Journal of Radiological Protection



# ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

# Flowthrough of Pu-239 and Fe-55 during RNA extraction

To cite this article before publication: Lisa Manglass et al 2023 J. Radiol. Prot. in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

# Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2023 Society for Radiological Protection. Published on behalf of SRP by IOP Publishing Limited. All rights reserved.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <u>https://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc-nd/3.0</u>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

1		
2		
3	1	FLOWTHROUGH OF <sup>239</sup> PU AND <sup>55</sup> FE DURING RNA EXTRACTION
4 5		
6	2	AUTHORS
7	2	$A \cup HORS.$
8	J 1	Lisa wanglass@fmarion.edu
9	+ 5	ORCiD: 0000_0002_5707_5914
10	5	ORCID: 0000-0002-5707-5914
 10	6	Charlotte M. Vogel <sup>3</sup>
12	7	<u>charlottemvogel@gmail.com</u>
14	8	ORCiD: 0000-0002-3630-6381
15	9	Molly Wintenberg <sup>4</sup>
16	10	mwinten@g.clemson.edu
17	11	ORCiD: 0000-0002-6478-6810
18	10	
20	12	Mark A. Blenner
21	13	blenner@udel.edu
22	14	ORC1D: 0000-0001-9274-3749
23	15	Nicole E. Martinez <sup>2</sup>
24	16	<u>nmarti3@clemson.edu</u>
25 26	17	ORCiD: 0000-0002-7184-3043
20	18	
28	19	AFFILIATIONS
29	20	<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Engineering,
30	21	Francis Marion University, Florence, South Carolina, USA
31	22	
32 22	23	<sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences,
34	24	Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, USA
35	25	
36	26	<sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Sciences,
37	27	Clemson University, Clemson South Carolina USA
38	28	
39 40	29	<sup>4</sup> Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,
40	30	Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina, USA
42	31	
43	32	Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,
44	33	University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, USA
45	34	
40 47	35	
48	36	* indicates corresponding author:
49	3/	PO Box 100547
50	38 20	Florence, SC 29502-0547, USA
51	39 40	Filone. 845.001.1580
52 53	40 ∕/1	Fax. 004.030.0072
54	42	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
55		
56		
57	X	
58 50		
60		

Funding for this work was provided by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency under Award Number HDTRA1-17-0002. Abstract. Analysis of gene expression has become an important tool in understanding low-dose effect mechanisms of ionizing radiation at the cellular level. Metal binding to nucleic acids needs to be considered when interpreting these results, as some radioactive metals, particularly actinides, may produce free radicals and cause oxidative stress damage via chemical means at rates much higher than free radical formation related to their radiological properties. Bacteria exposed *in situ* to low dose rates of plutonium-239 (<sup>239</sup>Pu) and iron-55 (<sup>55</sup>Fe) were previously analyzed for gene expression. The work herein was motivated by an interest in more precisely identifying the distribution of radionuclides in these bacteria as well as the practical need to ensure appropriate transport and handling of the associated ribonucleic acid (RNA) extractions. RNA extractions were performed on bacteria growth media with and without bacteria cells (i.e., with and without RNA) at several different concentrations of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe to inform the level of specificity of the extraction membrane as well as provide insight into internal (uptake) vs external (sorption) accumulation of these radionuclides in bacteria cells. Results of the study suggest that <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe detected in RNA extraction samples during long term cell studies is the result of binding to RNA prior to the time of extraction, as opposed to flow through or binding after cell lysis, and it highlights the practical importance of nucleic acid sample characterization to radiation protection more generally. 

62 Introduction

63 Ionizing radiation effects

64 The genome of a cell, made of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), contains the full set of genetic 65 information for living organisms, with sequences that control everything from morphology to 66 function [1]. If ionizing radiation interacts with DNA, any resultant damage that is not Page 3 of 21

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

successfully repaired by the cell may cause cell death or produce a non-fatal mutation [2, 3]. In humans, excessive cell death associated with high dose-rate exposures to ionizing radiation results in tissue reactions which may occur acutely or many years after exposure, while non-fatal mutations, under the right conditions, may result in carcinogenesis [4-6]. Studies of effects in individual cells cannot be used directly to develop a dose-response relationship for carcinogenesis in humans, that belongs in the realm of epidemiology, e.g., [7], but cellular studies are important in understanding fundamental mechanisms, particularly for low doses and low dose rates [8]. Radiobiological studies indicate that a combination of events may better explain low dose radiation response, especially when there is damage to proteins, the cell membrane, and mitochondria [9]. One popular field for examining cellular response to damage is 

transcriptomics, a branch of biological study that examines response through gene expression
[1]. An impacted cell may differentially express (e.g. "turn off" or "turn on") genes coded on its

genome in response to damage or stress to open repair pathways, protect the cell, send extracellular messages, etc. Gene expression includes the transcription of ribonucleic acid (RNA)
from DNA, and differentially expressed genes are quantified by analysis of RNA produced in
populations of cells through sequencing or amplification of previously identified genes of
interest [1].

85 Fe and Pu binding to nucleic acids

In general, DNA has a strong negative charge and consists of a cyclic sugar (deoxyribose),
purine (adenine and guanine) and pyrimidine (cytosine and thymine) bases, and a phosphate
group. DNA is usually found in a double strand, where hydrogen bonds between the purine and
pyrimidine groups connect the two molecules. RNA is found in a single strand form and is

otherwise similar to DNA except that the cyclic sugar is ribose and uracil is used instead of thymine [10]. The potential for redox-active species of Fe to bind to DNA in cells has been studied because of Fe's ability to accelerate free radical reactions that cause DNA damage. Iron is known to bind to multiple sites on DNA molecules under physiologically relevant chemical conditions [11]. Both Fe(II) and Fe(III) bind to DNA at sites located on the phosphate backbone as well as the guanine base, where the strongest affinity is between Fe(III) and phosphate groups [12]. Potential binding sites for Fe on DNA also apply to RNA molecules. Additionally, binding sites on RNA for Mg related to RNA folding can also be used by Fe [13, 14]. Plutonium(IV) often follows the biochemistry associated with Fe(III), so it is expected that many binding sites available to Fe will also be available to Pu when uptake occurs in cells [15, 16]. Low-dose exposures to high energy, low-LET radiation can be achieved through external irradiation with radiation generating devices or sources, such that only radiological interactions occur without the introduction of direct chemical stressors. Studying the response of cells to low doses of alpha emissions or low-energy electrons, however, requires the introduction of radiological materials directly to the cell culture environment because of the short path length of these types of radiations. DNA and RNA are likely to bond with metal cations, with evidence in the literature of bonding to radiologically relevant metals besides Fe and Pu, such as Y, Ce, Sm, Sr, Cs, U, and Pb [10]. As we strive to better understand low-dose effect mechanisms of ionizing radiation, metal binding to DNA and RNA cannot be ignored, especially for actinides like U and Pu which may produce free radicals and cause oxidative stress damage via chemical means at rates much higher than free radical formation related to their radiological properties [17, 18]. *Relevance for radiological materials handling* 

Page 5 of 21

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

2		
3 4	112	The work presented herein was spurred by the practical need to radiologically characterize RNA
5 6 7	113	extraction samples from bacteria cultures exposed to <sup>55</sup> Fe and <sup>239</sup> Pu, because they would be
7 8 9	114	removed from a radiologically-controlled laboratory and brought to a shared, non-radiological
10 11	115	laboratory facility quality control and sequencing processes for transcriptomic analysis. To
12 13	116	ensure high quality data, DNA and RNA extraction processes are designed to be highly selective
14 15 16	117	for DNA or RNA so that the extraction product contains few impurities, though physical
17 18	118	efficiency values are not generally available due to the proprietary nature of these extraction
19 20	119	processes. Because of this selectivity, we expected little to no detectable radiological content in
21 22	120	our extraction samples. But an initial semi-quantitative analysis suggested otherwise. Thus, the
23 24 25	121	investigation was expanded and RNA extraction samples generated in our laboratory were
26 27	122	appropriately characterized so that we could develop proper transport and handling procedures.
28 29 30	123	After considering the affinity of DNA and RNA for metal cations, we postulated that the
31 32	124	radiological content of our RNA extraction samples could be used to gain insight into internal
33 34	125	(uptake) vs external (sorption) accumulation of <sup>55</sup> Fe and <sup>239</sup> Pu in our bacteria cultures. The use of
35 36 37	126	the RNA extraction radiological data for this purpose, however, depended on demonstrating
38 39	127	evidence that the <sup>55</sup> Fe and <sup>239</sup> Pu found in our samples was bound to RNA when the cell cultures
40 41	128	were being incubated and studied. It was determined that there were two other potential routes
42 43 44 45	129	for how the <sup>55</sup> Fe and <sup>239</sup> Pu could end up in the RNA extraction samples:

Process flowthrough: Because of the previously mentioned lack of physical efficiency data
 for impurity removal, it was possible that the <sup>55</sup>Fe and <sup>239</sup>Pu may end up in the RNA
 extraction samples as impurities directly from the growth media.

Binding with RNA during the extraction process: We also considered the possibility that
metals present in the growth media or released from the cell surface when the cells were
lysed as part of the extraction process could quickly bind to RNA at that point.

To determine if these two pathways better explained the quantities of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe in our RNA
extraction samples, we designed an experiment that considered process flowthrough by
completing RNA extractions on solutions containing radioactive material spikes in growth media
with no cells. We also considered metal binding with RNA during the extraction process by
using non-contaminated cell culture samples and spiking them with <sup>239</sup>Pu immediately before the
cell lysing step.

The methods applied to this study sought, primarily, to mimic previous studies in our laboratory with where *Pseudomonas putida* and *Escherichia coli* were exposed to <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe in their growth media [19, 20]. As such, sample volumes and radionuclide concentrations were selected to be relevant to our studies.

147 RNA extraction process overview

For clarity, the RNA extraction process is graphically described in in Figure 1. The RNA extraction process begins with a 100-400 µL aliquot collected from a liquid culture of bacteria, where the volume of which is based on the optical density (OD) of the culture (OD600). Solutions used to lyse the cells (which releases RNA from the cells) and preserve the RNA are added. The solution is run through a proprietary exchange column that is centrifuged. During this step, RNA and other compounds bind to the exchange column. The exchange column is then washed with various solutions to remove non-RNA content before a final wash with the goal of producing an aqueous RNA sample with few impurities. Non-RNA content is either released in the effluent of the process or remains on the exchange column and is disposed of. All RNA extraction simulations were performed using a Qiagen RNeasy kit. Extractions were performed according to manufacturer instructions.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
12
12
1.0
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
37
38
30
40
4U
41
42
43
44
45
46
-TU 47
4/
48
49
50
51
52
52
- 22 - 22
54
55
56

101 I information preparation
-------------------------------

164	Plutonium solution preparation
165	Plutonium solutions were prepared from two working solutions of <sup>239</sup> Pu in 0.01 M HCl, one
166	solution where <sup>239</sup> Pu was complexed with citrate in a ratio of 1000:1 and another without citrate
167	complexation. Based on oxidation state analysis of the stock solution, the oxidation state was
168	assumed to be primarily Pu(V) (~75%) with some Pu (IV) and Pu(VI) prior to the addition of
169	citrate. Based on oxidation state measurements of growth media spiked immediately prior to
170	inoculation, the oxidation state of Pu in treatment groups where citrate was added was found to
171	be primarily Pu(IV) (75-80%) with the remainder mostly comprised of Pu(V) [20]. The solution
172	of <sup>239</sup> Pu complexed with citrate was diluted with 0.01 M HCl to produce a working solution with
173	an activity concentration of $1.37 \times 10^1$ kBq mL <sup>-1</sup> . Using this working solution, four additional
174	solutions were produced via serial dilution (with 0.01 M HCl) with activity concentrations of
175	$1.37 \text{ kBq mL}^{-1}$ , $1.37 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kBq mL}^{-1}$ , $1.37 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kBq mL}^{-1}$ , and $1.37 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kBq mL}^{-1}$ . The dilution
176	process was also completed using the <sup>239</sup> Pu solution that was not complexed with citrate. There
177	were 10 total <sup>239</sup> Pu solutions used as <sup>239</sup> Pu -spiked process blanks: 5 complexed with citrate and
178	5 without. The activity of solutions used for each experiment conducted is summarized in Table
179	1.

Table 1 Summary of the Radiological Solution Activity Concentrations Used 

Experiment		Activity C	oncentration	ıs (kBq mL <sup>-1</sup>	()
RNA extraction without cells					
Process Blank <sup>239</sup> Pu	$1.37 \times 10^{1}$	1.37	$1.37 \times 10^{-1}$	1.37×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.37×10 <sup>-3</sup>
Process Blank <sup>239</sup> Pu with citrate	$1.37 \times 10^{1}$	1.37	1.37×10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.37×10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.37×10 <sup>-3</sup>
Process Blank <sup>55</sup> FeCl <sub>3</sub>	$1.81 \times 10^{4}$	1.81×10 <sup>3</sup>	$1.81 \times 10^{2}$	$1.81 \times 10^{1}$	1.81
RNA extraction with radionuclide s <sub>l</sub>	oike after ce	ell lysis			
<i>P. putida</i> and <sup>239</sup> Pu		1.37	1.37×10 <sup>-1</sup>	$1.37 \times 10^{-2}$	
<i>P. putida</i> and <sup>239</sup> Pu with citrate		1.37	1.37×10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.37×10 <sup>-2</sup>	

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

#### *Iron-55 solution preparation*

Process blanks for <sup>55</sup>Fe were made from a stock solution of <sup>55</sup>FeCl<sub>3</sub>. An aliquot of the stock solution was diluted to a concentration of  $1.81 \times 10^4$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup> in approximately 0.01 M HCl. Four additional working solutions were prepared as process blanks via serial dilution (with 0.01 M HCl) with concentrations of  $1.81 \times 10^3$  kBq mL<sup>-1</sup>,  $1.81 \times 10^2$  kBq mL<sup>-1</sup>,  $1.81 \times 10^1$  kBq mL<sup>-1</sup>, and 1.81 kBq mL<sup>-1</sup>. The process resulted in 5 total <sup>55</sup>Fe blanks with different concentrations that were used (Table 1). 

### Sample preparation for <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe blanks

The RNA extraction process was first completed using solution blanks to examine flow-through of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe from the extraction process without the presence of cells. Activity concentration for both <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe solutions were based on laboratory experiments conducted in our laboratory with different microorganisms [19, 20]. The middle concentration of the 5 concentrations used for each radionuclide was chosen to reflect the approximate activity concentration of bacteria solutions used in previous experiments  $(1.37 \times 10^{1} \text{ kBg mL}^{-1} \text{ for }^{239}\text{Pu}$ solutions and  $1.81 \times 10^2$  kBq mL<sup>-1</sup> for <sup>55</sup>FeCl<sub>3</sub>), such that our experiment included solutions 2 orders of magnitude greater and 2 orders of magnitude fewer than the bacteria cultures studied in our laboratory. The activity concentration of each solution used was verified by Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) analysis of an aliquot of each solution. 

The RNA extraction process was completed according to manufacturer's instructions starting with the transfer of 100 µL of <sup>239</sup>Pu or <sup>55</sup>Fe solution to a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube. The process is outlined in Figure 1(b). Solutions containing <sup>239</sup>Pu, <sup>239</sup>Pu complexed with citrate, or <sup>55</sup>FeCl<sub>3</sub> were added to the ion exchange column in step 2 of the RNA extraction process (step 1 of Figure 1 was not completed for process blanks, as there were no cells used). The process was

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
,
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
11
14
15
16
10
17
18
10
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
27
28
20
29
30
31
51
32
33
55
24
34
34 35
34 35
34 35 36
34 35 36 37
34 35 36 37
34 35 36 37 38
34 35 36 37 38 39
34 35 36 37 38 39 40
34 35 36 37 38 39 40
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>42</li> <li>42</li> </ul>
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> </ul>
34 35 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>52</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> </ul>
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 50 51 52 53
<ul> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> <li>49</li> <li>50</li> <li>51</li> <li>52</li> <li>53</li> <li>54</li> </ul>
343536373839404142434445464748495051525354
343536373840414243444546474849505152535455
343536373839404142434445464748495051525355
343536373839404142434445464748505152535557
3435363738394041424344454647485051525354555758
343536373840414243444546474890515253545557

60

1

204 completed in triplicate for each concentration used. The concentrations used are summarized in 205 Table 1. Throughout the RNA extraction process, ~2 mL of liquid effluent waste was produced 206 (steps 3 and 4, **Figure 1**). The waste effluent from each extraction column was collected in a 20 207 mL LSC vial for the purpose of activity / mass balance. The final liquid effluent from the RNA 208 extraction process, which under normal circumstances would contain the RNA sample to be used 209 for bioinformation analysis, was collected in a separate 20 mL LSC vial (step 6, **Figure 1**).

# 210 Plutonium and P. putida sample preparation

211 To address the potential for radionuclides to bind to RNA as a result of the chemical changes inherent to the RNA extraction process, several control bacteria samples were spiked with <sup>239</sup>Pu 212 prior to RNA extraction. The process used for the  $^{239}$ Pu and P. putida samples is outlined in 213 214 Figure 1(c). Aliquots (150 µL) were collected from bacteria cultures grown with no radiological contaminants and prepared for RNA extraction. After the cell lysing agent was added, the 215 samples were spiked with 10 µL of the previously prepared Pu solutions with activity 216 concentrations (Table 1) of  $1.37 \text{ kBg mL}^{-1}$ ,  $1.37 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kBg mL}^{-1}$ , and  $1.37 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kBg mL}^{-1}$  of  $^{239}$ Pu 217 complexed with citrate and 1.37 kBg mL<sup>-1</sup>,  $1.37 \times 10^{-1}$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup>, and  $1.37 \times 10^{-2}$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup> of <sup>239</sup>Pu 218 219 without citrate complexation creating 6 different exposure scenarios (step 2, Figure 1). As 220 completed in the process blank investigations, the waste effluent was collected for LSC analysis (steps 3 and 4, Figure 1), in addition to the RNA extraction product (step 6, Figure 1). The mass 221 of samples was collected for mass balance and quality analysis. 222

223 Liquid Scintillation Counting

All samples were prepared for LSC with 10 mL of Optiphase HiSafe 3 (Perkin Elmer) liquid
 scintillation cocktail. Plutonium-239 samples were quantified based on activity from alpha

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

emissions assuming 100% efficiency. Iron-55 samples were quantified based on activity from
beta emissions, assuming an efficiency of 42%. All samples were corrected for variation in
volume based on their mass.

229 Sample Terminology

Two samples were produced for each RNA extraction performed. The first sample, collected in steps 3 and 4 of the process as illustrated in **Figure 1**, is referred to as an effluent sample. The second sample, collected in step 6 of the process as illustrated in **Figure 1**, is referred to an RNA extraction sample. While most of the samples considered in this work were blanks, processed exclusively to examine the flow-through of radionuclides, and thus contain little to no RNA, this terminology will be used for all samples as they were intended to represent the product of the RNA extraction process.

# **Results and Discussion**

While the radiological content of RNA samples was initially analyzed for the purpose of developing appropriate protocols for the handling of radiological materials, the discovery of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe in RNA samples lead to our decision to collect RNA extraction samples specifically for the purpose of radiological characterization during experiments. In our work, we use the radiological content of RNA samples as evidence to differentiate uptake of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe into the cells, versus sorption to the outside of the cell membrane. To use the RNA extractions as evidence of uptake, however, we needed to rule out that the presence of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe was not the result of something related to the extraction process. By examining process blanks, with no cellular content including RNA, we were able to demonstrate that the presence of <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>55</sup>Fe in our RNA extractions was not the result of process flow through.

There was no detectable <sup>239</sup>Pu in any RNA extraction sample analyzed when <sup>239</sup>Pu was complexed with citrate. When process blanks for <sup>239</sup>Pu without citrate complexation were analyzed, Pu was detected in only one RNA extraction sample. The sample with detectable <sup>239</sup>Pu was from the largest sample-blank concentration,  $1.37 \times 10^{1}$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup>, and had an activity of 0.87±0.04 Bq. The activity of the RNA extraction sample represents 0.064% of the total activity used in this sample. The results of this part of the experiment demonstrate that the presence of <sup>239</sup>Pu in RNA extraction samples is not the result of process flow-through. By studying the radiological content of the extraction process effluent samples, we were also able to observe the movement of the radionuclides considered through the extraction process and better understand where radiological waste may be produced. Plutonium-239 was detected in effluent samples from sample blanks containing <sup>239</sup>Pu complexed with citrate from the 3 largest concentrations  $(1.37 \times 10^{1} \text{ kBq mL}^{-1}, 1.37 \text{ kBq mL}^{-1}, \text{ and } 1.37 \times 10^{-1} \text{ kBq mL}^{-1})$ , with no <sup>239</sup>Pu detected in effluent samples from the  $1.37 \times 10^{-2}$  kBq mL<sup>-1</sup> and  $1.37 \times 10^{-3}$  kBq mL<sup>-1</sup> blanks. The activity of <sup>239</sup>Pu found in these samples varied between blank concentrations by about a factor of 10, which is consistent with the serial dilution method used to create the working solutions. Note that 1 of the 3 effluent replicates for the  $1.37 \times 10^1$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup> was excluded from the data set as 

the sample was spilled during processing. The Pu activity of the effluent samples for blanks containing Pu complexed with citrate are provided in Figure 2. 

58 59 60

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d





# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

Iron-55 was detected in RNA extraction samples for all replicates of blanks with the largest concentration of  ${}^{55}$ Fe,  $1.81 \times 10^4$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup>, as well in one sample from the  $1.81 \times 10^3$  kBg mL<sup>-1</sup> set of blanks, and one sample from the smallest concentration, 1.81 kBg mL<sup>-1</sup>. All other RNA extraction samples did not contain detectable quantities of <sup>55</sup>Fe. The results are provided in Figure 4. Fe-55 Activity in Extraction Samples (Bq) Fe-55 Spike Concentration (kBq/mL) Figure 4 Iron-55 content of RNA extraction samples for RNA extraction completed with <sup>55</sup>Fe process blanks. The detected <sup>55</sup>Fe in the RNA extraction sample from a 1.81 kBq mL<sup>-1</sup> blank is inconsistent with two replicate data points and also inconsistent with triplicate data from two higher concentrations of <sup>55</sup>Fe blanks. Furthermore, the activity in the 1.81 kBg mL<sup>-1</sup> extraction sample that was reported is 1.92% of the total activity in the sample analyzed. In other RNA extraction samples where <sup>55</sup>Fe was detected, the extraction sample activity represented between 0.00121% and 0.0226% of the total activity in the samples analyzed. The results have been presented in their complete form for the purpose of transparency, however it is most likely that 



Page 17 of 21

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

2 3 4	320	results from <sup>239</sup> Pu without citrate complexation, where the percent of <sup>55</sup> Fe that was unaccounted
5 6	321	for ranged from 97.1%-99.3% across all samples. As stable Fe would be likely be found in trace
7 8 9	322	quantities in normal cell culture studies, and the RNA extraction process strives to produce an
10 11	323	extraction contain few impurities, the extraction column is likely designed to have an affinity for
12 13 14	324	Fe.
14 15 16	325	In addition to process flow through, we also prepared an experiment to demonstrate that
17 18	326	<sup>239</sup> Pu did not bind to RNA after the cells were lysed, during the RNA extraction process. RNA
19 20 21	327	extraction samples collected from <i>P. putida</i> samples spiked after lysing cells with 1.37 kBq mL <sup>-1</sup> ,
22 23	328	$1.37 \times 10^{-1}$ kBq mL <sup>-1</sup> , and $1.37 \times 10^{-2}$ kBq mL <sup>-1</sup> <sup>239</sup> Pu complexed with citrate and the same
24 25	329	concentrations of <sup>239</sup> Pu without citrate complexation did not contain detectable quantities of
26 27 28	330	<sup>239</sup> Pu. Only one effluent sample, effluent from the <i>P. putida</i> sample spiked with the greatest
29 30	331	quantity of Pu complexed with citrate, the 1.37 kBq mL <sup>-1</sup> spike, contained detectable <sup>239</sup> Pu. The
31 32	332	results of this analysis indicate that <sup>239</sup> Pu found in RNA extraction samples is not the result of
33 34 35	333	<sup>239</sup> Pu binding to RNA after the cell is lysed.
36 37	334	Conclusions
38 39 40	335	Plutonium-239 was only found in one set of RNA extraction replicates, the set containing
40 41 42	336	over 100 times the quantity of <sup>239</sup> Pu without citrate complexation that would be expected in cell
43 44	337	studies conducted as a part of our work. Iron-55 was only consistently found in extraction
45 46 47	338	samples with 100 times the quantity of <sup>55</sup> Fe used in our cell cultures, and in one replicate with 10
47 48 49	339	times more <sup>55</sup> Fe. The results of this work show that process flow through is not a significant
50 51	340	pathway for <sup>239</sup> Pu or <sup>55</sup> Fe in RNA extraction samples as observed in our previous work. By
52	341	analyzing the radiological content of effluent samples, the study also demonstrated that the

342 extraction columns used have an affinity for both Pu and Fe. The study supports the assumption

that <sup>239</sup>Pu detected in RNA extraction samples during long term cell studies is the result of <sup>239</sup>Pu
that was bound to RNA prior to the time of extraction. While similar studies were not completed
with <sup>55</sup>Fe due to availability at the time of experimentation, the results for <sup>239</sup>Pu also suggest that
<sup>55</sup>Fe was also bound to RNA prior to the time of extraction, as <sup>239</sup>Pu uptake is primarily the result
of using Fe pathways. Because Pu has similar biochemistry to Fe(III), it is expected that similar
behavior would occur with both metals, consistent with our other observations on <sup>55</sup>Fe and <sup>239</sup>Pu
behavior [12].

Validating a model for radiation protection for doses less than about 150 mGy remains a priority in the field of radiological science, and the use of -omics technologies to understand low dose effects, especially transcriptomics and proteomics, will likely increase in the coming years. A 2022 Consensus Study of the National Academy of Sciences reaffirms the prioritization of a low dose research program in the United States of America for radiological doses below 100 mGy and dose rates of 5 mGy h<sup>-1</sup> or less. Specifically, the report calls for leveraging modern biological research techniques for the study of low dose and low dose-rate mechanisms of effect and integrating this data with epidemiological studies to examine risk assessment models [21]. The relevance of our observations regarding the radiological content of RNA samples is two-fold. The first area of relevance of this work is the practical concern of handling radiologically contaminated samples. Biological equipment utilized for transcriptomic research, especially equipment used for RNA sequencing, is costly and requires specialized training to use. Sequencing equipment is often located in centralized facilities at laboratories and universities, and, in some cases, sequencing is completed off-site. Researchers preparing RNA or DNA extraction samples from cells exposed to radiological contaminants, especially metals, should take care to characterize samples so that appropriate procedures for transport and handling are

Page 19 of 21

# AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2 Accepted Manuscript Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d

1 2		
2 3 4	366	developed in accordance with federal, state, and facility regulations. Appropriate characterization
5 6	367	will also help prevent contamination of specialized equipment.
/ 8 9	368	This work also highlights the importance of considering how effects may be impacted
10 11	369	when radiological metals are bound to DNA and RNA. Radiologically characterizing the content
12 13	370	of DNA and RNA samples may provide quantitative data needed to differentiate between
14 15 16	371	chemical and radiological response in low-dose research efforts. Separating chemical and
17 18	372	radiological effects will not only be useful when attempting to identify unique responses to
19 20 21	373	radiation exposure, it may also help identify responses related to specific radiological
21 22 23	374	contaminants. Finally, radiological content found in DNA and RNA samples may also be useful
24 25	375	in quantifying uptake rates which could have implications for dosimetry, as advanced biological
26 27	376	assessment techniques should be matched with fine-tuned dose estimates if dose-effect
28 29	377	relationships are developed.
30 31 32	378	
33 34	379	Acknowledgments. Funding for this work was provided by the Defense Threat Reduction
35 36 27	380	Agency under Award Number HDTRA1-17-0002.
37 38 39	381	
40 41	382	References
42 43 44	383 384	[1] Liang K-H 2013 <i>Bioinformatics for biomedical science and clinical applications</i> (Oxford: Woodhead Publishing)
45 46 47	385 386	[2] Hoeijmakers J H J 2001 Genome maintenance mechanisms for preventing cancer <i>Nature</i> <b>411</b> 366-74 doi: 10.1038/35077232
48 49	387 388	[3] von Sonntag C 2006 <i>Free-radical-induced DNA damage and its repair: a chemical perspective</i> (Berlin: Springer)
50 51 52 53	389 390 391	[4] ICRP 2012 ICRP statement on tissue reactions and early and late effects of radiation in normal tissues and organsthreshold doses for tissue reactions in a radiation protection context. ICRP publication 118 <i>Ann ICRP</i> <b>41</b> 1-322 10.1016/j.icrp.2012.02.001
54 55 56 57 58 59 60	392 393	[5] Arena C, De Micco V, Macaeva E and Quintens R 2014 Space radiation effects on plant and mammalian cells <i>Acta Astronaut</i> <b>104</b> 419-31 10.1016/j.actaastro.2014.05.005

- Hall E J and Giaccia A J 2019 Radiobiology for the radiologist (Philadelphia: Wolters [6] Kluwer) Boice J D, Quinn B, Al-Nabulsi I, Ansari A, Blake P K, Blattnig S R, Caffrev E A, [7] Cohen S S, Golden A P, Held K D, Jokisch D W, Leggett R W, Mumma M T, Samuels C, Till J E, Tolmachev S Y, Yoder R C, Zhou J Y and Dauer L T 2022 A million persons, a million dreams: a vision for a national center of radiation epidemiology and biology International Journal of Radiation Biology 98 795-821 10.1080/09553002.2021.1988183 [8] Brooks A L, Hoel D G and Preston R J 2016 The role of dose rate in radiation cancer risk: evaluating the effect of dose rate at the molecular, cellular and tissue levels using key events in critical pathways following exposure to low LET radiation International Journal of Radiation Biology 92 405-26 doi: 10.1080/09553002.2016.1186301 Dauer L T, Brooks A L, Hoel D G, Morgan W F, Stram D and Tran P 2010 Review and [9] evaluation of updated research on the health effects associated with low-dose ionising radiation Radiat Prot Dosimetry 140 103-36 doi: 10.1093/rpd/ncq141 [10] Kanellis V G and Dos Remedios C G 2018 A review of heavy metal cation binding to deoxyribonucleic acids for the creation of chemical sensors *Biophys Rev* 10 1401-14 doi: 10.1007/s12551-018-0455-y Netto L E S, Da Costa Ferreira A M and Augusto O 1991 Iron(III) binding in DNA [11] solutions: Complex formation and catalytic activity in the oxidation of hydrazine derivatives Chemico-Biological Interactions 79 1-14 doi: 10.1016/0009-2797(91)90048-С [12] Ouameur A A, Arakawa H, Ahmad R, Naoui M and Tajmir-Riahi H A 2005 A Comparative study of Fe(II) and Fe(III) interactions with DNA duplex: major and minor grooves bindings DNA Cell Biol 24 394-401 doi: 10.1089/dna.2005.24.394 Berens C, Streicher B, Schroeder R and Hillen W 1998 Visualizing metal-ion-binding [13] sites in group I introns by iron(II)-mediated Fenton reactions Chem Biol 5 163-75 doi: 10.1016/s1074-5521(98)90061-8 Honda K, Smith M A, Zhu X, Baus D, Merrick W C, Tartakoff A M, Hattier T, Harris P [14] L, Siedlak S L, Fujioka H, Liu Q, Moreira P I, Miller F P, Nunomura A, Shimohama S and Perry G 2005 Ribosomal RNA in Alzheimer Disease Is Oxidized by Bound Redox-active Iron\* Journal of Biological Chemistry 280 20978-86 doi: 10.1074/jbc.M500526200 Andreev G, Budantseva N, Sokolova M, Tananaev I and Myasoedov B 2009 Interaction [15] of Transuranium Elements with Biologically Important Ligands: Structural and Spectroscopic Evidence for Nucleotide Coordination to Plutonium Inorganic Chemistry 2343-5 doi: 10.1021/ic802053s Aryal B P, Paunesku T, Woloschak G E, He C and Jensen M P 2012 A proteomic [16] approach to identification of plutonium-binding proteins in mammalian cells Journal of Proteomics 75 1505-14 doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2011.11.023 [17] Claycamp H G and Luo D 1994 Plutonium-Catalyzed Oxidative DNA Damage in the Absence of Significant Alpha-Particle Decay Radiation Research 137 114-7 doi: 10.2307/3578799

Page	21 of 21		AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JRP-102890.R2
			Version of record at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/acb15d
1			
2 3 4 5 6	436 437 438	[18]	Miller A C, Stewart M, Brooks K, Shi L and Page N 2002 Depleted uranium-catalyzed oxidative DNA damage: absence of significant alpha particle decay <i>J Inorg Biochem</i> <b>91</b> 246-52 doi: 10.1016/S0162-0134(02)00391-4
7 8 9 10	439 440 441	[19]	Manglass L, Wintenberg M, Blenner M and Martinez N 2021 Pu-239 Accumulation in E. Coli and P. Putida Grown in Liquid Cultures <i>Health Phys.</i> <b>121</b> 484-93 doi: 10.1097/Hp.00000000001455
11 12 13 14 15	442 443 444 445	[20]	Manglass L M, Wintenberg M, Vogel C, Blenner M and Martinez N E 2021 Accumulation of radio-iron and plutonium, alone and in combination, in Pseudomonas putida grown in liquid cultures <i>Journal of Radiological Protection</i> <b>41</b> 1199-212 doi: 10.1088/1361-6498/ac2f86
16 17 18 19	446 447 448	[21]	NASEM. 2022 Leveraging Advances in Modern Science to Revitalize Low-Dose Radiation Research in the United States (Washington, DC: The National Academies Press)
20 21 22 23 24 25	449		
26 27 28 29 30 31			
32 33 34 35 36			
37 38 39 40 41			
42 43 44 45 46 47			
48 49 50 51 52		C	
53 54 55 56	7		
58 59 60			