having guidelines for what students should learn and know helps improve students' academic performance (Duffett \& Johnson, 2003).

## Funding Needs

## Poll Results

Respondents were divided on what should be the top priority of the legislature for funding public education in Delaware. Academics in general and specific academic subjects (mainly reading, writing, and math) were most often mentioned ( $20 \%$ ). Many other areas such as resources (13\%), hiring more teachers to reduce class size (13\%), special needs children (8\%), teachers' salaries (7\%), nonacademic subjects ( $6 \%$ ), and special in- and after-school programs (5\%) were identified by some as most in need of funding.

## Putting it in Context

In recent years, employers and professors have reported that high school graduates lack the necessary skills in reading, writing, and math. According to Duffett and Johnson (2003) nation-wide, a majority of employers felt that public school graduates had fair or poor writing (73\%), grammar and spelling (73\%), and math skills (63\%). In addition, most professors felt public school graduates had fair or poor writing (75\%), grammar and spelling (74\%), and math skills (65\%) (Duffett \& Johnson, 2003).

Class size reduction was identified as an important issue in previous Public Polls. In 1995, reduction of class size was found to be very important by $54 \%$ of Delawareans (Riedel \& LeMahieu, 1995). In the 2000 edition of the Public Poll, $80 \%$ of parents indicated that class size was very important when choosing a local school, and the following year, 2001, parents reported class size as the second most important factor (59\%) in selecting a public school after the quality of the teaching staff (79\%) (Brown, 2001, 2002).

## Students with Special Needs*

## Systemic Change

## Poll Results

Most Delawareans (69\%) were not at all familiar with the concept of Universal Design for Learning. However, the vast majority $(85 \%)$ agreed that the general education curriculum in Delaware schools should be flexible enough to meet the needs of nearly all students, including those with mild to moderate disabilities.

When asked about educating the very smartest children in Delaware, $67 \%$ of Delaware citizens felt schools should do more to challenge them. Seventy-nine percent either somewhat or greatly supported increased funding to create educational programs for this group of students.

A proposal has been made to assess all Delaware students in the $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grades to recommend one of three career tracks in high school - a general academic setting, a college preparatory setting, or a vocational education setting. This recommendation would be based on students' academic performance, interests, abilities, and skills. When Delawareans were asked how much they support this proposal, responses varied: $39 \%$ support it to a great extent, another $38 \%$ support it to some extent, and $22 \%$ support the proposal to either a small extent or do not support it at all.

## Putting it in Context

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) is a theoretical framework that guides the development and use of curricula that are flexible and supportive of all students (Rose \& Meyer, 2002). It is a teaching and assessment orientation that recognizes the diversity in today's classrooms, not a special education approach or strategy. Curricula are designed with all students in mind, so materials, methods, and assessments are usable by all. Goals, methods, assessments, and materials are selected to minimize barriers and maximize flexibility; and access to digital materials is an essential component of comprehensive UDL implementation. UDL is a cornerstone for the Delaware Department of Education State Improvement Grant and its implementation will be monitored over the next four years.

Although the Delaware Department of Education has published guidelines for meeting the educational needs of high-ability students based on those provided by the National Association for Gifted Children, schools in Delaware are not required to provide programming for gifted and talented students. In her summary of research on programs for gifted students, Willard-Holt (2003) reports that the motivation and performance of highability students declines in non-stimulating environments, and according to Tomlinson (2002), "few, if any, provisions are made in general classrooms to ensure continuing challenge for advanced learners" (p. 36).

For more than 100 years, researchers have been publishing conflicting results about the effectiveness of educational tracking that support or discredit this educational philosophy. According to Kulik (2003), research methods, student characteristics, and program characteristics all contribute to the mixed results. Additionally, research shows that no single tracking or grouping method is beneficial to the academic performance and social-emotional well-being of all students.

* Not all poll items and national comparisons were included in the highlights section of this report. For a full listing, see the white, green, and purple sections of this report.


## STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS, CONT'D

## Classroom-Level Effects of Inclusion

Poll Results
Delaware citizens were asked about the effects of inclusion on both students with and without disabilities. About one-third believe that the challenge of being in a general education classroom would promote the academic growth of a child with a disability ( $69 \%$ ), and that integrating students with mild to moderate disabilities into the general classroom would not harm the achievement of other students ( $63 \%$ ).

When asked how much of the school day students with mild or moderate disabilities should spend in a regular classroom setting, about two-thirds of Delawareans (67\%) said they should spend part of the day there. Of those respondents, approximately half ( $52 \%$ ) felt students with mild to moderate disabilities should spend about half of the day in a regular classroom and the rest were divided between more than half a day (19\%) and less than half ( $26 \%$ ). Some respondents ( $8 \%$ ) made comments about how much time students with disabilities should spend in the regular classroom. About $45 \%$ said that the appropriate time depended on either the severity of the student's disability or the classroom situation. The other $55 \%$ had philosophical reasons for their responses either for or against longer time in the regular classroom.

Delawareans were also asked about the effects of inclusion on teachers. While most citizens felt inclusion would have positive effects on children with disabilities, $50 \%$ felt that having to teach children with disabilities places an unfair burden on classroom teachers. Similarly, $52 \%$ of residents believe that most teachers are not able to work effectively with children with disabilities.

## Putting it in Context

Research examining educational programming shows varied results on what methods are most academically advantageous for children of different ability levels. Baker, Wang, and Walberg (1994) assert that "specialneeds students educated in regular classes do better academically and socially than comparable students in non-inclusive setting" (p.34) regardless of grade level and type of disability. However, based on her review of the literature, Rogers (2001) concluded that the benefits don't extend to all children: "like ability groups produce higher academic effects for gifted learners than mixedability groups" (p. 34).

Villa and Thousand (2003) state that "according to the [Individuals with Disabilities Act], removal from general education environments should occur only when a student has failed to achieve satisfactorily despite documented use of supplemental supports, aids, and services" (p. 19). They also describe how to make an inclusive education program work using systemic support, collaboration, effective classroom practices, and a universal design approach. A successful inclusion program would allow "students with disabilities to have the same access to the general curriculum and to classmates as any other student and the same opportunity for academic, social, and emotional success" (p. 23).

Currently, the requirements for coursework in the area of special education for undergraduate degrees in education at the schools that confer degrees in education vary widely. According to Lovitt (1993), many teachers are unable or unwilling to modify their instruction to meet the needs of students with disabilities in the regular classroom. This holds true in Delaware where a study of general education teachers conducted by Buell, Hallam, Gamel-McCormick, and Scheer (1999) found that educators did not feel they had the training or knowledge to teach students with disabilities nor did they have the training or knowledge to work in teams with special education teachers.

## Early Care and Education

# Who Should Attend Full-Day Kindergarten? 

## Poll Results

Approximately one-fifth (20\%) of Delawareans polled oppose establishing full-day kindergarten (FDK) programs throughout the state. The most common reasons citizens oppose the initiative were children are too young and the day is too long ( $42 \%$ ), parents' role and responsibility is important (13\%), and half-day kindergarten is enough ( $13 \%$ ). Of the $76 \%$ of Delawareans who support FDK programs throughout the state, most (93\%) felt all children should be able to attend these programs. Reasons given why all children should have access to FDK programs included equity for all ( $51 \%$ ), more time is better ( $13 \%$ ), and FDK prepares children for future academics ( $12 \%$ ). Of the $6 \%$ of residents who felt only some children should be able to attend FDK programs, most felt children with disabilities ( $33 \%$ ) or low-income families ( $20 \%$ ) should have access.

## Putting it in Context

The Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory (2002) examined the research on full-day kindergarten. Their review of the research identified several benefits not only to children, but also to parents and teachers. They reported that "questions about school readiness have led many districts to offer both full- and half-day kindergarten [HDK]. Some schools limit enrollment in full-day programs to lower-income students or students who are learning English as a second language. Other programs, recognizing that kindergarten-age children have diverse needs and abilities, open their doors to all students, but let parents choose between enrolling their children in a half- or full-day classroom."

In a recent Delaware study of FDK and HDK, Fifield and Shepperson (2004) noted, "existing research suggests that FDK benefits the learning of some children, especially those deemed academically 'at-risk,' but questions remain concerning the scope and duration of its impact" (p. 2). They also found that while the goals of FDK in Delaware address challenging issues such as racial and economic achievement gaps, literacy instruction, and atrisk students, the programs tend to be extensions, rather than strategic reconceptualizations of HDK (see also Noble \& Kedzior, 2003, for more information related to FDK in Delaware).

## Funding Full-Day Kindergarten

## Poll Results

Delawareans in favor of instituting FDK programs throughout the state were asked who should be financially responsible for them. While the most common responses were government funding ( $38 \%$ ) and the public/taxpayers ( $37 \%$ ), others felt parents should be solely responsible ( $11 \%$ ) and still others identified additional sources of funding. If there is insufficient funding for all children to attend FDK, $56 \%$ of Delaware citizens oppose choosing students using a lottery system to select which children will attend.

Putting it in Context
There is much concern over the added expense of instituting FDK programs. At this time, Delaware is one of 19 states that "(1) provide no difference in funding for full-day kindergarten and half-day kindergarten, and (2) provide kindergarten funding at a lower level than that provided for $1^{\text {st }}$ grade" (Education Commission of the States, 2004, p. 2). The Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory (2002) describes a variety of FDK funding difficulties and strategies. Some focus on the increased costs for computers, books, other materials and staffing as financial challenges. Others suggest that discontinuing midday bus service, as well as the long-term financial benefits such as a lower grade retention rate, help minimize the costs of implementing FDK programs. Finally, some fund their FDK programs using Title 1 money for lowincome and language minority students.

## EdUCATIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY*

## Expectations About No Child Left Behind

| Poll Results | Putting it in Context |
| :--- | :--- |
| When asked about the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 <br> (NCLB), only about 42\% of Delawareans polled | According to The 35th Annual Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup <br> Poll of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Public Schools <br> answered that they know a great deal or a fair amount <br> about NCLB, while 58\% answered that they knew very <br> little or nothing at all. More than half (59\%) answered <br> that they had not seen the school ratings based on the |
| Delaware Student Testing Program (DSTP) results. <br> surveyed answered that they know a great deal or a fair |  |
| Pamount about NCLB, while 76\% answered that they |  |
| both NCLB and school ratings. | know very little or nothing at all. |

* Not all poll items and national comparisons were included in the highlights section of this report. For a full listing, see the white, green, and purple sections of this report.


## EdUCATIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY, CONTD

## Impact on Schools and Students

| Poll Results |
| :--- |
| Nearly three-quarters of Delawareans (72\%) answered |
| that a single test will not provide a fair picture of whether |
| a school needs improvement. | that a single test will not provide a fair picture of whether a school needs improvement.

Most Delawareans ( $78 \%$ ) answered that they were concerned a great deal or a fair amount that testing only English and math will result in less emphasis on other subjects.

A large majority also agreed that student achievement should be measured by improvement over time or a combination of improvement over time and comparison with an absolute benchmark of student performance ( $40 \%$ answered that most or all should be based on improvement over time; $41 \%$ answered that improvement over time and an absolute benchmark should be weighted about equally).

When considering the impact of accountability on their own children, $79 \%$ of parents answered that, if they had children attending a school identified as in need of improvement, they would prefer to keep their children in the same school and have additional efforts made in that school to help them achieve.

## Putting it in Context

According to the 2003 Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll (Rose \& Gallup, 2003), 85\% of Americans believe testing on English and math alone cannot produce a fair picture of whether a school is in need of improvement. Research seems to support the public's ambivalence. Studies have shown that one test cannot fulfill different functions, such as measuring individual student achievement, measuring teacher efficacy, and assessing schoolwide performance (Goertz \& Duffy, 2003). Researchers Kane and Staiger (2001) also question the ability of standardized test scores to accurately gauge school performance. They estimate that in an average-sized elementary school, similar to the ones they studied, as much as $38 \%$ of variance in fifthgrade reading scores is due to chance factors, for example, including a few very good or very poor students.

Most Americans (80\%)surveyed in a national study believe that testing only English and math will result in less emphasis on other subjects (Rose \& Gallup, 2003). Some authors, including Meier (2002), support this belief and noted that reliance on standardized tests focusing on a few subjects result in a narrowing of the curriculum and hinders creativity in teaching and learning. Subjects being tested will expand slightly in the future, as NCLB requires that schools begin testing students in science three times between grades three and twelve by the 20072008 school year. NCLB also provides grants to support teaching of other subjects, such as American history, although it does not require testing in those subjects, either now or in the future.

Many educational researchers question the ability of standardized tests to reflect student achievement accurately. For example, Sanders and Horn (1995) note that unless tests are revised annually, "teaching to the test" can skew scores: there's no way to tell whether students fully understood the question asked and the answer they provided or simply recognized the question and responded with the answer they had practiced.

The 2003 Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll (Rose \& Gallup, 2003) notes that $74 \%$ of Americans surveyed said that if they had children attending a school in need of improvement, they would prefer to keep their children in the same school and have additional efforts made in that school to help students achieve.

## EdUCATIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY, CONT'D.

## Implications for Teachers

## Poll Results

When considering the qualifications of teachers, $92 \%$ of Delawareans indicated that teachers should be required to take a competency test in the subjects they teach.
However, if a "highly qualified teacher" is not available for every classroom, $91 \%$ favor using the most qualified teachers available, while $17 \%$ favor increasing the number of students per class and $27 \%$ favor reducing the number of courses offered.

## Putting it in Context

Answers provided by Delawareans are similar to those provided by a national sample of adults with regard to teacher competency testing, using the most qualified teachers available, and increasing class size. The 2003 Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll (Rose \& Gallup, 2003) reports that $96 \%$ of Americans surveyed answered that teachers should be required to take a statewide competency test in their subject area. Additionally, should a teacher shortage arise, $93 \%$ of Americans favor using the most qualified teachers available and $21 \%$ favor increasing the number of students per class. However, at $37 \%$, a substantially higher proportion of Americans than Delawareans would favor reducing the number of courses offered.

Currently, anyone seeking initial teacher certification in Delaware must demonstrate proficiency in reading, writing, and mathematics by earning passing scores on the PRAXIS I (pre-professional skills test for educators) or a similar standardized test, such as the SAT or GRE, for which the Delaware Department of Education has established criteria for passing. In order to receive a continuing license, a teacher must participate in professional development or mentoring activities and receive at least two out of three positive performance evaluations.

## EDUCATIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY, CONT'D.

## Entrance into Higher Education

| Poll Results | Putting it in Context |
| :---: | :---: |
| When asked their opinion about the proposed legislation that would require all Delaware public institutions of higher education to admit all Delaware high school graduates who meet the standard on the state testing program and the requirements to receive a "standard diploma," a little less than half answered that the University of Delaware and Delaware State University should be required to accept all such students ( $45 \%$ and $47 \%$, respectively). A larger percentage ( $60 \%$ ), answered that Delaware Technical and Community College should be required to accept all such students. | Delaware Technical and Community College already has open admissions; according to their Web site, everyone who can "benefit from instruction" is welcome to attend classes. Delaware State University (DSU) and the University of Delaware (UD) both use multiple indicators, including (but not limited to) high school grade point average, SAT or ACT scores, class rank, and participation in extracurricular activities, to make admissions decisions. Any requirement that public colleges and universities admit all students who earn 3s and above on their tenth grade DSTP would only affect DSU and UD. <br> There are several possible consequences of implementing a reform requiring these institutions to admit this group of students. For example, changes in and differential admissions requirements for some students, an increase in enrollment, and increases in the capacity to accommodate these students should they decide to attend, may need to be addressed if this reform is passed. <br> Finally, research has not addressed how well performance on the DSTP predicts success in college. However, most researchers have concluded that high school grade point average is a better predictor of college persistence and college grade point average than the SAT (e.g., Reynolds \& Weagley, 2003; Rothstein, 2003). |

## Health and Recreation*

## Community-Wide Health and Recreation

| Poll Results | Putting it in Context |
| :--- | :--- |
| When Delawareans were asked how satisfied they were | $\begin{array}{l}\text { In the first nationwide representative study on levels and } \\ \text { with recreational facilities available to their families in } \\ \text { their local communities, most (71\%) were satisfied to activity among children aged 9-13 years, barriers } \\ \text { some degree (slightly, somewhat, or very). Similarly, } \\ \text { to participating in physical activities, perceived by } \\ \text { parents, were described. Some barriers were perceived } \\ \text { un very) to go walking alone in the evening. }\end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { universally by parents regardless of their children's sex } \\ \text { and age. However, concerns about transportation, } \\ \text { opportunities in their area, and expense were perceived } \\ \text { barriers reported more often by certain sub-groups of }\end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Hispanic parents (Centers for Disease Control and } \\ \text { Prevention, 2003). The same study showed that parents } \\ \text { were more concerned about neighborhood safety for their } \\ \text { daughters than they were for their sons. }\end{array}$ |
|  |  |
| A 2001 nationwide survey showed that a full 20\% of |  |$\}$

* A set of questions directly related to the Get up and Do Something exercise initiative in Delaware is included in the white and blue sections of the poll.


## Children's Health and Recreation

## Poll Results

Delawareans were united on some but not all schoolbased health issues. On a scale of 1 (not at all important) to 10 (very important), $90 \%$ of residents polled rated children's participation in physical education at school between 7 and 10. However, Delawareans were rather divided on what food should be provided in school vending machines. Approximately one-third support each of the following: replacing soda, candy, and chips with healthy food choices ( $37 \%$ ), leaving existing food items and adding healthy choices ( $32 \%$ ), and removing all vending machines from schools (30\%).

About two-thirds of parents (67\%) reported talking to their children about the need for them to be physically active at least a few times a week during the month prior to being surveyed. On a scale of 1 (very inactive) to 10 (extremely active), $75 \%$ of parents rated their children's average activity level between 7 and 10 during that month.

## Putting it in Context

A recent national survey reported on school-based health issues. Most "parents ( $85 \%$ ) favor schools requiring students to take P.E. every day at every grade level" (The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2003, p. 7). However, the Center for Disease Control found that less than 10\% of elementary, middle, and high schools provide daily physical education classes year-round for all students (cited in The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2003, p. 6).

When asked about providing vending machine alternatives in schools, nearly all parents (91\%) favor replacing unhealthy vending machine food and beverages with healthy ones (The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 2003). The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (2003) also reported that "most school districts will resist policies that completely ban vending machines unless lost revenue can be made up" (p. 9).

A nationally representative study about the levels and types of physical activity among children aged 9 to 13 found that $61.5 \%$ do not participate in any organized physical activity when they are not in school, and 22.6\% do not engage in any free-time physical activity (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003). The survey also asked about parents' perceived barriers to their children's involvement in physical activity. "Overall, parents with lower incomes and education levels reported more barriers" (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2003, p. 1308).
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## DELAWARE EDUCATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

of the
University of Delaware

## Statewide Poll on the Condition of Education in Delaware <br> General 2003 Results Analysis ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{9 3 8 \text { ) }}$

I. Quality of Education in Delaware

| Question | Responses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How well informed are you about the public schools in your community, on a | Not at all informed | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very well } \\ & \text { informed } \end{aligned}$ |  | Don't know |
| scale of 1 to 4 where 1 is not at all informed and 4 is very well informed? | 18\% | 29\% | 26\% | 27\% |  | < $1 \%$ |
|  | A | B | C | D | F | Don't know |
| Students in Delaware are often given the grades A, B, C, D, or F to denote the quality of their work. What grade would you give the public elementary schools in Delaware? | 9\% | 37\% | 29\% | 6\% | 2\% | 18\% |
| What grade would you give the public middle schools in Delaware? | 5\% | 30\% | 34\% | 9\% | 3\% | 20\% |
| What grade would you give the public high schools in Delaware? | 6\% | 31\% | 29\% | 11\% | 4\% | 19\% |
|  | Worse | About the same | Better |  |  | Don't know |
| Where would you say Delaware's schools stand in relation to the nation? | 14\% | 53\% | 21\% |  |  | 12\% |
|  | Too high | About right | Too low |  |  | Don't know |
| In your opinion, are student achievement standards in the public schools in Delaware too high, about right, or too low? |  | 44\% | 35\% |  |  | 10\% |

Think about what the state legislature's top priority for funding public education in Delaware should be.
What one area in public education would you tell the legislature most needs funding?

| Response | Percent response |
| :---: | :---: |
| Academics and specific academic subjects (the top three subjects were reading and writing (6\%), math (3\%)) | 20\% |
| Resources (includes classroom resources, technology, books, and facilities) | 13\% |
| Hiring more teachers to reduce class size (includes need for more qualified teachers) | 13\% |
| Special needs children (including at-risk students and academic help) | 8\% |
| Salaries | 7\% |
| Non-academic subjects | 6\% |
| During and after-school programs (advanced coursework, vocational, extracurricular, and gifted children) | 5\% |
| Specific grade levels | 3\% |
| All areas of education | 3\% |
| Teacher training/professional development | 2\% |
| Curriculum/instruction | 2\% |
| Other | 10\% |
| Don't Know | 7\% |

## II. Special Needs Students

|  | Very familiar | Somewhat familiar | Slightly familiar | Not at all familiar | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How familiar are you with the concept of Universal Design for Learning? | 4\% | 13\% | 14\% | 69\% | < $1 \%$ |
| To what extent do you agree with the following statements: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know |
| The general education curriculum used in Delaware schools should be flexible enough to meet the needs of nearly all students, including students with mild to moderate disabilities. | 39\% | 46\% | 12\% | 3\% | 1\% |


| The challenge of being in a general education classroom would promote the academic growth of a child with a disability. | Strongly agree $14 \%$ | $\frac{\text { Agree }}{55 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { Disagree }}{\mathbf{2 2 \%}}$ | Strongly disagree $4 \%$ | $\frac{\text { Don't Know }}{4 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The integration of students with mild to moderate disabilities into the general classroom would not harm the achievement of other students. | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know |
|  | 17\% | 46\% | 28\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| Having to teach children with disabilities places an unfair burden on the majority of classroom teachers. | 13\% | 43\% | 32\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| I believe that most teachers are not able to work effectively with children with disabilities. | 12\% | 40\% | 38\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| How much of the school day should students with mild or moderate disabilities typically spend in a regular classroom setting? | All | Some | None |  | Don't know |
|  | 23\% | 67\% | 5\% |  | 4\% |
| If some of the school day: <br> Would you say they should spend more than half of the school day, about half, or less than half of the school day in a regular classroom? | More than half | About half | Less than |  | Don't know |
|  | 19\% | 52\% | 26\% |  | 3\% |

Comments made when responding to the previous question about how long students with disabilities should spend in the regular classroom. ( $\mathrm{N}=71$ )

| $\underline{\text { Response }}$ |  |  |  | Percent response |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depends on the severity of the disability. |  |  |  | 35\% |  |
| Depends on the Situation Depends on the curriculum or classroom situ | Depends on the curriculum or classroom situation. |  |  | 10\% |  |
| Deserve a chance in the general classroom. |  |  |  | 28\% |  |
| Distraction to other students and learning performance. |  |  |  | 13\% |  |
| Need to be with a specially trained teacher. |  |  |  | 13\% |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 100\% |  |
| To challenge the very smartest children, should schools in Delaware do more, about the same, or less? | More | About the same | Less |  | Don't know |
|  | $67 \%$ | 28\% | 1\% |  | 4\% |
| To what extent would you support increased funding to create educational programs for the very smartest children in Delaware? | Great extent | Some extent | Small extent | Not at all | Don't know |
|  | 26\% | 53\% | 11\% | 8\% | 1\% |
| A proposal has been made to assess all students in grades 7 and 8 in Delaware to recommend one of three career tracks in high school - a general academic setting, a college preparatory setting, or a vocational education setting. This recommendation will be based on academic performance, interests, abilities, and skills. To what extent do you support this proposal. | 39\% | 38\% | 11\% | 11\% | 1\% |

## III. Early Care and Education

| There is currently some discussion in Delaware about establishing full-day | $\frac{\text { Favor }}{\mathbf{~ O p p o s e}}$ | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kindergarten programs throughout the state. Would you favor or oppose such |  |  |
| programs? |  |  |


|  | All | Some specific <br> groups | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There is also discussion about which children would attend the full-day <br> kindergarten programs. In your opinion, should all children be able to attend <br> the full-day kindergarten programs, or should only some specific groups be <br> able to attend, like disadvantaged children or children from other groups? | $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |  |

If you oppose full-day kindergarten programs, can you tell me more about why this is your view? $(\mathrm{N}=180)$

| Response | Percent response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Children are too young and the day is too long | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |
| Parental role/responsibility is important | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| Half-day kindergarten is enough | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |
| Attention span is too short | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Transition is needed into first grade | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Not ready for full-day kindergarten | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Full-day kindergarten serves as childcare/babysitting | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| Poor use of government money | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Need time to play/have a childhood | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Full-day kindergarten should be optional | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Don't know/unclear response | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |

If you are in favor of full-day kindergarten programs for all children, can you tell me more about why this is your view? $(\mathrm{N}=670)$

| Response | Percent response |
| :---: | :---: |
| Equity for all - everyone should go | 51\% |
| The more time the better | 13\% |
| Prepares children for future academics | 12\% |
| Easier for parents (can work, provides daycare) | 10\% |
| General statements in support of FDK | 9\% |
| All should go, but it's more important for some groups | 7\% |
| Half-day kindergarten programs are insufficient | 5\% |
| Improves social skills | 3\% |
| No comment | 3\% |
| Other | 5\% |

If you are in favor of full-day kindergarten programs for only some children, can you tell me more about why this is your view? ( $\mathrm{N}=40$ )
Response
Percent response

| Response | Percent response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Disability/special needs | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |
| Affordability | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| No exclusion | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| Children's characteristics | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Minority | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Not sure/No comment | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |

Who do you think should pay for full-day kindergarten programs?

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Percent response |  |  |
| Government Funding |  |  |
| Public/Taxpayers |  |  |
| Parents in full |  |  |
| School/District |  |  |
| Parents, partial with government |  |  |
| Other |  |  |
| Same as current source |  |  |
| Unsure/Don't know how to answer |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ |  |

## IV. Educational Accountability

| How much, if anything, do you know about the No Child Left Behind Act - the federal education bill that was passed by Congress in 2001? | $\frac{\text { A great deal }}{11 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { A fair amount }}{31 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \frac{\text { Very little }}{31 \%} \\ & \hline \mathbf{3 1 \%} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nothing at all } \\ & \mathbf{2 7 \%} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't know } \\ & \mathbf{0 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent | Some extent | Small extent | Not at all | Don't know |
| To what extent do you think the federal accountability plan will lead to improvement in all of Delaware's schools? | 9\% | 46\% | 26\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| To what extent do you think the federal accountability plan will reduce the achievement gap between minority and non-minority students? | 8\% | 40\% | 28\% | 16\% | 8\% |


| To what extent do you think the federal accountability plan will decrease the <br> achievement gap between economically advantaged and economically <br> disadvantaged students? | Great extent | $\underline{\text { Some extent }}$ | Small extent | Not at all |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Consider these two ways to measure student achievement performance. One way is to examine student improvement over time and the other is by comparing student performance to an absolute benchmark. Which of the following best describes how you think these two measurement systems should be used to measure student achievement performance?

Response
Percent response

| Response |  | Percent response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| About $100 \%$ should be based on improvement over time |  |  |
| Most should be based on improvement over time |  |  |
| Improvement over time and an absolute benchmark should be weighted about equally |  |  |
| Most should be based on an absolute benchmark |  |  |
| About $100 \%$ should be based on an absolute benchmark |  |  |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |  |

The new national legislation requires that the public schools have a "highly qualified teacher" in every classroom. If the current shortage of teachers makes this requirement impossible to achieve, would you favor or oppose each of the
following alternatives in the public schools in your community?

|  | Favor | Oppose |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Increasing the number of students per class. | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ |
| Reducing the number of courses offered. | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |  |
| Using the most qualified teachers available. | $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |  |


| Legislation has been proposed to require public institutions of higher education in Delaware to admit all Delaware high school graduates who meet the standard on the state testing program and the requirements to receive a "standard diploma." | Yes | No | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Should the University of Delaware be required to accept all of these students? | 45\% | 52\% | 4\% |
| Should Delaware State University be required to accept all of these students? | 47\% | 49\% | 5\% |
| Should Delaware Technical and Community College be required to accept all of these students? | 60\% | 37\% | 3\% |
| V. Health and Recreation |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| In the past month have you seen the television commercial with the man at the sporting goods store who helps people find their "Thing" to do by logging onto getupanddosomething.org? | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Did you talk to anyone such as family, friends, or coworkers about the "Get Up and Do Something" commercial? | 18\% | 82\% | 0\% |
| As a result of seeing the commercial did you consider being more active? | 47\% | 53\% | 1\% |
| In the past month have you seen bus signs and/or billboards with the slogan "Get Up and Do Something"? | 27\% | 73\% | < $1 \%$ |


|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did you talk to anyone such as family, friends, or coworkers about the "Get Up and Do Something" bus sign or billboard? | 17\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| As a result of seeing the billboard or bus sign did you consider being more active? | 39\% | 61\% | 0\% |
| Did you log onto GETUPANDDOSOMETHING.ORG"? | 8\% | 91\% | $<1 \%$ |

Thinking about your local community, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the recreational facilities available to you and your family, such as park space, pools, tennis and basketball courts, walking trails, etc?

|  | Response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pery satisfied | Percent response |
| Somewhat satisfied | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ |
| Slightly satisfied | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ |
| Slightly dissatisfied | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Very dissatisfied | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |

Thinking about your local community, overall how safe or unsafe is it for you to go walking alone in the evening?

|  | Response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Percent response |  |
| Somewhat safe | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |
| Slightly safe | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| Slightly unsafe | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Somewhat unsafe | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Very unsafe | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |

On a scale from 1 to 10 , where 1 is not at all important and 10 is very important, in your opinion how important is children's participation in physical education at school?

| Response | Percent response |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 (not at all important) -2 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| $3-4$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| $5-6$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| $7-8$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| $9-10$ (very important) | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Schools often provide vending machines that sell soda, chocolate, candy, and potato chips. Which of the following would you most support?

| Response | Percent response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Replacing these items with healthy food choices | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| Leaving these food items, but also providing healthy food choices | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| Leaving these items as the only food choice | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Removing all vending machines from schools | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |

On a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not a problem, and 10 is a very serious problem, in your opinion how much of a health problem is obesity in the State of Delaware?


Thinking about all of your children, on a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is very inactive, and 10 is extremely active, on average how physically active have your children been during the past month?

| Response |  | Percent response |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (very inactive) - 2 |  |  | 2\% |  |
| 3-4 |  |  | 6\% |  |
| 5-6 |  |  | 16\% |  |
| 7-8 |  |  | 32\% |  |
| 9-10 (extremely active) |  |  | 43\% |  |
| Don't know |  |  | 1\% |  |
|  | $\underline{\text { Yes }}$ | No |  | Don't know |
| Where you currently live, is it possible for your children to walk to school? | 27\% | 73\% |  | $<1 \%$ |

IV. Demographics

| In what school district do you live? | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Percent }}{} \\ & \underline{\text { response }} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Percent }}{} \\ & \underline{\text { response }} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Appoquinimink | 6\% | - Polytech | < 1\% |
| - Brandywine | 12\% | - Smyrna | 3\% |
| - Christina | 17\% | - Cape Henlopen | 6\% |
| - Colonial | 7\% | - Delmar | 1\% |
| - NCC Vo-Tech | < 1\% | - Indian River | 7\% |
| - Red Clay | 15\% | - Laurel | 2\% |
| - Capital | 5\% | - Seaford | 2\% |
| - Ceasar Rodney | 5\% | - Sussex Vo-tech | 1\% |
| - Lake Forest | 2\% | - Woodbridge | 3\% |
| - Milford | 2\% | - Don't know | 6\% |



## DELAWARE EDUCATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

of the
University of Delaware

## Statewide Poll on the Condition of Education in Delaware

> Respondents with Children Attending K-12 Schools in DE ( $\mathrm{N}=422$ ) Compared to Respondents without Children Attending $K-12$ Schools in $\mathbf{D E}(\mathrm{N}=516)$ (in Parentheses)

## I. Quality of Education in Delaware

| Question | Responses |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not very well informed | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | Very well informed |  | Don't know |
| How well informed are you about the public schools in your community, on a scale of 1 to 4 where 1 is not at all informed and 4 is very well informed? | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (23 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ \text { (33\%) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ (23 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \% \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \% \\ & (0 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | A | B | C | D | F | Don't know |
| Students in Delaware are often given the grades A, B, C, D, or F to denote the quality of their work. What grade would you give the public elementary schools in Delaware? | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 3 \%} \\ & (7 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ (35 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ (30 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| What grade would you give the public middle schools in Delaware? | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ (32 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ (33 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 0 \%} \\ & (8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| What grade would you give the public high schools in Delaware? | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & (6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 0 \%} \\ (\mathbf{3 1 \%}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ (30 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (19 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Worse | About the same | Better |  |  | Don't know |
| Where would you say Delaware's schools stand in relation to the nation? | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ (54 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Too high | About right | Too low | Don't know |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your opinion, are student achievement standards in the public schools in |  | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ <br> Delaware to high, about right, or too low? | $\mathbf{( 1 1 \% )}$ |

II. Special Needs Students

|  | Very familiar | $\frac{\text { Somewhat }}{\text { familiar }}$ | Slightly familiar | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{\text { Not at all }}{\text { familiar }} \end{aligned}$ | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How familiar are you with the concept of Universal Design for Learning? | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \% \\ (68 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1 \% \\ (<\mathbf{1 \%}) \end{gathered}$ |
| To what extent do you agree with the following statements: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know |
| The general education curriculum used in Delaware schools should be flexible enough to meet the needs of nearly all students, including students with mild to moderate disabilities. | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ (47 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 \%} \\ (\mathbf{3 \%}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| The challenge of being in a general education classroom would promote the academic growth of a child with a disability. | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ (14 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ (56 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ (22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know |
| The integration of students with mild to moderate disabilities into the general classroom would not harm the achievement of other students. | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \% \\ (48 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ (28 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Having to teach children with disabilities places an unfair burden on the majority of classroom teachers. | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (13 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \\ (44 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 5 \%} \\ (\mathbf{3 1 \%}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 \%} \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| I believe that most teachers are not able to work effectively with children with disabilities. | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ (41 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


| How much of the school day should students with mild or moderate disabilities typically spend in a regular classroom setting? | All | Some | None |  | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ (24 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ (66 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 \%} \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Would you say they should spend more than half of the school day, about half, or less than half of the school day in a regular classroom? | More than half | About half | Less than half |  | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ (18 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ (54 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ (26 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 \%} \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| To challenge the very smartest children, should schools in Delaware do more, about the same, or less? | More | About the same | Less |  | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ (68 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| To what extent would you support increased funding to create educational programs for the very smartest children in Delaware? | Great extent | Some extent | Small extent | Not at all | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ (54 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| A proposal has been made to assess all students in grades 7 and 8 in Delaware to recommend one of three career tracks in high school - a general academic setting, a college preparatory setting, or a vocational education setting. This recommendation will be based on academic performance, interests, abilities, and skills. To what extent do you support this proposal. | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ (40 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |

## III. Early Care and Education

| There is currently some discussion in Delaware about establishing full-day kindergarten programs throughout the state. Would you favor or oppose such programs? | Favor | Oppose | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ (75 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | All | Some specific groups | Don't know |
| There is also discussion about which children would attend the full-day kindergarten programs. In your opinion, should all children be able to attend the full-day kindergarten programs, or should only some specific groups be able to attend, like disadvantaged children or children from other groups? | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ (93 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | $\underline{\text { Favor }}$ | Oppose | Don't know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If there was not enough funding for all children to attend full-day kindergarten | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |  |
| programs, would you favor or oppose choosing students using a lottery system | $\mathbf{( 4 0 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 6 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| in which all students would have an equal chance of being selected? |  | $(\mathbf{3 \%})$ |  |

IV. Educational Accountability

|  | A great deal | A fair amount | Very little | $\frac{\text { Nothing at }}{\text { all }}$ | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How much, if anything, do you know about the No Child Left Behind Act - the federal education bill that was passed by Congress in 2001? | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ (10 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ (28 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ (32 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (\mathbf{3 0 \%}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| To what extent do you think the federal accountability plan will lead to improvement in all of Delaware's schools? | Great extent | Some extent | Small extent | Not at all | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & (9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ (45 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ (11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| To what extent do you think the federal accountability plan will reduce the achievement gap between minority and non-minority students? | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 4 \%} \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ (29 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| To what extent do you think the federal accountability plan will decrease the achievement gap between economically advantaged and economically disadvantaged students? | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 3 \%} \\ (38 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ (30 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ (15 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6\% } \\ \text { (7\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| The new national legislation requires that a public school guarantee that every student in that school pass the state proficiency test by the end of the school year 2013-2014. How likely do you think it is that this goal could be achieved in the public schools in your district? | Very likely | $\frac{\text { Somewhat }}{\text { likely }}$ | Not very likely | Not likely at all | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \% \\ (45 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ (10 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 \%} \\ (7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| This was the first year the national legislation rated the Delaware public schools using the Delaware State Testing Program results. Did you see the school ratings? | Yes | No |  |  | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ (33 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \% \\ (66 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Will provide a fair picture |  | Will NOT provide a fair picture |  | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| According to the No Child Left Behind Act, determining whether a public school is or is not in need of improvement will be based on the performance of its students on a single statewide test. In your opinion, will a single test provide a fair picture of whether or not a school needs improvement? | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ (25 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ (72 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 \%} \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assume you had a child attending a school identified as in need of improvement by the No Child Left Behind Act. Which would you prefer, to transfer your child to a school identified as NOT in need of improvement or to have additional efforts made in your child's present school to help him or her achieve? | Transfer child to a new school |  | Additio in curr | $\frac{\text { efforts }}{\text { chool }}$ | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ (79 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | A great deal | A fair amount | Not much | Not at all | Don't know |
| How much, if at all, are you concerned that relying on testing for English and math only to judge a school's performance will mean less emphasis on art, music, history, and other subjects? | $\begin{gathered} 38 \% \\ (40 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 8 \%} \\ (\mathbf{3 8 \%}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ (16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Consider these two ways to measure student achievement performance. One way is to examine student improvement over time and the other is by comparing student performance to an absolute benchmark. Which of the following best describes how you think these two measurement systems should be used to measure student achievement performance?

| Response | Percent response |
| :--- | :--- |
| About $100 \%$ should be based on improvement over time | $\mathbf{1 4 \% ( 1 3 \% )}$ |
| Most should be based on improvement over time | $\mathbf{2 6 \% ( \mathbf { 2 8 \% } )}$ |
| Improvement over time and an absolute benchmark should be weighted about equally | $\mathbf{4 5 \% ( \mathbf { 3 9 \% } )}$ |
| Most should be based on an absolute benchmark | $\mathbf{5 \% ( 4 \% )}$ |
| About $100 \%$ should be based on an absolute benchmark | $\mathbf{5 \% ( 6 \% )}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{6 \% ( \mathbf { 8 \% } )}$ |


|  | Should be tested |  | Should not be tested | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before being licensed, do you think the teachers in the public schools in your district should or should not be required to take a statewide competency test in the subjects they will teach - Would you say teachers should be tested or teachers should not be tested? | $\begin{gathered} 93 \% \\ (91 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| The new national legislation requires that the public schools have a "highly qualified teacher" in every classroom. If the current shortage of teachers makes this requirement impossible to achieve, would you favor or oppose each of the following alternatives in the public schools in your community? |  |  |  |  |
|  | Favor | Oppose |  | Don't know |
| Increasing the number of students per class. | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (19 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \% \\ (80 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 \%} \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Reducing the number of courses offered. | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ (29 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \% \\ (68 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 \%} \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Using the most qualified teachers available. | $\begin{gathered} 92 \% \\ (91 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Legislation has been proposed to require public institutions of higher education
in Delaware to admit all Delaware high school graduates who meet the standard on the state testing program and the requirements to receive a "standard diploma."

|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Should the University of Delaware be required to accept all of these students? | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ (43 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ (53 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Should Delaware State University be required to accept all of these students? | $\begin{gathered} 48 \% \\ (46 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \% \\ (50 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Should Delaware Technical and Community College be required to accept all of these students? | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ (60 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3 5 \%} \\ (\mathbf{3 8 \%}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

## V. Health and Recreation

|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In the past month have you seen the Television commercial with the man at the Sporting Goods store who helps people find their "Thing" to do by logging onto getupanddosomething.org? | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (24 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% \\ (74 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Did you talk to anyone such as family, friends, or coworkers about the "Get Up and Do Something" commercial? | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{8 0 \%} \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| As a result of seeing the commercial did you consider being more active? | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ (49 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ (51 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| In the past month have you seen bus signs and/or billboards with the slogan "Get Up and Do Something"? | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ (27 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \% \\ (72 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
| Did you talk to anyone such as family, friends, or coworkers about the "Get Up and Do Something" bus sign or billboard? | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ (17 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{8 2 \%} \\ (83 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| As a result of seeing the billboard or bus sign did you consider being more active? | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ (41 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 5 \%} \\ (59 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Did you log onto GETUPANDDOSOMETHING.ORG"? | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & (9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ (91 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Thinking about your local community, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the recreational facilities available to you and your family, such as park space, pools, tennis and basketball courts, walking trails, etc?

| Response | Percent response |
| :---: | :---: |
| Very satisfied | 22\% (28\%) |
| Somewhat satisfied | 34\% (35\%) |
| Slightly satisfied | 11\% (9\%) |
| Slightly dissatisfied | 10\% (6\%) |
| Somewhat dissatisfied | 6\% (7\%) |
| Very dissatisfied | 17\% (12\%) |
| Don't know | 1\% (3\%) |

Thinking about your local community, overall how safe or unsafe is it for you to go walking alone in the evening?

|  | Response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Very safe | Percent response |
| Somewhat safe | $\mathbf{4 3 \% ( \mathbf { 3 5 \% } )}$ |
| Slightly safe | $\mathbf{3 4 \% ( \mathbf { 3 1 \% } )}$ |
| Slightly unsafe | $\mathbf{6 \% ( 9 \% )}$ |
| Somewhat unsafe | $\mathbf{7 \% ( 1 1 \% )}$ |
| Very unsafe | $\mathbf{5 \% ( 5 \% )}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{5 \% ( 7 \% )}$ |

On a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not at all important and 10 is very important, in your opinion how important is children's participation in physical education at school?

| Response | Percent response |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 (not at all important) - 2 | 1\% (1\%) |
| 3-4 | 1\% (2\%) |
| 5-6 | 6\% (8\%) |
| 7-8 | 16\% (21\%) |
| 9-10 (very important) | 75\% (67\%) |
| Don't Know | < 1\% (0\%) |

Schools often provide vending machines that sell soda, chocolate, candy, and potato chips. Which of the following would you most support?

| Response | Percent response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Replacing these items with healthy food choices | $\mathbf{4 0 \% ( \mathbf { 3 6 \% } )}$ |
| Leaving these food items, but also providing healthy food choices | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}(\mathbf{2 9 \% )}$ |
| Leaving these items as the only food choice | $<\mathbf{1 \% ( 1 \% )}$ |
| Removing all vending machines from schools | $\mathbf{2 2 \% ( 3 3 \% )}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1 \% ( 1 \% )}$ |

On a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is not a problem, and 10 is a very serious problem, in your opinion how much of a health problem is obesity in the State of Delaware?

|  | Response |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 (not a problem) -2 | Percent response |
| $3-4$ | $\mathbf{3 \% ( 3 \% )}$ |
| $5-6$ | $\mathbf{5 \% ( 3 \% )}$ |
| $7-8$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \% ( 1 8 \% )}$ |
| $9-10$ (very serious problem) | $\mathbf{3 4 \% ( \mathbf { 3 4 \% } )}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{3 7 \% ( 4 2 \% )}$ |


| In the past month, how often have you talked to your children about the need for them to be physically active? | Everyday | A few times a week | A few times a month | Less than once a month | Never | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25\% | 42\% | 16\% | 4\% | 10\% | 3\% |

Thinking about all of your children, on a scale from 1 to 10 where 1 is very inactive, and 10 is extremely active, on average how physically active have your children been during the past month?

| Response |  |  | Percent response |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (very inactive) - 2 |  |  | 2\% |  |
| 3-4 |  |  | 6\% |  |
| 5-6 |  |  | 16\% |  |
| 7-8 |  |  | 32\% |  |
| $9-10$ (extremely active) |  |  | 43\% |  |
| Don't know |  |  | 1\% |  |
|  | Yes | No |  | Don't know |
| Where you currently live, is it possible for your children to walk to school? | 27\% | 73\% |  | < $1 \%$ |

## IV. Demographics

|  | Percent |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In what school district do you live? | $\underline{\text { response }}$ |  | response |
| - Appoquinimink | 7\% (5\%) | - Polytech | $<1 \%$ (<1\%) |
| - Brandywine | 9\% (13\%) | - Smyrna | 2\% (3\%) |
| - Christina | 19\% (16\%) | - Cape Henlopen | 6\% (6\%) |
| - Colonial | 7\% (7\%) | - Delmar | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ (<1\%) |
| - NCC Vo-Tech | < $1 \%$ (<1\%) | - Indian River | 7\% (6\%) |
| - Red Clay | 16\% (14\%) | - Laurel | 3\% (2\%) |
| - Capital | 6\% (5\%) | - Seaford | 2\% (2\%) |
| - Caesar Rodney | 5\% (5\%) | - Sussex Vo-tech | $<1 \%$ (2\%) |
| - Lake Forest | 2\% (2\%) | - Woodbridge | 3\% (2\%) |
| - Milford | 2\% (2\%) | - Don't know | 2\% (8\%) |




## Trend Analysis of the Statewide Public Poll on the Condition of Education in Delaware

(The following pages present information comparing the 2003 poll to previous years)

## I. Quality of Education in Delaware

Students in Delaware are often given the grades of $A, B, C, D$, or $F$ to denote the quality of their work. What grade would you give the public elementary schools in Delaware?


Students in Delaware are often given the grades of $A, B, C, D$, or $F$ to denote the quality of their work. What grade would you give the public middle schools in Delaware?


Students in Delaware are often given the grades of A, B, C, D, or F to denote the quality of their work. What grade would you give the public high schools in Delaware?


Where would you say Delaware's schools stand in relation to the nation?


In your opinion, are student achievement standards in the public schools in Delaware too high, about right or too low?


## Comparisons Between National Results and Statewide Public Poll Results (National results appear in parentheses)

## I. Quality of Education in Delaware

|  | A | B | C | D | F | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in Delaware are often given the grades A, B, C, D, or F to denote the quality of their work. What grade would you give the public elementary schools in Delaware? | 9\% | 37\% | 29\% | 6\% | 2\% | 18\% |
| What grade would you give the public middle schools in Delaware? | 5\% | 30\% | 34\% | 9\% | 3\% | 20\% |
| What grade would you give the public high schools in Delaware? | 6\% | 31\% | 29\% | 11\% | 4\% | 19\% |
| Students are often given the grades A, B, C, D and FAIL to denote the quality of their work. Suppose the public schools themselves, in your community, were graded in the same way. What grade would you give the public schools here - A, B, C, D, or FAIL? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2003) | (11\%) | (37\%) | (31\%) | (10\%) | (5\%) | (6\%) |


|  | Too high | About right | Too low | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your opinion, are student achievement standards in the public schools in Delaware too high, about right or too low? | 12\% | 44\% | 35\% | 10\% |
| In you opinion, are student achievement standards in the public schools in your community too high, about right, or too low? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 1999) | (6\%) | (57\%) | (33\%) | (4\%) |

## II. Special Needs Students

To challenge the very smartest children, should public schools in

More
$67 \%$

About the sam
$28 \%$

Don't know
4\%
doing?

To challenge the very smartest children, should public schools in
Illinois do more, about the same, or less than they are currently doing? (NNSP: Illinois, Center for Governmental Studies, Northern Illinois University, 1997)

| More | About the same | $\underline{\text { Less }}$ | $\frac{\text { Won't answer }}{\mathbf{( 5 8 \%})}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 6 \% )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## IV. Educational Accountability

|  | A great deal | A fair amount | Very little | Nothing at all | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How much, if anything, do you know about the No Child Left Behind Act - the federal education bill that was passed by Congress in 2001? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2003) | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ (18 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ (40 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ (36 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (<1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Very likely | Somewhat likely | Not very likely | Not likely at all | Don't know |
| The new national legislation requires that a public school guarantee that every student in that school pass the state proficiency test by the end of the school year 2013-2014. How | 18\% | 44\% | 20\% | 11\% | 6\% |
| likely do you think it is that this goal could be achieved in the public schools in your district? |  |  |  |  |  |
| The new national legislation requires that a public school | (31\%) | (49\%) | (12\%) | (6\%) | (2\%) |

guarantee that every student in that school pass the state proficiency test by the end of the school year 2013-14. How likely do you think it is that this goal could be achieved in the public schools in your community? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2002)

| According to the No Child Left Behind Act, determining whether a public school is or is not in need of improvement will be based on the performance of its students on a single statewide test. In your opinion, will a single test provide a fair picture of whether or not a school needs improvement? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2003) | Yes | No | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ (32 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ (66 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Assuming you had a child attending a school identified as in need of improvement by the No Child Left Behind Act. Which would you prefer, to transfer your child to a school identified as NOT in need of improvement or to have additional efforts made in your child's present school to help him or her achieve? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2003) | Transfer to a new | Additional efforts | Don't know |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { school } \\ & \mathbf{1 6 \%} \\ & (\mathbf{2 5 \%}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in current school } \\ \hline \mathbf{7 9 \%} \\ \mathbf{( 7 4 \% )} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Before being licensed, do you think the teachers in the public schools in your district should or should not be required to take a statewide competency test in the subjects they will teach? | Should be tested | Should not be tested | Don't know |
|  | 92\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| Before being licensed, do you think the teachers in the public schools in your community should or should not be required to take a statewide competency test in the subjects they will teach? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2002) | Yes, should | No, should not | Don't know |
|  | $(96 \%)$ | $(4 \%)$ | (0\%) |

The new national legislation requires that the public schools have a "highly qualified teacher" in every classroom. If the current shortage of teachers makes this requirement impossible to achieve, would you favor or oppose each of the following alternatives in the public schools in your community? (Phi Delta Kappa/Gallup Poll 2002)

| Increasing the number of students per class. | Favor | Oppose | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ (21 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \% \\ (78 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Reducing the number of courses offered. | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ (37 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \% \\ (61 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Using the most qualified teachers available | $\begin{gathered} 91 \% \\ (93 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (1 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

# Background, Design and Data Collection, and Sampling Error 

## Background

As one major aspect of its mission, the Delaware Education Research and Development Center (R\&D Center) serves as a "trustworthy source of information about the condition of education in the state" to Delawareans. It accomplishes this goal through three related activities. The first is gathering, organizing, and promoting access to a wide range of existing data describing education in the state. The second is by conducting analyses and studies to identify priorities, describe conditions, and anticipate courses of action and evaluate their consequences. The third is through collecting and analyzing new data on the state's schools as they relate to educational research and national views.

In keeping with this aspect of its work scope, the R\&D Center initiated a program of annual public surveys on the condition of education in Delaware that began in 1994. The original survey was developed by the R\&D Center with advice and direction from a special workgroup on statewide polls on the condition of education. That review panel included representatives of the American Association of University Women, Delaware Chief State Officers Association, Delaware Association of School Administrators, Delaware School Board Association, Delaware State Education Association, Advisory Council on Careers and Vocational Education, Delaware Department of Public Instruction, and the Education Advisor for the Governor's Office, as well as selected legislators, members of the business community, the Business/Public Education Council, and the Delaware State Board of Education.

Each year the survey is reviewed widely and revised to address timely education issues and provide information sought by various state constituents. A core of the original survey is also included and has been repeated annually since its inception in 1994. Beginning in 1996, the views of educators (teachers and administrators) were added to this program of research. The Educator Poll included many items from the Public Poll assessing the general condition of education. It is envisioned that core topics will be repeated regularly, while questions that examine public and educator attitudes and opinions regarding time-sensitive topics will be included when they are appropriate. The Public Poll is an annual survey, while the educator poll is conducted every other year.

## Design and Data Collection

From November 10, 2003 to January 31, 2004, telephone interviews were conducted with 938 citizens throughout the state. The sampling plan for the polls was scientifically developed and data were collected using random digit dialing to obtain a random sample of citizens. All analyses conducted by the R\&D Center for the Public Poll involved weighting the data from the sample to reflect the statewide population more accurately. This volume includes results for the state as a whole, and results disaggregated by respondents with and without school-age children.

Not all percentages listed in response to each item total to $100 \%$. This may be due to rounding all figures to the nearest whole percent, or because of participants refusing to answer a question. This year, no items had a refusal rate greater than $3 \%$. Other analyses that address particular questions or interests are also available, upon request.

## Sampling Error

In interpreting survey results, one should take into account that all surveys using a sample are subject to sampling error; that is, the extent to which the results may differ from what would be obtained if the entire population of Delaware citizens had been surveyed. The size of the sampling error depends largely on the number of people surveyed. The table below shows how much allowance should be made for the sampling error for this year's survey (adapted from the Polling Attitudes of Community on Education Manual, p.5-5).

|  | Amount of Sampling Error in Percentage Points <br> at the 95\% Confidence Interval Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=938$ <br> Total | $\mathrm{n}=516$ <br> Non-Parents | $\mathrm{n}=422$ <br> Parents |
| Percentage near 10\% | $2.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Percentage near 20\% | $2.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Percentage near 30\% | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Percentage near 40\% | $3.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Percentage near 50\% | $3.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Percentage near 60\% | $3.2 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Percentage near 70\% | $3.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Percentage near 80\% | $2.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Percentage near 90\% | $2.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |

The table should be used in the following way: The percentage of citizens who had seen the results of the school ratings based on national legislation was $40 \%$. Go to the row for "percentages near $40 \%$ " in the table and across to the column for $n=938$. The number at this point is $3.2 \%$, which means that the $40 \%$ obtained in the sample is subject to a sampling error of plus or minus 3.2 points. In other words, the odds are 95 in 100 that repeated samplings, using the same procedures and the same sample size, would have an
average result somewhere between $37.8 \%$ and $43.2 \%$, with the most likely value being $40 \%$. However, to look only at how parents responded to this question, follow the same procedure only use the $\mathrm{n}=422$ column as there were 422 parents in the sample. So, the percentage of parents who had seen the school ratings was $53 \%$. Go to the row for "percentages near $50 \%$ " in the table and across to the column for $\mathrm{n}=422$. The number at this point is $4.9 \%$, which means that the $53 \%$ obtained in the sample is subject to a sampling error of plus or minus 4.9 points. In other words, the odds are 95 in 100 that repeated samplings, using the same procedures and the same sample size, would have an average result somewhere between $48.1 \%$ and $57.9 \%$, with the most likely value being $53 \%$.
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