DELAWARE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY University of Delaware, Newark David R. Wunsch, State Geologist

DELAWARE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE SHARPTOWN, LAUREL, HEBRON, AND DELMAR QUADRANGLES, DELAWARE GEOLOGIC MAP SERIES NO. 22



filled. Recent.

SWAMP DEPOSITS

Gray to brown, silty and clayey, gravelly sand overlain by organic-rich, fine to coarse sand and silt. Swamp deposits are found in the upper reaches of the modern stream valleys, along the margins of the Nanticoke River and in poorly-drained areas on the uplands. In the stream valleys, swamp deposits consist of 1 to 3 ft of gray to brown, silty and clayey gravelly sand at the base overlain by organic-rich, fine to coarse sand. Along the margins of the Nanticoke River, swamp deposits are up to 15 ft thick and have several feet of organic silt near the land surface. On the uplands, the swamp deposits are less than 3 ft thick and consist of organic sand and silt with a woody material component (twigs and leaves; Qd15-c, Table 1). Holocene.

MARSH DEPOSITS

Light-gray to brown, organic-rich, clayey silt. Peat beds consisting of finely comminuted organic fragments (primarily marsh grass) are common near the base of the unit and scattered throughout. Marsh deposits are generally less than 10 ft thick. Holocene.

CAROLINA BAY DEPOSITS

Well-sorted medium to fine-grained white to pale-yellow sand in raised rims (dunes) with silty fine to medium sand in the interior of the circular morphologic features. The deposits are less than 5 ft thick in their interiors and up to 10 ft thick where the sand rims are best developed. Latest Pleistocene to Holocene.

DUNE DEPOSITS

White to pale-yellow, well-sorted, medium to fine sand. Laminae of coarse sand are common. Thin, brown silty soil lamellae are commonly found at depths of 1 to 3 ft within the dunes. Dune deposits, which are up to 15 ft thick, are very abundant on the eastern side of the Nanticoke River. Dunes that have well-developed and deep (>3 ft) soil profiles may be older than latest Pleistocene and are middle to late Pleistocene in age. Middle Pleistocene to Holocene.

KENT ISLAND FORMATION

White to pale-yellow, medium to very coarse sand. On the east side of the Nanticoke River, the sand overlies greenish-gray silt to clayey silt with scattered pieces of wood. The silt layer grades downward into gray, coarse to very coarse sand with pebbles and thin beds of organic, woody sand. The formation is typically 5-20 ft thick (cross section A-A') but is up to 50 ft thick at Phillips Landing. The Kent Island Formation is found adjacent to the Nanticoke River beneath terrace flats at elevations of 4 to 12 ft. Late Pleistocene.

TURTLE BRANCH FORMATION

On the west side of the Nanticoke River: Clean, well-sorted, white to pale-yellow, fine sand grading down into fine to coarse sand overlying greenish-gray fine sand with scattered zones of chalky Crassostrea (oyster) shells. The shelly fine sand overlies a greenish-gray clayey silt to silty clay. At the base of the deposit is a fine to coarse, gray, pebbly sand with layers of organic silt and woody material (twigs and roots).

Along stream valleys tributary to the east side of the Nanticoke River: Clean, well-sorted, white to pale-yellow, fine sand grading down to interlaminated fine to coarse sand with opaque heavy mineral laminae (cross section A-A'), granules, and pebbles at its base. Rare to common scattered, light-gray to light-grayish-brown, clayey silt laminae occur in the sand beds. In the map area, the unit is commonly less than 10 ft thick. The Turtle Branch Formation is distinguished from the adjacent Beaverdam Formation by better sorting and the absence of the white silty matrix, which is characteristic of the Beaverdam (Ramsey and Tomlinson, 2011). It is distinguished from adjacent and overlying dune deposits by having a better developed soil profile and by the common presence of opaque heavy mineral laminae, which are rare in the dune deposits. Middle Pleistocene.

silt in the sands. The Cat Hill is approximately 100 ft thick in the map area. Late Miocene.

Discussion

The geological history of the surficial geologic units in western Sussex County is that of deposition of the Beaverdam Formation and its subsequent modification by erosion and deposition related to the sea-level fluctuations during the Pleistocene. The geology reflects this complex history by the cut and fill geometry of the middle and late Pleistocene deposits into the Beaverdam Formation. The geology is further complicated by periglacial activity that produced dune deposits and Carolina Bays in the map area, which modified the land surface. Mapping was conducted using field maps at a scale of 1:12,000 with 2-ft contours. Stratigraphic boundaries drawn at topographic breaks reflect detailed mapping using contours not shown on this map.

The Cat Hill Formation is found in the subsurface in the map area and underlies the Beaverdam Formation or the Turtle Branch Formation where the Beaverdam has been eroded (cross section A-A'). It is a sand-dominated unit (Cat Hill B; Andres, 2004, Ramsey, 2010b) with minor beds of silt and clay. In some locations, rare silty clay beds are found near the contact with the overlying Beaverdam Formation. In the map area, these silt beds are absent. The sands of the Cat Hill are differentiated from the overlying Beaverdam Formation in that they are cleaner and better sorted. The age of the Cat Hill Formation is poorly constrained due to the lack of age-definitive fossils or age-datable material within the unit, but it is interpreted to be a late Miocene, very shallow marine to marginal marine (shoreface) deposit (McLaughlin, et al., 2008).

The Beaverdam Formation consists of stacked, 1- to 5-ft thick beds of very coarse sand and gravel that commonly fine upwards to fine to medium sand and rarely to very fine silty sand to silty clay. These types of deposits are typical of either fluvial or estuarine environments (Ramsey, 2010a, b). Rare burrows have been observed in the Beaverdam Formation elsewhere in Delaware that indicate at least a marginal estuarine setting (DGS unpublished data; Owens and Denny, 1979). The Beaverdam Formation is exposed at the surface throughout a large portion of the map area and underlies all the younger deposits. Along the margins of the Nanticoke River where erosion during the middle to late Pleistocene removed the Beaverdam prior to the deposition of the Turtle Branch and Kent Island Formations, these younger units overlie the Cat Hill Formation. The age of the Beaverdam Formation is uncertain due to the lack of age-definitive fossils within the unit. Stratigraphic relationships in Delaware indicate that it is no older than late Miocene and no younger than early Pleistocene, and is most likely late Pliocene (Groot et al., 1990; Ramsey, 2010a, b).

Turtle Branch Formation is up to 50 ft thick and consists of clean, well-sorted sand underlain by estuarine silts and clays with oyster shells, and with woody sand at the base (Ramsey, 2010a). Adjacent to modern drainages on the east side of the Nanticoke River, it is typically less than 10 ft thick and consists primarily of clean, fine sand grading down to coarse sand with pebbles. These sediments are the result of fluvial, estuarine, and beach deposition on the west side of the present Nanticoke River, and to the east, are the result of fluvial, tidal channel, and beach deposition in the distal upstream reaches of an ancestral Nanticoke River estuary associated with the highstand. The scattered clay laminae adjacent to the modern drainages become more common proximal to the modern Nanticoke River and are interpreted to be tidal flat deposits and shoreline deposits. Some of the clean sand deposits mapped as the Turtle Branch Formation on the uplands are likely the result of late Pleistocene dune migration across the area which left scattered sand sheets in low-lying areas. These late Pleistocene sands are texturally similar to the Turtle Branch Formation sands and cannot be differentiated in the field. The Turtle Branch Formation is older than 39,900 yrs B.P. (Table 1) and interpreted to represent middle Pleistocene (425,000 yrs B.P. and/or 325,000 yrs B.P.; MIS 11 and 9) sea-level highstand deposits (Ramsey, 2010a).

The Kent Island Formation occupies a low terrace adjacent to the Nanticoke River. On the western side of the Nanticoke, the terrace is underlain by medium to very coarse sand with pebbles. On the eastern side of the Nanticoke, the terrace is underlain by medium to fine sand overlying a clayey silt to silt with a basal woody, gravelly sand. Several radiocarbon dates from wood from the Kent Island Formation indicate that the age of the unit is >43,500 yrs B.P. (Qb25-06, Table 1). The Kent Island is interpreted to be fluvial, estuarine, and beach deposits associated with a sea-level highstand at approximately 80,000 yrs B.P. (Ramsey, 2010a).

Dune deposits on the uplands are fine to medium, well-sorted sands found scattered throughout the map area and found in abundance on the east side of the Nanticoke River. The more frequent occurrence of these dune fields on the east side of the Nanticoke is attributed to winds from the northwest (Markewich et al., 2009) depositing sand on the lee side of the river valley during glacial periods. The dunes have a pronounced surficial expression as curvilinear features that rise above the surrounding landscape. Some of these dunes are probably latest Pleistocene to early Holocene in age (Andres and Howard, 2000; Ramsey and Tomlinson, 2014), but some could possibly be as old as late Pleistocene. Radiocarbon dates from organic deposits associated with dunes just to the north of the map area indicate deposition continued into the early Holocene. Dune features are also associated with the rims of Carolina Bays that are found in the southern portion of the map area. Both the dunes and the Carolina Bays are cold-climate related features located where winds moved sand across a landscape barren of forests (Ramsey, 1997; Markewich et al., 2009). The exact process by which the distinctive circular shape of the Carolina Bays was formed is unknown.

References Cited

Andres, A.S., 2004, The Cat Hill Formation and Bethany Formation of Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 67, 8 p. Andres, A.S., and Howard, C.S., 2000, The Cypress Swamp Formation, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 62, 13 p. Andres, A.S., and Klingbeil, A.D., 2006, Thickness and transmissivity of the unconfined aquifer of eastern Sussex County, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 70, 19 p.

- Groot, J.J., Ramsey, K.W., and Wehmiller, J.F., 1990, Ages of the Bethany, Beaverdam, and Omar formations of Southern Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 47, 19 p.
- Markewich, H.W., Litwin, R.J., Pavich, M.J., and Brook, G.A., 2009, Late Pleistocene features in southeastern Maryland and Chesapeake Bay region indicate strong WNW-NW winds accompanied growth of Laurentide Ice Sheet: Quaternary Research v. 71, p. 409-425.

McLaughlin, P.P., Miller, K.G., Browning, J.V., et al., 2008, Stratigraphy and correlation of the Oligocene to Pleistocene section at Bethany Beach, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 75, 41 p. Owens, J.P., and Denny, C.S., 1979, Upper Cenozoic deposits of the central Delmarva Peninsula, Maryland and Delaware: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1067-A, 28 p.

Ramsey, K.W., 1997, Geology of the Milford and Mispillion River Quadrangles, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 55, 40 p.

- _, 2010a, Stratigraphy of the middle to late Pleistocene deposits of Delaware: _____ Delaware Geological Survey Report of Investigations No. 76, 43 p. _____, 2010b, Geologic map of the Georgetown Quadrangle, Delaware: Delaware
- Geological Survey Geologic Map Series No. 15, scale 1:24,000. Ramsey, K.W., and Tomlinson, J.L., 2011, Geologic map of the Harbeson Quadrangle, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Geologic Map Series No. 17, scale
- 1:24,000. _____, 2014, Geologic map of the Millsboro and Whaleysville Quadrangles, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Geologic Map Series No. 20, scale 1:24,000. Sirkin, L., and Owens, J.P., 1998, Palynology of the latest Neogene (Middle Miocene to
- Late Pliocene) strata in the Delmarva Peninsula of Maryland and Virginia: Northeastern Geology and Environmental Geology, v. 20, p. 117-132. Tomlinson, J.L., and Ramsey, K.W., 2014, Geologic map of the Trap Pond and Pittsville Quadrangles, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Geologic Map Series No. 21, scale 1:24,000.

Table 1. Radiocarbon dates. Locations of boreholes shown on map.

Vertical Exaggeration x 149

Radiocarbon Lab ID	DGSID	Land Surface Elevation (ft)	Sample Elevation (ft)	Conventional Radiocarbon Date (yrs B.P.)	Conventional Radiocarbon Error Range (yrs)	Calibrated Date (2 Sigma Median) (yrs B.P.)	Strat Unit
Beta-356194	Qb25-06	5	-12.0	>43500		Dead to carbon	Qki
I-4155	Qc23-01	20	-13.2	>39900		Dead to carbon	Qtb
Beta-356191	Qd15-c	30	28.0	170	30	185	Qsw

MAP CREDITS

Other

Base Map Delaware state plane coordinate system Transverse mercator projection North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83) HARN The Delaware Department of Transportation Centerline for Delaware, 2009 The Delaware Office of State Planning Coordination Delaware Municipal Boundaries, 2014 USGS National Hydrography Dataset, 2009 USGS Delaware LiDAR Contours, 2005 USGS Delaware Miscellaneous Features, 1993 Delaware Department of Agriculture State Forest Areas, 2009 Delaware Division of Natural Resources and Environmental Control Park Areas, 2009

Cartography by Lillian T. Wang, Delaware Geological Survey Edited by Stefanie J. Baxter, Delaware Geological Survey

Acknowledgements

The project was funded in part by the cooperative agreement between the Delaware Geological Survey and U.S. Geological Survey under STATEMAP program grant G12AC20231. This project would not have been possible without the cooperation of the staff of the Delaware Department of Transportation and the DNREC Wildlife Areas. Paul S. McCreary coordinated the drilling for the project. DGS project personnel and students who assisted in field work and data collection included Michael Harms and Jenna Ryan. Groundwater recharge and water table mapping by A.S. Andres and Andrew Klingbeil of the DGS generated much of the subsurface data for the area (Andres and Klingbeil, 2006). Douglas Rambo, William Schenck and John Talley provided helpful reviews of the map.