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Plate 5. Aerial photograph of Huckleberry, Savanna, and Sugar Hill, "bays" and smaller basins north of Milton. Huckleberry bay is the large wooded oval near the center of the right margin. Savanna bay is the second largest bay, trees surrounding marsh meadow, due southwest of Huckleberry bay. Sugar Hill bay is the oval in the center near the upper margin, wooded on the west, cultivated on the east, and ransected by the W-E road. These features are interpreted as sinkholes in the sandy flatlands formed by removal of material by subterranean drainage.
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#### Abstract

Sussex County is in the Atlantic Coastal Plain. Its relatively flat, featureless topography is characterized by two terrace-like surfaces; the lower one rises from sea level to about 40 feet above sea level, and the higher one rises inland from 40 to about 60 feet above sea level. Peculiar landforms of low relief, broad ovals, similar to the "Carolina bays," and to the "New Jersey basins" are common on the sandy flat divides in Sussex County. Hydrologically, they are sites of much ground-water discharge, by evapotranspiration, from meadow and marsh of lush vegetation.

The geology of the county is structurally simple. It is characterized by a sedimentary wedge which thickens southeastward from 3,500 feet to more than 8,000 feet. The strata form a homocline which dips southeastward at rates ranging from 10 to 70 feet per mile. Above the Paleozoic ( $?$ ) and Precambrian cxystalline basement complex, are sediments of the Triassic(?). Cretaceous, Tertiary, and Quaternary systems. Only the uppermost few hundred feet of this column of sediments provide water to wells. The most important aquifer consists of sand and silty sand of the Pleistocene series and the Pliocene(?) series which fill a valley system carved on a now buried surface of Miocene rocks. The Miocene rocks are relatively unconsolidated clays and sands and contain several artesian aquifers which as of 1959 have not been used extensively.


Streamflow records from four gaging stations in the county and two others nearby, and base-flow measurements from eight additional sites in 1955-58 provide the basis for the surface-water analyses presented in this report. From these records it has been possible to construct curves for each of the seven major drainage basins, showing flow duration, low-flow frequency, average discharge, storage requirement, and flood frequency.

In addition, chemical analyses of water from 20 stream sites indicate that the surface waters are low in dissolved solids and soft, but have a slight
to excessive iron content. The pH ranges from 5.2 to 6.7. The average daily use of surface water in Sussex County is estimated at 11 mgd (million gallons per day) based on 1957 data.

Sedimentary and hydrologic analyses of 32 samples taken from outcrops indicate that the surficial sediments are medium-grained sands, having an average porosity about 40 percent and an average coefficient of permeability of 420 gallons per day per square foot. Such material is capable of a high infiltration rate.

Analyses of 142 water samples from 97 wells reveal that the ground waters are, ingeneral, low in dissolved-solids content, soft to slightly hard, low in chloride, and that troublesome amounts of iron are present in some wells, but absent in others. The pH ranged from 3.4 to 9.9 .

Nearly all of the ground water used in the county is obtained from four principal aquifers: about 74 percent is obtained from the Beaverdam sand and the Brandywine formation which constitute the shallow water-table aquifers of the Pleistocene-Pliocene(?) series; an additional 20 percent is withdrawn from the Manokin aquifer of the Miocene series; and the remaining 6 percent is withdrawn from the Pocomoke and Frederica aquifers, also of the Miocene series.

Total use of ground water was about 19.1 mgd in 1957. Industrial use accounted for 11.5 mgd ; municipal supply about 4.0 mgd ; rural domestic uses about 2 mgd farm-stock uses about 1.1 mgd ; and irrigation about 0.4 mgd .

A special investigation of salt-water contamination was made at Lewes. The city well field was contaminated by salt water during World War II, owing to heavy pumping, coincident with the dredging of a canal half a mile away. A new well field was constructed 1.5 miles inland in 1945 and expanded in 1954. Well-field tests made in both those years indicate high potential capacity for the field. At the old well field, in the period 1945 to 1954 , pumping was moderate to small and the quality of water returned to normal. This indicated that the salt-water front had retreated due to fresh-water recharge.

It is estimated that at optimum use no more than 1 mgd per square mile could be taken fromground and surface sources. It is very unlikely, however, that such a large quantity, and the requisite network of wells, pipes and check dams, will ever be realized. However, it is concluded that there are large quantities of water readily available for development in many parts of Sussex County.

Sussex County, Del. has adequate, and in places, abundant water resources. During wet seasons water is in excess, both in the lowland areas, and on the broad, swampy divides, so that extensive drainage is necessary. In a few places, malodorous, hard, or iron-containing waters present problems in treatment. Beneath a narrow strip along the shores of the Atlantic Ocean and Delaware Bay, some salt-water encroachment has occurred. In the county-at-large, however, water of quality suitable for most purposes can be developed from streams or from wells in sufficient quantity for agricultural, municipal, or industrial supply.

## INTRODUCTION

## PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Sussex County is predominantly rural, but in recent years there has been a trend toward manufacturing, and a corresponding growth of the towns. Moreover, the shores facing the Atlantic Ocean have become a beach vacationland. In recent years, too, the farmers of Sussex County have begun to irrigate from wells and ponds, as a supplement to the abundant, yet irregular, rainfall. For many years, the high water table in much of Sussex County has made drainage a continuing concern to farmers, road engineers, and soil scientists.

Interest in irrigation, increased demand for water by the growing towns and developing industry, intrusion of salt water at Lewes, Rehoboth, and other coastal communities, problems of pollution abatement, and the effect of the farm drainage program, have all created a need for the greater understanding of the water resources of Sussex County.

The purpose of this report is to present an evaluation of the water resources of Sus sex County with respect to the occurrence, magnitude of supplies, quality, and utilization of both surface and underground waters. Climate is considered in relation to surface runoff and to the recharge and discharge of ground water. The geology of the county is considered in some detail, especially as it pertains to the flow of surface water; the infiltration, retention, and discharge of ground water; and the protective cover which confines some beds containing fresh ground water from pollution by waste products or from contamination by salty marine water.

The surface-water resources have been evaluated by the operation of seven gaging stations in and near Sussex County and by the measurement of base-flow discharge at eight other selected sites. Although they are of relatively short duration, the records for six gaging stations have been analyzed and the results expressed in terms of flow duration, low-flow frequency, storage requirements, and flood frequency. Most of the results have been adjusted to a long-term base period by correlation with records in nearby States. The available fresh-water resources are evaluated by drainage basins and the chemical quality of water is considered briefly.

The ground-water investigation included the systematic inventory of 1,203 water wells used for agricultural, industrial, domestic, and public supply. Data on these wells are summarized in table 26 at the end of this report and the locations of the wells are shown in plates $1,2,3$, and 4. It is estimated that these comprise about 10 percent of the wells in the county in representative geographic distributions. A greater effort was made to get complete coverage on all high-capacity wells and on all drilled wells for which a well $\log$ was obtainable. A total of 149 well logs, compiled from records of well drillers, are listed in table 27 at the end of this report.

The chemical quality of the ground water is considered in relation to the geology, by area and geologic formation. Eleven water samples were taken for complete analysis and 18 for partial analysis as a part of this investiga-
tion. In addition, 111 other water analyses were tabulated, some from published sources, but others are published here for the first time.

The ground-water conditions in the vicinity of each town in Sussex County are described with respect to rate of use in the period 1955-57 and to the foreseeable need.

The investigation of the water resources of Sussex County was made by the U. S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, in cooperation with the Delaware Geological Survey and the city of Lewes, Del. The investigation of the geology and ground-water resources was made under the direction of A. N. Sayre, former chief, Branch of Ground Water, and H. C. Barksdale, branch area chief. The investigation of the surface-water resources was under the direction of J. V. B. Wells, chief, Branch of Surface Water, and D. S. Wallace, branch area chief. It was under the immediate supervision of J. W. Odell, District Engineer. Johan J. Groot, State Geologist, directed the state cooperation.
O. J. Coskery, engineering aid, Ground Water Branch, collected the data on many of the wells described in this report, and D. H. Boggess, engineer ~ ing technician, prepared the section on utilization of ground water.

## LOCATION AND GENERAL FEATURES OF THE AREA

Sussex County is the southernmost and largest of the three counties in Delaware. It is situated on the flat, almost featureless coastal plain bordering the Atlantic Ocean. Its position relative to the principal surrounding physiographic features is shown on the block diagram of the middle Atlantic area in figure 1. Erosion of the rocks of the Piedmont, the Triassic lowlands, the Blue Ridge, the Appalachian Mountains and Appalachian Plateau, has provided the gravels, sands, silts, and clays which mantle the surface of Sussex County and make up the underground deposits to a depth of several thousand feet. It is estimated that the area which is now Sussex County has been on or near the border of the land and the seafor many millions of years, because the sediments which underlie it are in part continental and in part marine.

Sussex County lies between long. $75^{\circ}$ and $75^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. and between lat. $38^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ and $38^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. on the east-central part of the Delmarva Peninsula. It is bounded on the north by Kent County, Del. ; the Mispillion River forming a considerable part of the boundary; on the northeast by Delaware Bay; on the east by the Atlantic Ocean; and on the south and west by the State of Maryland. According to the United States Census of 1940 the land area of the county is approximately 946 square miles or 605,440 acres.

In 1950 the total population of Sussex County was 61,336 persons and the density of population was 64.8 people per square mile. In the 7 years following the 1950 census the population of the county increased approximately 12 percent to an estimated 68,500 persons in 1957 . About 19 percent of the population, or approximately 13,000 persons, live in urban communities and the balance live in rural areas. During the summer months vacationists


Figure 1. Block diagram showing the regional geomorphology.
(Adapted from Raisz in Stephenson, Cooke, and Mansfield, 1932).
from metropolitan areas outside the county, temporarily increase the population to nearly twice the number of permanent inhabitants.

Approximately 63 percent of the land area of the county is in farms, having an average size of 118 acres. The total value of all farm products sold in the county in 1957 was about 79.2 million dollars (McDaniel, 1958). The sale of livestock products amounted to 65 million dollars, including 59.0 million for poultry products, 4.1 million for dairy products, and 1.9 million for other livestock products. The remainder, or 14.2 million dollars, represents the value of crop sales in 1957. Field crops accounted for about 7.2 million dollars, and vegetables and fruit for 5.1 million dollars. In order of greatest acreage planted, corn, soy beans, and hay were the leading field crops. The leading vegetable in acreage planted was green lima beans.

The major industries of the county include: (1) food processing plants at Georgetown, Rehoboth, Bridgeville, and near Milton, (2) fertilizer plants on the Lewes-Georgetown road, and in and near the town of Laurel, (3) nylon manufacturing plants in the Seaford area, and (4) ice manufacturing and cold storage plants in Georgetown, Dagsboro, Rehoboth, and Bridgeville.

Other commercial entexprises of importance in the county include motels and restaurants located along the major highways of the county and the resort facilities at the Delaware Bay and Atlantic shore area of Lewes, Rehoboth, Dewey Beach, Bethany Beach, and Fenwick Island.

The navigable waterways, principally four bays, but also small streams and fresh-water ponds, provide areas for operation of watex-borne commerce and industry. Small shallow-draft boats engaged in commercial fishing and pleasure trips, gain access to points on the Delaware Bay and the entire length of the eastern border of the county through two canals. The longest is the Lewes-Rehoboth canal which is maintained over a distance of about 10 miles and at a depth of about 5 feet. Somewhat largerocean-going commercial fishing and pleasure craft use the protected bay-harbors as bases for their trips during the fishing periods.

Woodlands totaling 47.5 percent of the total land area of the county (State Forester, 1955), provide raw material for several industries dependent on forest products. Excelsior which is used in packaging is one of the important forest products. Wood pulp is used in the manufacture of paper or paperboard. The timber resources provide lumber-for-homes, farm buildings, factories, and warehouses.

## PREVIOUS WORK

The first published repart on the geology of Sussex County was one by Chester (1885), in which he discussed the sands and gravels of southern Delaware. He correlated sand and gravel formations in southern Delaware with sands and gravels of northern Delaware which had been described in a paper published the previous year (Chester, 1884). He described white sands in Sussex County which he called Estuary Sands because he believed they were
formed under estuarine conditions, contemporaneous with fluviatile deposition farther north.

Woolman (1894) gave the first description of the subsurface deposits of Sussex County, in a log of an artesian well drilled to a depth of 400 feet at the old quarantine station, now included in the Fort Miles army post. He also studied and described the lithology and paleontology of a well drilled to a depth of 1,080 feet at Lewes in 1898 (Woolman, 1899).

Darton (1896, 1905) described the artesian well prospects of Delaware and presented geologic cross sections which passed through Milford and Lewes. Mathews (Clark, Mathews, and Berry, 1918, p. 485-489) gave a brief description of ground water in Sussex County in relation to geology.

A study of municipal water supplies of the State of Delaware was presented by Weaver (1928) as a thesis at the University of Delaware. Although the paper is primarily concerned with the engineering aspects and the chemical character of the water supplies, it reported the yields and depths of several municipal wells in Sussex County.

Eastman and Beckett, (1931) reporting on 30 public water supplies and a few private supplies, described the supplies of several towns in Sussex County. As in Weaver's thesis, the emphasis is on engineering aspects; howevex, a table of chemical analyses is included.

A guidebook (Stephenson, Cooke, and Mansfield, 1932), the Chesapeake Bay region, prepared for the Sixteenth International Geological Congress presented a discussion and a geologic map which includes Delaware.

A paper on the fauna of the Pleistocene series of the southern Atlantic Coastal Plain included a study of fossils fromfour locations in Sussex County (Richards, 1936). In 1945, Richards (1945a, 1945b) described the stratigraphy of the Atlantic Coastal Plain presenting well logs from Lewes and Bridgeville. A geologic study of Delaware by Richards was published in the book "Delaware, a History of the First State" (1947b). In 1948 a revision of Richards' earlier work on the Coastal Plain of Delaware was published with additional logs from Seaford, Milford, and Lewes (Richards, 1948).

The first systematic records of ground-water levels were begun in 1944 by the U. S. Geological Survey (DeBuchananne, 1947) at Lewes, and continued until 1949 (DeBuchananne, 1948, 1949; Birdsall, 1951a, 1951b, 1952). In 1950 the first State-wide network of observation wells was established and six wells in Sussex County were measured at monthly intervals (Andreasen, 1953; Marine and Rasmussen, 1954; Marine, 1955; Boggess and Coskery, 1955; Coskery and Boggess, 1956; Coskery 1956; and Coskery and Rasmussen, 1958). Streamflow records for this area are published annually by the U. S. Geological Survey in the WatermSupply Paper series entitled "Surface-water supply of the United States, Part I" (Part 1B after 1950).

Spangler and Peterson (1950) in a paper on the Coastal Plain of New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia presented geologic structure and isopachous maps of the principal stratigraphic units.

In 1953 a report (Rasmussen and Haigler) was published concerning the effect of the farm drainage program in Sussex County upon roads and a problem in subdrainage of a road near Laurel. Water analyses from wells at Milford and Seaford were published in 1953 (Lohr, and others, p. 5, 8), and republished, along with analyses from wells at Lewes in 1954 (Lohr and Love, p. 85-87, 89) by the U. S. Geological Survey.

A preliminary report on the geology and ground-water resources of Delaware (Marine and Rasmussen) was published by the Delaware Geological Survey in 1955. In the same year estimates were made of the magnitude of the ground-water supply in Delaware (Rasmussen, 1955) stressing the importance of the Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) aquifer in Sussex County.

In 1958 the Delaware Geological Survey published a report containing a geologic cross-section of the State (Rasmussen, Groot and Depman). A stratigraphic interpretation of two deep wells, one at Milford and one at Bridgeville, was included in the cross-section.

## METHODS

Almost 97 percent of the wells in Sussex County are less than 100 feet deep. Therefore, knowledge of the geology of the deeper deposits is derived chiefly from regional considerations, based on six deep wells in the county, and three deep wells in nearby locations on the Eastern Shore of Maryland (Anderson, and others, 1948).

The near-surface formations of the Pleistocene series and Recent series, have been studied in all exposures that could be found in 1955-56. Outcrops shown on the well maps, plates 1 to 5 , are designated by the capital letter within each lettered quadrangle; for example, the State gravel pits 4 miles east of Georgetown are designated by the capital letters A and B in the Og quadrangle on plate 1. Grain-size analyses and tests of hydrologic properties of samples from the surface exposures are reported in tables 14, 15, and 16 under the appropriate symbol. Outcrop descriptions are on file at the District office, U. S. Geological Survey, and at the Delaware Geological Survey, both in Newark, Del.

To obtain detailed information on the shallow subsurface materials, 32 test holes, totaling 2,147 feet in depth, were augered with a mobile power auger.

These and other subsurface data were analyzed by means of 153 graphic strip logs and 54 sand logs (grain materials from each sampled depth cemented to a strip log). Tentative correlations with subdivisions of the Pleistocene and Miocene sexies adopted for the eastern Shore of Maryland (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955), were made on the basis of lithology and stratigraphic position. Because no fossils were found in the Pleistocene series, the lithologic correlation rests upon color, texture, and composition.

In order to understand the petrographic origin of the Pleistocene series, and as a possible additional aid in subdivision and correlation the heavy min-
erals of the sands of 34 samples from 11 outcrop localities were separated out, mounted in canada balsam on slides, and identified with the petrographic microscope. These data are on file with the Delaware Geological Survey, University of Delaware.

Size analyses were made of a quartered fraction of each of the $34 \mathrm{sam}-$ ples studied petrographically and on 32 more samples from some of the same and six additional localities. The grain size distributions were plotted on arithmetic probability paper, and measures of the average size, dispersion or sorting, and asymmetry of the distribution, were computed. The 32 samples were also tested for porosity and permeability to evaluate storage and infiltration possibilities in the shallow earth materials.

The coefficients of storage and permeability were estimated from a controlled well-field test using nine large-capacity wells of the town of Lewes. The hydraulics of the aquifer of Pleistocene age in the vicinity of Lewes is shown to be favorable for the development of additional large-capacity wells.

The problem of salt-water contamination at Lewes and Rehoboth was reviewed and the extent of salt-water intrusion along the beach and bay shores was estimated in ageneral way, by means of chemical analyses from specific wells.
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## WELL-NUMBERING SYSTEM

Wells are identified by a specific letter-number designation. In this system the State of Delaware is divided into 5 -minute quadrangles of latitude and longitude, lettered from north to south by capital letters from $A$ to $R$, and west to east by lowercase letters from a to $j$. Each quadrangle is thus indicated by two letters, the capital letter being given first. Each 5 -minute quadrangle is further subdivided into 25 one-minute quadrangles. These lminute quadrangles are numbered from west to eastby five consecutive digits from 1 to 5 in the units place, and north to south by five consecutive digits from 1 to 5 in the tens place. This 2-digit quadrangle designation is followed by a hyphen. In each 1 -minute quadrangle wells are numbered consecutively in the order that they were scheduled. The well locations are shown on the
four quadrant maps, plates $1,2,3$, and 4 , and in insets designated thereon. The explanation on the plates illustrates graphically the number system. For example, well Mf21-2 is shown on plate 1 in the Mf 5 -minute quadrangle. It lies in the 1 -minute quadrangle No. 21, and was the first well scheduled in this small quadrangle. The descriptive information for each well is summarized in table 26.

## GEOGRAPHY

## CLIMATE

Sussex County is at the northern edge of a climatic zone which is damp and temperate, and precipitation is equally distributed throughout the year. It has a long, hot summer, of which the warmest month is July, having an average minimum temperature of 69.40F. Climatological data have been recorded at eight stations located at or near Bridgeville, Georgetown, Lewes, Milford, Selbyville, Millsboro, Laurel, and Seaford, although only the first five were operative in 1957. Information about the specific locations and periods of history is contained in the U. S. Weather Bureau publication "Substation History, Maryland and Delaware" (1956).

Precipitation and temperature have been recorded during 67 years of record at Bridgeville (1891-1957), and 73 years of record at Milford (1858, 1869-1878, 1893-1944, 1948-1957). The highest annual precipitation was 66.49 inches recorded at Bridgeville in 1948 (measurements were incomplete at Milford that year). The lowest annual precipitation was 21.06 inches at Milford in 1858 and 25.72 inches at Bridgeville in 1930. The highest temperature recorded was $105^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at both Bridgeville and Milford on July 10, 1936. The lowest temperature recorded was $-14^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ at Bridgeville, Jan. 11, 1942. Figure 2 shows the relationship between summer and winter temperatures, the periods of high and low water table, and the periods of excessive rainfall and drought. The fluctuations in water level and stream flow are discussed in later sections.

Observations of evaporation and wind movement have been reported by the U. S. Geological Survey at Salisbury, Md., 7 miles south of the Sussex County line, for a period of $21 / 2$ years, from January 1952 through July 1954. Wind and evaporation observations were made at the University farm, 5 miles southwest of Georgetown, Del, for several months in the years 1954 to 1957. During the years of continuous record at Salisbury, Md., 1952 to 1954, the maximum monthly evaporation was 9.44 inches in July 1953, and the average monthly evaporation during the hottest months was 8.20 inches. The minimum monthly evaporation was 1.21 inches in January 1954, and the average monthly evaporation during the three coldest winter months was 1.62 inches.

## DRAINAGE

Natural drainage in Sussex County is divided by a low, poorly drained, and often swampy ridge, trending generally northwestward from Selbyville to Georgetown and Staytonville. This divide area separates drainage into


Figure 2. Temperature, precipitation, stream flow, and water levels in Sussex County, 1950 to 1957.

Chesapeake Bay to the southwest from drainage eastward into Delaware Bay and the Atlantic Ocean.

The county can be considered as encompassing at least seven principal drainage areas (pl. 8). The largest of these, the Nanticoke River basin, includes about 40 percent of the area of the county. This river system drains southwestward to Chesapeake Bay; the river is navigable to Seaford and Laurel. Marshy Hope Creek, a principal tributary of the Nanticoke, drains a relatively small and thinly populated area in the northwest portion of the county. Its headwaters are in Kent County and the major part of the basin is in Maryland where it joins the Nanticoke. Two other tributaries of the Nanticoke, Gravelly Branch and Deep Creek, originate in poorly drained areas near Redden and Georgetown. Broad Creek (on some maps shown as Laurel River) drains a large area of southwestern Sussex County and is tributary to the Nanticoke about 2 miles upstream from the State line.

The second largest drainage basin is that of Indian River (including Indian River and Rehoboth Bays) which, above Indian River Inlet, includes almost one quarter of the county area. The principal streams in this basin are the Rehoboth Bay tributaries, Love and Herring Creeks, and the Indian River Bay tributaries, Indian River, and Pepper, Vines, and White Creeks. There are coastal interconnections in the tidal parts of the basin through the Lewes and Rehoboth Canal to the north and through the Assawoman Canal to the south. There are also drainage ditch interconnections in the southern headwater area in which the Pocomoke River also originates.

The several streams of the northeast quarter of Sussex County are some what arbitrarily grouped into two basins: Mispillion River and Broadkill River. The major streams of the Mispillion River basin are the Mispillion River and Cedar Creek which are in separate, but parallel, subbasins having a common outlet. To the south of this system lies the more involved Broadkill River basin in which the coastal parts of Primehook Creek, Broadkill River, Old Mill Creek, and Canary Creek are ditched or channeled to Roosevelt inlet.

About 50 square miles in the southeastern corner of the county is drained principally by Miller, Dirickson, Roy, and Greys Creeks, into the Assawoman Bay. In a similar sized area on the south-central border of the county are the headwaters of the Pocomoke River basin which drain southward through Maryland to Chesapeake Bay. A very small part of the southern border area in the vicinity of Delmar is in the Wicomico River basin which drains southwestward to Chesapeake Bay.

A total of 40,204 acres of the county is described as wetland in the inventory of the State designed to accomplish 100 -percent coverage of all important wetland areas for use by wildlife. These wetlands are the feeding grounds of the greater snow goose, a tremendous number of willets and rails, and a great variety of shore birds and herons.

In the past 10 years projects of the Soil Conservation Service have done much to drain and clear water-logged land in Sussex County. This activity
includes, through 1957, construction of 977 miles of farm drainage ditches and clearing of 2,037 acres of land. Other work includes soil leveling, obstruction removal, improvement of old ditches, installation of culverts, and the dredging of farm ponds to provide water for irrigation. Under the Water shed Protection Program (Public Law 566), an improvement project was approved in June 1956, for 4,523 acres of the Bear Hole "watershed" in the headwaters of Dirickson Creek between Frankford and Selbyville.

## GEOMORPHOLOGY

Geomorphology is a study of landforms and of the geologic processes which develop them. The distribution of marshes, swamps, and bays in the west and south sections of Sussex County seems to suggest a control imposed by channels of Pleistocene or earlier age. Basins and "bays" on the surface of these sediments indicate the recent history of interaction of soil, water, and atmosphere, to form sinkholes in the sandy flat lands (Rasmussen, 1958). The occurrence, distribution, and relative age of the bar and dune features suggest the recent modifications of the land surface by the action of ocean water and the wind. A study of stream drainage patterns and gradients indicates the temporary stability of the coastal plain deposits in relation to the underlying rocks. The presence of terraces is evidence of periods of high water stages under fluvial or marine environments.

## Marshes, Swamps, and Bays

Low slopes, moderate rainfall, and poor drainage function to maintain marshes, swamps, and bays in many large and small drainage courses. These poorly drained areas provide a habitat for extensive wildlife (U.S. Fish and Wildife Service, 1953). The distinction between marshes and swamps is made on the basis of the type of vegetation. The marshes contain varieties of grasses and the swamps contain trees or shrubs such as gum, ash, willow, or alder.

Marshes cover large areas along the shores of Delaware Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. Because they are flooded frequently by saline or brackish water the marshes are described as "salt meadows." Although the soil in these areas is water-logged most of the time, it is covered by water only during storms or other higher-than-average tides. The vegetation is predominantly saltmeadow cordgrass but includes also patches of saltgrass, and, in the fresher parts, threesquare and fleabanes. Practically all of the marsh area of Sussex County has been ditched to lower the water table and eliminate ponds of standing water which serve as breeding areas for mosquitoes.

Swamp areas in Sussex County are widely scattered. In the central part of the county a large area is locally called "Ellendale Swamp." Another large swamp in the southern part, west of Selbyville, is called Cypress Swamp, Cedar Swamp, or Burnt Swamp. The swamp areas are often covered with as much as a foot of water. The vegetation consists of trees such as sweet gum, red maple, ash, and willow.

Prominent bays in Sussex County arelocated on the eastern border along the Atlantic shore. They are Rehoboth Bay, Indian River Bay, and Assawoman Bay. Streams flowing eastward bring fresh surface water into the bays. Sea water enters from the Atlantic Ocean through inlets, and mixing of these waters causes the bay water to be brackish.
"Basins" and "Bays"
In addition to the popular use of the term basins to refer to the drainage area of rivers and creeks, and to the use of the term bays to refer to large bodies of water connected to the sea, there are small irregular undrained depressions or hollows which geologists call "basins," and there are somewhat larger, oval, oriented depressions or hollows, similar to the "Carolina bays, " which will be referred to here as "bays" in quotation marks.
"Bays" and "basins" occur in the surficial sediments throughout the county on the broad interstream divides. They have been recognized on topographic maps, aerial photographs, and by observations in the field. Their diameters range from about 150 to 3,500 feet. The relief, from the center of the depression to the rim, appears to vary with the size of the feature, the smaller basins having a relief of about 5 feet and the larger basins 10 to 23 feet. The "bays" and "basins" are found at all altitudes, from those on coastal marshes at sea level, to those on the terraces at 60 or more feet above sea level. Three large "bays" and numerous smaller "bays" and "basins" near Milton are shown in the aerial photograph, plate 5. This group is somewhat better developed and more concentrated than those in most other areas in the county.

Many hypotheses of origin have been applied to "bays" and "basins:" showers of meteors, rotating currents, stranded icebergs, frost-thaw lakes, and others. Studies made in Sussex County, and elsewhere, indicate that the origin of these features is related to the movement of shallow, unconfined ground water. The differential removal of colloidal and fine clay-sized material by slowly percolating ground waters beneath the initial low spots on a newly-formed sedimentary plain is believed to cause the initial development of sinkholes in sandy flatlands. Those sinkholes situated most advantageously with respect to the water-table, as it fluctuates during the wet and dry seasons, enlarge and sometimes capture smaller sinkholes. Presumably, some of the depressions contain water all, or almost all, of the year. These are gradually modified by wind and wave from irregular depressions to wellshaped ovals, approaching perfect ellipses; their long axes oriented in a northwestern direction. Thus, the "basins" become "bays" in a compound origin.

## Bars and Dunes

Landforms along the coastline of Sussex County consist principally of sand features, which are prominent on aerial photographs and maps of the county. These features include bay-mouth bars, spits, and shoreline dunes.

Sand bars form the shore of Sussex County along the Atlantic Ocean and Delaware Bay. The bars are land-tied and extend across Rehoboth Bay,

Indian River Bay, and Assawoman Bay. The bar at Indian River is pierced by the Indian River Inlet through which the tide moves in its periodic surge. The inlet, the principal connection between the waters of the bay and the ocean, is a popular fishing site and provides a channel for small fishing and pleasure boats.

Cape Henlopen, the prominent point of land protruding into Delaware Bay near Lewes, is a typical feature of bay and ocean environment. It was formed of sand that was deposited by the circulating bay and shore currents in a manner somewhat similar to longshore bars. These land-tied sand bars are called spits. The Cape Henlopen spit forms a natural eastern protection for the harbor at Lewes.

Dunes in Sussex County are known in two locations, along the eastern or Atlantic Ocean border of the county on barrier islands, and along the south bank of the Nanticoke River in the southeastern part of the county near Seaford.

Most of the dunes along the Atlantic coast are typical barrier-beach features of the modern shoreline. They lie at altitudes from sea level to about 25 feet above sea level. Scattered interdune areas near sea level at Ft. Miles were observed to contain thin black sandy deposits in which remnants of tree stumps or roots are found. These areas were formerly swamps on which wind-blown sand has accumulated to form dunes.

## Terraces

The surface of Sussex County is beveled by at least two, and perhaps as many as five gently sloping surfaces, designated terraces. The country is so flat that the boundaries of these surfaces are difficult to observe in the field. The boundaries are marked by low scarps, having relief of the order of 5 feet per 100 feet, or by chains of low sand ridges, which are stabilized, and commonly are obscured by vegetation. On topographic maps of a $10-\mathrm{foot}$ interval, the most prominent scarp is indicated only by a gentle gradient between the 20 -foot and 30 -foot contour lines. On aerial photographs, however, evidence of a terrace a few feet above sea level is apparent in some places.

Question has arisen among geologists as to whether the terraces were formed under marine conditions during higher states of the sea, or by large rivers, debouching from the ice front of the Pleistocene glaciers. In favor of the marine interpretation is the observation, challenged by some, that the similar terraces extend along the Atlantic Coastal Plain from Long Island to the Florida peninsula. Of those terraces that may exist in Sussex County, the following names and range in altitudes above gea level have been given (Cook, 1930): Silver Bluff, 0 to 6 feet; Princess Anne, 6 to 15 feet; Pamlico, 15 to 25 feet; Talbot, 25 to 40 feet; and Penholoway, 40 to 70 feet.

## OUT LJNE OF GEOLOGY

The geology of Sussex County appears very simple to the casual observer. Loose sand and silt, and peaty clay compose the surficial materials. Only
when the subsurface materials are studied by means of well logs and drilling samples does the geologic picture emerge. The facts and possibilities are briefly sketched in the following outline of the geology, as a necessary framework for the discussion of the flow of surface water and the occurrence of ground water.

## STRUCTURE AND STRATIGRAPHY

The sedimentary strata that underlie Sussex County lie on a sloping hard rock floor which lies from about 4,000 to more than 8,000 feet below land surface. A vertical cross-section through sedimentary material and the underlying crystalline basement, showing the regional structure, is presented in plate 6. Rocks similar to those composing the basement are exposed in the hills of the Piedmont Plateau around Wilmington, Del., Baltimore, Md., and Washington, D. C. The surface of this basement complex slopes gently southeastward toward the Atlantic Ocean at an average rate of approximately 60 feet per mile. No well in Sussex County has penetrated to a depth great enough to reach the basement, but two oil-test wells on the Eastern Shore of Maryland, a few miles south of the Sussex County line, intersect the base~ ment more than a mile below land surface. Apparently, the basement surface beneath Sussex County lies near the center of a regional depression, the sides of which rise gently northeastward toward New Jersey and southwestward toward the Cape Fear Arch of the Carolinas.

Seismic refraction studies along the Coastal Plain suggest that the base ment complex beneath Sussex County consists of crystalline rocks, probably of Precambrian or Paleozoic age. In addition, sediments of presumed Triassic age arebelieved to form part of the basement complex of Sussex County, owing to the tentative identification of 120 feet of such material in the two oil-test wells on the Eastern Shore of Maryland (Anderson, and others, 1948).

The rocks overlying the basement complex are unconsolidated sediments which lie in layers upon the sloping basement. Generally, the thickness of the unconsolidated layers increases southeastward, in the direction of regional dip, forming a series of wedge-shaped strata. This homoclinal geologic structure predominates throughout the subsurface of Sussex County. Regional structural relationships of the rocks of New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Maryland, are shown in the block diagram of figure 1.

Sediments which have been identified as Cretaceous in age were encountered near Bridgeville in oil-test wells, Od23-1 and Od23-2, at a depth of about 1,330 feet. It is thought that the depth to the top of the Cretaceous ranges from 1, 000 feet below sea level in northwestern Sussex County to 2, 100 feet below sea level beneath Fenwick Island in southeastern Sussex County. As shown in the geologic cross-section (plate 6), the Cretaceous system ranges in thickness from about 2,000 feet on the west to almost 6,000 feet on the east. The intake area for water in the sands in the Cretaceous system comprises a strip a few miles wide, extending east from the Fall Line and traversing a belt from Washington, D. C., through Baltimore, Md., Wilmington, Del., and Camden, N. J., lying in general, 40 miles updip from

Sussex County. Additional water enters the sands of the Cretaceous system by leakage from overlying beds.

The Tertiary system unconformably overlies the Cretaceous system. In Delaware, the Paleocene, Eocene, Miocene, and Pliocene(?) series have been identified, and the Oligocene series is regarded as absent.

The Paleocene series has been identified in well Od23-1 on the basis of Foraminifera of Midway age (Richards, 1945a, p. 900). It forms a marine unit believed to be about 200 feet thick, and to underlie the entire county at a depth ranging from about 600 feet below msl on the northwest, to about 1, 800 feet below msl on the southeast. It consists of greensand and shale, which are possibly equivalent to the Brightseat formation of Maryland (Bennett and Collins, 1952) and the Hornerstown marl of New Jersey.

The Eocene series overlies the Paleocene series conformably. It has been reached and. identified, on the basis of microfossils, in three wells in Sussex County, at Seaford, Milford, and the oil test (Od23-1) near Bridgeville. It was possibly also reached in the old deep well at Lewes (Woolman, 1899) although the science of micropaleontology was not sufficiently advanced at that time for recognition of the upper Eocene. Although the data are meager, on the interpretation of plate 6, Eocene rocks appear to range in thickness from 100 to 400 feet.

Gray sediments of the Miocene series overlie the green sediments of the Eocene series unconformably because during the Oligocene epoch, which intervened between the deposition of the two, there was either nondeposition, or sediments that were deposited were later eroded. In Kent County, Del. the Miocene sediments ovexlap the Piney Point formation of late Eocene age.

The unconsolidated rocks of the Miocene series in Sussex County have been divided into four formations: the Calvert, Choptank, and St. Marys formations; and the Cohansey sand (Miocene?). The Calvert, Choptank, and St. Marys formations of the Chesapeake group of Maryland (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1957) are considered on the basis of lithology and stratigraphic position to be equivalent to the Kirkwood formation of New Jersey.

The Cohansey sand was first defined in New Jersey by Kummel and Knapp (1904, p. 32), for exposures of a light-colored medium to coarse quartz sand, containing layers of clay up to 24 feet in thickness. Richards (1956, p. 86) says that the Cohansey sand in New Jersey is as much as 250 feet thick down dip from the outcrop. The absence of marine fossils and the presence of lignite indicate that the Cohansey sand was deposited in a nonmarine environment. The Cohansey sand is regarded by some geologists as the shoreward facies of the marine Yorktown formation of Virginia.

The Pliocene(?) series is represented in Sussex County by deposits of unfossiliferous red or orange gravelly sands as evidenced by wells at Bridgeville, Seaford, Laurel, Lewes, and Millsboro (see plate 10). Following interpretations of Rasmussen and Slaughter (1955, p. 103-108; 1957, p. 78-80) for six counties of the Eastern Shore of Maryland, these deposits are con-
sidered to be fluviatile in origin and are correlated on the basis of regional structure and dip, with the Brandywine formation of the western shore of Maryland.

The Pleistocene series in Sussex County has been studied in detail because it forms the major aquifer. During part of the Pleistocene epoch, sea level was much lower than at present, and valleys were excavated in the Pliocene(?) and Miocene sedimentary rocks. The unconsolidated sediments of the Pleistocene series were deposited in these channels. Much of the deposition occurred during the advance and recession of huge ice sheets which covered the northern half of North America during four major stages. The active front of the ice came as far south as Long Island, northern New Jersey, and central Pennsylvania. Large volumes of melt-water came down the Susquehanna and Delaware Rivers, and were carried down to Sussex County.

About 10,000 years ago, the Recent epoch began. The flora resembled that which covers the land today, and the development of soils on the Pleistocene deposits, the filling of the swamps and marshes, the formation of coastal dunes, and the deposition of the modern beach began.

Table 1 summarizes the geologic formations and their water-bearing characteristics.

## PALEONTOLOGY

Paleontology is the study of the evidences of the life of the past as preserved in the rocks. These evidences are fossils, a term which includes actual remains or traces of plant or animal life imbedded in successive layers of sand, silt, or clay. By means of these fossils, the sequence of deposition and the environment in which the organisms lived or died may be ascertained.

The paleontologic work done in Sussex County up to 1958 is meager. This is due partially to the fact that the surface exposures are sands and silts of the Pleistocene epoch which are essentially barren fo fossils representative of animal life. Moreover, few holes have been drilled through the Pleistocene series to the underlying fossiliferous Tertiary formations, and of those which have, only a few efforts have been made to collect and study samples. One of the most promising avenues of investigation is the study of fossil pollen in organic layers in the Pleistocene series and the Miocene series. Correlation with glacial and interglacial stages may be established by study of the pollen sequence, aided by measurements of age by the carbon-14 method.

Fossiliferous pebbles have been found insand pits at Gravel Hill, 2 miles southeast of Lincoln, and at numerous other locations throughout the county. These pebbles represent reworked and redeposited chert, and are a clue to Paleozoic source areas of the gravel, but they are not of correlative value for the deposit itself.

In excavations of the Lewes and Rehoboth Canal, the Assawoman Canal
Table 1.--Geologic formations in Sussex County, and their water-bearing properties.

| Era | System | Scries | Geologic units | Thickness (feet) | General charscter | Water-bearing propertices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cenozoic | Quaternary | Recent | Undifferentiated | $0.25^{+}$ | Dune and beach sands; marsh mud; organic silts in poorly drained basins; man-made fill; loam soil. | Chiefly above the zone of saturation; not productive to wells. Small supplies may be available along the beaches. |
|  |  | Pleistocene | Parsonsburg sand | 0-90, but only 5 to 15 feet thick over the broad divide area. | A stratified deposit composed predominantly of brown sand. A lower member is gravelly and silty; contains a few erratic cobbles and rare boulders. An upper member is well-sorted fine sand. The interpretation is that river floods of glacial mehtwater brought the coarse detritus, and periglacial winds deposited the fine sand. | An average permeability of 330 gpd per square foot, and a specific yield of about 33 percent, make thia formation an excellent intake for recharge. Much of the formation is in the zone of aeration, and the saturated part is thin. Yields water to wells only in a few places. |
|  |  |  | Pamlico and Talbot formations. | 0-60, but extends from 25 feet above to 100 feet below sea level. | Irregularly bedded gray ailt and clay, with some sand and gravel occupying valleys, | Yields small quantities of water to domestic wells. |
|  |  |  | Walston ailt (may be part of Penholoway or Wicornico terraces) | $0-70,$ <br> but extends from 60 feet above to 20 feet below sea level. | Lenticular beds of fine sand, silt, clay, and peat. More sandy than in Wicomico County, Md. | Yields water to a few dornestic wells. |
|  |  |  | Beaverdam sand (equivalent to Bridgeton and Pensauken formations of N. J.). | $0-110$, but extende from 45 feet above to 105 feet below sea level. | Tan or buff, fairly well-sorted, coarse to medium-grained gand, and thin layera of gravel and silt. A product of glacial meltwater floods, and of estuarine fill. | The major aquifer of Sussex County, yielding water to roughly 60 percent of the wells. The average coefficient of permeability is 470 gpd per foot, and the specific yield is about 30 percent. |

Table 1．－－Continued．

| Era | System | Series |  | Geologic unit |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thickness } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | General character | Water－bearing properties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ceno- } \\ \tilde{o}^{\text {ooic }} \end{gathered}$ | Tertiary | Piiocene（？） |  | Brandywine formation |  | 0－90？ |  | Yields water freely to wells where present． |
|  |  |  |  |  | Upper aquiclude | 0－40？ | Gray sandy silt，beneath mantle of the Pleisto－ cene－Pliocene（？）series，found oniy in south－ eastern corner of county． | Transmits water slowly，yields little water to wells． |
|  |  |  |  | 号 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Pocomoke } \\ \text { aquifer }}}{ }$ | 0－60？ | Gray medium to fine sand，beneath southeastern one－eighth of county． | Probably a fair aquifer．Little used up to 1958. |
|  |  | Mincene（？） | 吕 | 㐫 | Lower aquiclude | 0－80？ | Blue－gray sandy silt underlying younger forma－ tions beneath southeastern one－half of county． | Tranemite water slowly，yielda little water to wells． |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ | Manokin aquifer | 0－100？ | Brown and gray sands，underlying younger formations beneath southeastern two－thirds of county． | Probably a good aquifer．Little used up to 1958. |
|  |  |  |  | St． | Marys formation | 30－200 | Blue silty clay and very fine sand，shells，and Foraminifera． | Yields ittle or no water to wells． |
|  |  | Miocene | 苞 |  | etank formation Frederica aquifor＂ | 100－250 | Gray and brown sand and clay containing shell， marl，and Foraminifera． | An aquifer．Water suitable for most pur－ poses in northern sector．Elsewhere quality doubtful． |
|  |  |  |  |  | ert formation Cheswold aquifer＂ | 200－650 | Gray，diatomaceous silts and clays，containing lenses of gray，coarse to fine sand． | Generally yields little water to wells，but does contain one or more aquifers in most places．Water may be high in dissolved－solid content． |
|  |  | Eocene |  | Pine | Point formation | $60-160$ | Olive－green quartz sand，slightly glauconitic； and sandy clay containing Foraminifers of Jack－ gon age．Hard driling． | A potential aquifer at Milford，and possibly northwestern Sussex County．Water may be fairly high in dissolved－solid content，but not unduly hard． |
|  |  |  |  | Nanj | moy formation | 0－100 | Blackish－green，highiy glauconitic，sand，silt， and clay． | Not known to yield water． |

Table 1.--Concluded.

| Era | System | Series | Geologic unit | Thickness (feet) | General character | Water-bearing properties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cenozoic | Tertiary | Eocene or Paleocene | Aquia greentand or Vincentown sand | 0-140 | A green, glauconitic, quartz sand, containing lenses of clay, and shell fragments. | An aquifer in central Delaware, and on the central Eastern Shore of Maryland. No records in Sussex County. |
|  |  | Paleocene | Brightseat formation or Hornerstown marl | 100-230 | Greensand and shale, with Foraminifera of Midway age. | Not used as a source of water in Suasex County. Probably yielda little water to wells. |
| Mesozoic | Cretaceous | Upper Cretaceous | Manmouth group | 125-150? | Clay and silty fine asnd, glauconitic and fossiliferous. | Probably yields little water to wells. Electric log of oil test near Bridgeville indicates low permeability. |
|  |  |  | Matawan group | 125-150? | Black, micaceour glauconitic clay and brown glauconitic aand. | Sands are aquifers in northern Delaware. No welle in Sussex County tap this unit. |
|  |  |  | Magothy formation | 0-150 | Predominantly light colored lignitic clay with some sand in northern Delaware. Not identified in Bridgeville or Seaford wells. | Upper part probably not an important waterbearing zone. Lower part may yield as much as 150 gpm . |
|  |  | Upper and Lower Cretaceous | Raritan, Patapsco, and Patuxent formations, undifferentiated | 2000-5000 | Variegated clay and gray sand. A few Foraminifera and Ostracoda in the Bridgeville oil test indicate that some of the clays are marine, although mont of the formation is regarded as continental in origin. | Unused aquifer in Sussex County. Water probably brackish or saline. |
|  | Triassic | Unknown |  | 0-300 | Hard sandstones, shales, and conglomerates. No wells have penetrated it. | A doubtful aguifer. Waters would be warm and high in dissolved solids. |
| Paleozoic and Precambrian |  | Unknown | Basement | Unknown | Hard, crystalline, gneiss and schist, on the hasis of seismograph and magnetic records. | Dense rock that neither contains nor transmits ground water. No wells have penetrated to this depth. |

near Ocean View, and the Indian River Inlet, shells of Recent or Pleistocene age were collected from elevations about at sea level (Richards, 1936).

Deep wells at Milford, Seaford, Bridgeville, and Lewes provide fossil evidence of correlative value in deposits of the Miocene, Eocene, Paleocene, and Upper Cretaceous series. Wells in Cape May County, N. J., and the Eastern Shore of Maryland provide evidence in the adjacent areas. The kinds of fossils preserved include shark teeth, bryozoa, and shells of pelecypods and gastropods. Remains of diatoms (microscopic algae which secrete siliceous shells) and coccoliths (calcareous secretions of one-celled plants) have been found. Some lignite, or altered vegetal material and pollen is also preserved. A summary of available paleontologic evidence is presented in table 2.

## SURFACE WATER

By Robert M. Beall

The waterways and water resources have had a dominant influence on the settlement and development of Sussex County. The tidal estuaries of the Mispillion, Broadkill, Indian, and Nanticoke Rivers provided access to the fertile lands beyond the marshy coast. The principal communities were established near the heads of the tidal rivers where dams were built to harness waterpower for the mills and where shipping could import and export products. As early as 1726, a law was passed forbidding the construction of dams across rivers except for the use of mills. During the century that followed, the utility of the surface waters contributed significantly to the economic growth of the county. Following the mid-1800's there was a general decline in the importance of the rivers and creeks as mills converted to steam power and railroads provided an improved form of transport. Many navigable channels and mill ponds lost their utility because of siltation.

The usually shallow water tables, productive soils, and tempered climate have fostered an extensive agricultural development that has dominated the economy. The principal current role of the streams and their natural or improved channels is that of providing a means for the disposal of municipal and industrial (principally agricultural) wastes, and for the drainage of surplus water from fertile but wet and unworkable areas.

The use of surface waters for recreation, supplemental irrigation, and conservation has been increasing in recent years. The interior areas, in particular, have experienced droughts whereas the lower stream reaches and shallow valleys are subject to flooding when the ground becomes saturated and gradients are too slight to carry off accumulated excess water. Streamflow measuring stations have been established to obtain some quantitative knowledge of the ever-changing supplies of fresh water. Specific information about the magnitude and variability of water resources is essential to their full utilization and effective administration in the interest of all of the potential users.
Table 2. - Paleontology of samples from wells and dredgings in Sussex County.

| Source | Depth (feet) | Series and Formation | Paleontology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Me15-11 <br> located near <br> Milford <br> Paleontologist Richards (1948) <br> Glenn G. Collins official communication 1952 (see p. 112) | $204-223$ <br> 637. 3-777.5 | Miocene series <br> Eocene series <br> Piney Point formation | Mollusca: <br> Venus mercenaria Linne <br> Astarte undulata vaginulata Dall <br> Astarte symmetrica Conrad <br> Dosinia acetabulum Conrad <br> Turritella cumberlandia Conrad <br> Pecten madisonius Say <br> Melina maxillata Deshayes <br> Foraminifera of Jackson age, rare or in a poor state of preservation |
| Dredged from Lewes Rehoboth Canal near Broadkill Creek <br> Paleontologist Richards (1936) | 0-6 | Pleistocene series pamlico formation | Pelecypoda: <br> Arca campechiensis Say Ostrea virginica Gmelin Anomia simplex d'Orbigny Modiolus demissus Dillwyn Venus mexcenaria Linnaeus Tagelus gibbus Spengler Mya arenaria Linnaeus Gastropoda: <br> Crepidula fornicata Linnaeus Nassa obsoleta Say |

Table 2. -- Continued

| Source | Depth (feet) | Series and Formation | Paleontology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Ni34-1located at Lewes, Del.Paleontologist -Woolman (1899)Richards (1945a; 1947)Richards andHarbison (1942,p. 234) | 40-50 | Pleistocene series | Solen americanus, Gould; Mulinia later- |
|  |  | Pamlico fo | alis, Say; Nassa trivittata, Say; Anomia sp.? |
|  | 50-60 | do | Tellina tenera, Say |
|  | 70-80 | do | Natica duplicata, Say; Nassa trivittata, |
|  |  |  | Say |
|  | 80-125 | do | Some lignite and comminuted shell |
|  | 125-267 | Miocene (?) series Cohansey sand |  |
|  | 267-268 | do | Some lignite |
|  | 268-294 | do |  |
|  | 294-404 | Miocene series | Comminuted molluscan frssils from 396 to 402 |
|  | 404-772 | do | Diatoms 595 to 658 , with some comminuted shell and minute Echinus spines |
|  | 772-950 | do |  |
|  | 950-990 | do | Diatoms, and at 985 ft . a well preserved Olivella mutica |
|  | 990-1020 | do | Diatoms and coccoliths |
|  | 1020-1064 | do | Small shells |
|  | 1064-1080 | do |  |

Table 2. -- Continued

| Source | Depth (feet) | Series and Formation | Paleontology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Composite record of wells Od23-1 and Od24-1 | 0-180 |  | No samples |
|  | 180-200 | Miocene (?) series |  |
|  |  | Cohansey sand |  |
|  | 200-700 | Miocene series |  |
| Paleontologist Richards (1945a) |  | St. Marys, Choptank, and Calvert formations |  |
|  | 700-850 | Eocene series <br> Piney Point formation | Solidobalanus; Foraminifera, including Bulimina jacksonensis, Cushman |
|  | 850-1250 | Aquia greensand | Foraminifera, including Ceratobulimina, ${ }^{\text {sp}}$ p., Textularia sp., Anomalina sp. |
|  | 1250-1330 | Paleocene series | Foraminifera of Midway age, including <br> Vaginulina longiforma (Plummer), <br> Robulus sp., Nodosaria sp., <br> Hemicristellaria sp. |
|  | 1330-1650 | Upper Cretaceous series Monmouth and Matawan groups | Foraminifera, including Dorothia bulletta (Carsey), Anomalina taylorensis (Carsey), Bolivinoides decorata (Jones), Cibicides sp. |
|  | 1650-3000 | Raritan formation | ```Few Foraminifera and Ostracoda, including Kyphopxa, Fondicularia cf. goldfussi (Reuss), F. archiaciana (d'Orbigny), F. gracilis (Franke) (2,05l-2,091ft.)``` |

Table 2. -- Continued

| Source | Depth (feet) | Series and Formation | Paleontology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dredged from LewesRehoboth Canal at Henlopen acres Paleontologist Richards (1936) | 0-21 | Pleistocene series Pamlico formation | Crustacea: <br> Balanus sp. <br> Pelecypoda: <br> Ostrea virginica Gmelin <br> Modiolus demissus Dillwyn Venus mercenaria Linnaeus Tagelus gibbus Spengler <br> Gastropoda: <br> Crepidula fornicata Linnaeus Nassa obsoleta Say |
| Well Pc33-1 located at Seaford | $\begin{aligned} & 0-75 \\ & 75-212 \\ & 212-251 \end{aligned}$ | Pleistocene series Miocene (?) series Cohansey sand Miocene series |  |
| Paleontologist - <br> Richards | $\begin{aligned} & 251-281 \\ & 281-330 \\ & 330-338 \\ & 338-364 \\ & 364-386 \\ & 386-437 \\ & 437-452 \end{aligned}$ <br> 452-488 <br> 488-492 <br> 492-611 | do <br> do <br> do <br> do <br> do <br> do <br> do <br> do <br> do <br> do | Pecten sp., and Bryozoa <br> Pecten madisonius Say; Corbula sp. <br> Turritella variabilis Conrad <br> Phacoides crenulatus Conrad; Pecten sp. (probably madisonius Say; Balanus sp.) Pecten madisonius Say; Glycymeris sp. <br> Balanus sp., shark tooth |

Table 2. -- Continued

| Source | Depth (feet) | Series and Formation | Paleontology |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Pc33-1 (continued) | 611-666 $666-902$ | Miocene series <br> Eocene (?) series | Pecten madisonius Say; Turritella variabilis Conrad; Balanus sp. Phacoides crenulatus Conrad |
| Dredged at Indian River Inlet <br> Paleontologist Richards (1936) | Spoil bank | Pleistocene series Pamlico formation | Shells worn and dark <br> Annelida: Eupomotus dianthus Verrill <br> Pelecypoda: Arca campechiensis Say <br> (A. Pexata Say); Ostrea virginica <br> Gmelin; Pecten gibbus irradians <br> Lamarck; Anomia simplex d'Orbigny; <br> Mytilus edulis Linnaeus; Venus <br> mercenaria Linnaeus; Gemma gemma <br> Totten; Petricola pholadiformis <br> Lamarck; Macoma balthica Linnaeus; <br> Tagelus gibbus Spenglex; Ensis <br> directus Conrad; Mactra solidissima <br> Dillwyn; Milinia lateralis Say; Pholas <br> costata Linnaeus <br> Gastropoda: <br> Polinices duplicata Say; Crepidula <br> fornicata Linnaeus; Crepidula plana <br> Say; Nassa obsoleta Say; Nassa <br> trivittata Say; Nassa vibex Say; Fulgur canaliculata Linnaeus; Fulgur perversa Linnaeus |

Table 2. -- Concluded
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\hline \text { Source } & \text { Depth (feet) } & \text { Series and Formation } & \text { Paleontology } \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Dredged from the } \\ \text { Assawoman Canal } \\ \text { near Ocean View }\end{array} & 0-10 ? & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pleistocene series } \\ \text { Pamlico formation }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Crustacea: } \\ \text { Balanus sp. } \\ \text { Paleontologist - } \\ \text { Richards (1936) }\end{array} \\ \text { Ostrea virginica Gmelin }\end{array}\right]$

## GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Records of stage and measurements of discharge are the base data collected at stream-gaging stations. In addition to these data, observations of factors affecting the stage-discharge relation and weather records are used to supplement base data in determining the daily flow. The records of stage are obtained either from direct readings on a nonrecording gage or from 'a water-stage recorder that gives a continuous record of fluctuations. Measurements of discharge are made with a current meter by the general methods adopted by the Geological Survey. These methods are described in WaterSupply Paper 888 (Corbett, and others, 1945) and are also outlined in standard textbooks on the measurement of stream discharge. Typical structures in use at gaging stations are shown in plate 7.

## Definition of Terms

The units in which hydrologic data are given and some of the terms used in this report are defined as follows:

Cubic foot per second (cfs) is the rate of discharge equivalent to that of a stream whose channel is 1 square foot in cross-sectional area and whose average velocity is 1 foot per second.

Cubic feet per second per square mile (cfsm) is the average number of cubic feet of water per second flowing each square mile of area drained, assuming that the runoff is distributed uniformly in time and area.

Million gallons per day per square mile ( mgdsm ) is defined in the same manner as the previous term and conversion between terms may be made with the following constants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l \mathrm{cfs}=448.8 \mathrm{gpm}=0.6463 \mathrm{mgd} \\
& \mathrm{l} \mathrm{mgd}=694.4 \mathrm{gpm}=1.547 \mathrm{cfs}
\end{aligned}
$$

The drainage area of a stream at a specified location is that area, meas ured in a horizontal plane and usually expressed in square miles (sq mi), which is so enclosed by a topographic divide that direct surface runoff from precipitation normally would drain by gravity into the river above the specified point. This definition is difficult to adhere to in some of the swampy headwater areas where drainage ditches cross the natural divides and in the coastal tidal marshland which is fed by numerous streams whose lower extremities are interconnected by ditches and by navigable canals with ocean outlets.

A water year begins October 1 and ends September 30 and is the annual period for which most streamflow data are reported. Normally, minimum flows occur near the end of the water year. Another annual period, April 1 to March 31, encompassing the low-flow season, is sometimes used in the study of low-flow characteristics.

One part per million ( ppm ) is a unit weight of a constituent in a million unit weights of water. In the chemical analysis of water samples, it is nec-
essary to determine the presence of various substances usually found in minute amounts; therefore, the results are usually expressed in parts per million rather than in percentages. One part per million equals one tenthousandth of one percent ( 0.0001 percent).

## Records Available

The major river basins of Sussex Cointy drain eastward to Delaware Bay or the Atlantic Ocean and southwestward to Chesapeake Bay as shown on the block diagram, figure l. Streamflow records for four gaging stations in the county and two other stations nearby provide the basis for the analyses presented in this report. Two more stations have been established in the area recently -- one in the county and one in Kent County. In addition, periodic or occasional measurements of flow have been made at ten selected sites in the area. The data have been collected by the U. S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the States of Delaware and Maryland and with the Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army.

Streamflow records have been collected for the relatively short period since 1943, and at only two sites in the county for that length of time. Thus, no detailed information is available for the drought of the early thirties or the significant floods of the midthirties. The drought of 1954 ranks fifth in magnitude to the droughts recorded in adjacent States during the period 1896 to 1958.

The length of record available for each of the gaging sites is indicated on the bar graph in figure 3. The sites are shown on the drainage-basin outline map, plate 8, the numbers corresponding to those on the bar graph. Daily discharge records, and a monthly and yearly summary, are published in Part 1 (Part 1-B subsequent to 1950) of the U.S. Geological Survey watersupply paper series entitled "Surface Water Supply of the United States." The observed monthly and yearly data for six gaging stations in the report area have been summarized in table 3 which includes the mean discharge and the monthly maximum and minimum discharges with their years of occurrence. The data for Nanticoke River near Bridgeville is presented graphically in figure 4.

Results of base-flow measurements made during the period 1955-58 at eight sites within the county are summarized in table 4. A summary of monthly data for two partial-record gaging sites in Maryland which reflect conditions in small areas of southwestern Sussex County was published by the Maryland Department of Geology, Mines and Water Resources (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955). These data are discussed later in this report.

The drainage areas of some of the principal streams and at the gaging sites within each major basin are listed in table 5. The figures of drainage area are provisional and subject to considerable modification when time will permit their determination from the latest map series. Because of the poor topographic definition, these determinations should be supplemented by field surveys and investigations and by the information contained in files of drainage and highway engineers and others. Some areas in the headwaters and


Figure 3. Bar graph of duration of records at gaging stations in the Sussex County area.
Table 3.-- Summary of observed monthly and yearly discharge at stream-gaging stations in the Sussex County area.

| Index no. (pl. 8) | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Water year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean monthly and yearly discharge in million gallons per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2.05 | 3.49 | 4.98 | 6.53 | 6.46 | 7.76 | 5.90 | 4.65 | 3.84 | 3.34 | 3.26 | 1.87 | 4.55 |
| 8 | 18.6 | 29.1 | 41.1 | 52.0 | 57.7 | 75.6 | 51.6 | 30.7 | 32.1 | 15.0 | 28. 1 | 11.3 | 36.8 |
| 10 | 21.3 | 36.8 | 64.6 | 80.8 | 77.6 | 95.0 | 76.3 | 54.2 | 46.4 | 34.6 | 36.8 | 22.3 | 54.4 |
| 14 | 3.71 | 7.69 | 11.6 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 22.4 | 16.0 | 8.34 | 7.76 | 2.90 | 5.76 | 3.10 | 10.3 |
| 16 | . 023 | . 016 | . 034 | . 198 | . 413 | . 711 | . 575 | . 229 | . 918 | . 005 | . 127 | . 028 | . 204 |
| 17 | 6.98 | 22.1 | 43.1 | 56.4 | 46.1 | 60.8 | 43.0 | 28.1 | 22.8 | 24.9 | 21.7 | 8.01 | 32.4 |
| Maximum monthly and yearly dis charge in million gallons per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3.72 | 7.37 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 13.4 | 9.11 | 12.7 | 16.4 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 3.24 | 7.17 |
| 8 | 78.9 | 47.4 | 66.6 | 96.3 | 91.8 | 128 | 82.7 | 52.0 | 70.4 | 27.0 | 69.2 | 24.2 | 52.2 |
| 10 | 40.5 | 80.8 | 190 | 154 | 153 | 163 | 117 | 127 | 193 | 82.7 | 95.0 | 48.0 | 87.3 |
| 14 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 18.9 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 37.9 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 15.0 | 5.49 | 11.2 | 8.47 | 15.3 |
| 16 | . 140 | . 087 | . 098 | . 591 | 1.22 | 2.02 | 1.49 | . 679 | . 393 | . 028 | . 376 | . 158 | . 554 |
| 17 | 13.7 | 67.2 | 127 | 112 | 94.4 | 101 | 70.4 | 107 | 101 | 112 | 72.4 | 27.8 | 56.9 |
| Calendar year (last two digits) of maximum of record. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 48 | 48 | 45 | 52 | 49 | 52 | 53 | 48 | 48 | 45 | 48 | 55 | 48 |
| 8 | 55 | 55 | 51 | 52 | 56 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 52 |
| 10 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 49 | 49 | 52 | 52 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 55 | 55 | 52 |
| 14 | 55 | 51 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 52 |
| 16 | 55 | 55 | 52 | 53 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 55 | 55 | 52 |
| 17 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 49 | 49 | 44 | 44,52 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 52 | 50 | 52 |


| Minimum monthly and yearly discharge in milliongallons per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 0.737 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 2.26 | 3.16 | 3.95 | 3.48 | 2.76 | 1.64 | 0.853 | 0.418 | 0.513 | 2.80 |
| 8 | 5.66 | 11.7 | 22. 7 | 20.2 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 30.9 | 17.8 | 12.5 | 6.41 | 4.76 | 6.46 | 23.8 |
| 10 | 11.6 | 18.0 | 15.4 | 19.8 | 32.9 | 52.4 | 42.0 | 29.6 | 20.1 | 11.3 | 8.79 | 6.53 | 32.6 |
| 14 | 1.22 | 3.30 | 8.14 | 6.05 | 9.18 | 15.0 | 8.92 | 5.86 | 4.18 | 1.31 | . 357 | . 885 | 7.11 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 090 | . 005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 034 |
| 17 | 3.23 | 7.37 | 5.38 | 6.79 | 20.4 | 31.2 | 20.1 | 11.9 | 5.73 | 2.96 | 2.58 | 2. 12 | 14.7 |
| Calendar year (last two digits) of minimum of record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 47 | 50 | 43 | 51 | 44 | 51 | 45 | 55 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 51 |
| 8 | 52,53 | 53 | 49 | 50 | 54 | 51 | 55 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 51 |
| 10 | 43 | 46 | 43 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 50 |
| 14 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 55 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 54 |
| 16 | 50-54 | 53, 54 | 50, 54 | 55, 56 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55,56 | 51, 53-56 | 51,54,56 | 51,54,56 | 51 |



Figure 4. Monthly discharge, Nanticoke River near Bridgeville.

Table 4. -- Results of base-flow discharge measurements $\frac{\text { at sites other than gaging stations }}{\text { ater }}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { no. } \\ \text { (pl. } 8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drainage } \\ \text { area } \\ \text { (sq mi) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Date | Discharge $(\mathrm{cfs})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ```Cedar Creek near Lincoln (at bridge 1.2 mi south)``` | 7.21 | June 29, 1955 | 12.9 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 11.6 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 10.4 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 11.4 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 9.40 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 30, 1956 | 15.3 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 1, 1957 | 18.5 |
|  |  |  | June 25, 1957 | 7.89 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 22, 1958* | 23.5 |
| 3. | Pemberton Branch near Milton (at bridge 1.5 mi west) | 6.68 | June 29, 1955 | 7.30 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 5. 47 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 4.59 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 10.4 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 7.22 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 30, 1956 | 9.41 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 1, 1957 | 14.6 |
|  |  |  | June 25, 1957 | 5.83 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 22, 1958* | 29.1 |
| 4 | Beaverdam Creek near Milton (at bridge 2.5 mi east) | 6.10 | June 29, 1955 | 7.76 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 7.78 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 7.68 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 9.32 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 10.4 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 30, 1956 | 11.9 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 1, 1957 | 18.5 |
|  |  |  | June 25, 1957 | 9.82 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 22, 1958* | 27.0 |
| 7 | ```Pepper Creek at Dagsboro (at Del. Hwy. 26)``` | 8. 78 | June 29, 1955 | 4.35 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 6.49 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 9.35 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 2.93 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 2.94 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 29, 1956 | 8.37 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 16, 1957 | 8.32 |
|  |  |  | June 24, 1957 | 1.94 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 22, 1958* | 11.0 |

Table 4. -- Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ \text { no. } \\ \text { (pl. } 8 \text { ) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drainage } \\ \text { area } \\ \text { (sq mi) } \end{gathered}$ | Date | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Discharge } \\ (\mathrm{cfs}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Deep Creek at Old Furnace (at bridge 5. 6 mi northeast of Seaford) | 33.0 | June 30, 1955 | 13.8 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 19.6 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 30.7 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 21.0 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 13.6 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 29, 1956 | 44.2 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 16, 1957 | 36.6 |
|  |  |  | June 24, 1957 | 12.9 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 21, 1958* | 41.6 |
| 12 | ```Tyndall Branch near Hardscrabble (at bridge 1.4 mi northeast)``` | 12.7 | June 29, 1955 | 7.56 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 9.66 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 13.2 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 8.73 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 6.02 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 29, 1956 | 19.1 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 16, 1957 | 14.7 |
|  |  |  | June 24, 1957 | 6.72 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 21, 1958* | 23.7 |
| 13 | ```Butler Mill Branch near Woodland (at bridge 2. 2 mi north)``` | 6.96 | June 30, 1955 | 3.53 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 6, 1955 | 7.60 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 17, 1955 | 5.82 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 4.64 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 3.65 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 29, 1956 | 9.80 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 16, 1957 | 9.27 |
|  |  |  | June 24, 1957 | 7.14 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 21, 1958* | 16.1 |
| 15 | ```Chipman Pond Branch near Laurel (at bridge 2.9 mi northeast)``` | 8.55 | June 29, 1955 | 4.60 |
|  |  |  | Oct. 5, 1955 | 5.83 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 15, 1955 | 8.49 |
|  |  |  | June 13, 1956 | 5.04 |
|  |  |  | Aug. 13, 1956 | 2.56 |
|  |  |  | Nov. 29, 1956 | 10.2 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 16, 1957 | 9.52 |
|  |  |  | June 24, 1957 | 3.94 |
|  |  |  | Apr. 21, 1958* | 17.5 |

* Results of chemical analysis shown in table 13.

Table 5.-- Drainage areas of streams in the Sussex County area
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Index } \\ \text { no. } \\ \text { (pl. 8) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Name of stream in downstream order } \\ \text { (square miles } \\ \text { Total }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { In Md. }\end{array}\right]$

Table 5.-- Continued

| Index <br> no. | Name of stream in downstream order | Drainage area <br> (square miles) <br> Total In Md. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| CHESAPEAKE BAY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POCOMOKE RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |
| Pocomoke River at Delaware State line |  | 26.8 | - |
| 8. ..... Pocomoke River near Willards, Md. (1.3 mi east) WICOMICO RIVER BASIN |  | 60.5 | 22.3 |
| ......Leonard Pond Run near Delmar (2 mi south) | b | 16.2 | 14.1 |
| Wicomico River above Beaverdam Creek, Md. |  | 42.1 | 38.5 |
| NANTICOKE RIVER BASIN |  |  |  |
| Nanticoke River at Greenwood |  | + | - |
| Gum Branch |  | + | - |
| 10. .. ... Nanticoke River near Bridgeville ( 2.5 mi southeast) | a | 75.4 | - |
| Gravelly Branch |  | + | - |
| 11......... Deep Creek at Old Furnace Mill | b | 33.0 | - |
| 12. .. ......... Tyndall Branch near Hardscrabble (1. 4 mi northeast) | b | 12.7 | - |
| Clear Brook |  | + | - |
| Nanticoke River at Seaford |  | 214 | - |
| 13. . . . . . . Butler Mill Branch near Seaford ( 2.6 mi west) | b | 6.96 | - |
| James Branch (head of Broad Creek) |  | + | - |
| ( 5 mi southwest) |  |  |  |
| ( 2.9 mi northeast) |  |  |  |
| Broad Creek at Records Pond Outlet, Laurel |  | + | 3.5 |
| Little Creek |  | + | - |
| 16........... Holly Ditch near Laurel ( 1.5 mi southwest) | a | 2. 19 | - |
| Tussocky Branch |  | + | - |
| Broad Creek at mouth |  | (120) | 3.5 |
| Nanticoke River at Delaware State line |  | 393 | 7 |
| 17. . . .......Marshy Hope Creek near Adamsville <br> ( 1.5 mi northeast) | a | 44.8 | - |
| Marshy Hope Creek at Delaware State line |  | 84.6 | 4.0 |
| Marshy Hope Creek at mouth |  | 214** | 123 |
| 18. . . . . . . . Baron Creek near Mardela Spring, Md. (3 mi east) | b | 8.9 | 6.0 |
| Baron Creek at mouth |  | 30.0 | 20.3 |

* From publications of Delaware Water Pollution Commission.
** About 30 sq mi in Sussex County.
+ Not determined.
a Complete-record gaging station site.
b Partial-record gaging site. Drainage area figures in parentheses are approximations.
coastal marshes can be classed only as approximate because of the interconnections between basins. An index to topographic mapping in Sussex County is shown in figure 5 in which are designated the $71 / 2^{\prime}$ and $15^{\prime}$ quadrangle sheets distributed by the U. S. Geological Survey. Except for the southern border area south of lat. $38^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., the county was mapped in 1954-55 at a scale of $1: 24,000$ with a contour interval of 10 feet. No further mapping in this series is currently in progress.


## FLOW CHARACTERISTICS

Streamflow varies greatly from day to day, from season to season, from year to year, and frequently, from basin to basin. This variability creates the problem of too little water at times and too much at other times. Thus, any complete analysis of the data should include three principal objectives, namely, (1) to determine the frequency of occurrence of the various rates of flow throughout the regimen of a stream, (2) to evaluate the probable magnitude and frequency of minimum flows, and (3) to appraise the flood characteristics.

In considering the adequacy of a specific source for water supply, the requirements are known for water consumption or for waste disposal. The percent of time the flow is sufficient to meet the needs must be determined. Information is also required on how frequently the flow will be insufficient and the amount of storage required to meet the deficiency. The 'lowest flow on record" is often considered as the "safe yield," particularly in the design of a small facility that is to take water directly from a stream with little or no storage provided. Local variations in climatic and geological conditions affect the occurrence of this lowest flow to such an extent that it is not feasible to make xeliable estimates of the lowest flow in totally ungaged areas or for some particular period outside of the period of record.

It should be realized that the data presented in this report represents a sampling of a relatively small number of streams within the county. Care should be exercised in using the "per-square-mile" runoff, even at other points on the same streams because all parts of most drainage basins do not have similar runoff characteristics or equal yields per unit of area. In general, the possible error increases with an increase in distance between the gaging station and the place where streamflow information is desired. To conserve space, many of the data are given in tabular form. However, sample graphs of the results of the several analyses are given to indicate how the tabular data might be plotted.

Streamflow records in Sus sex County are short, but by statistical methods and regional analysis it is possible to adjust short-term records to a longterm base period by using the records for nearby streams. Streamflow records collected on the Delmarva coastal plain are all short-term, but a fair correlation was obtained with records for Brandywine Creek at Chadds Ford, Pa., and Maurice River near Norma, N. J., for which regionalized and adjusted data on flow duration and low-flow frequency had been prepared.

In general, the observed data for Nanticoke River near Bridgeville were adjusted on the basis of correlations with the aforementioned records, fol-


Figure 5. Index to topographic mapping in Sussex County.
lowing which the observed data for the remaining stations were adjusted on the basis of the new Nanticoke River data. It is not practicable to transpose flood-flow information by these methods.

## Flow Duration

A duration curve of daily flow (figure 6) shows the percentage of time that a specified daily flow was equaled or exceeded. It shows the cumulative frequency of occurrence of different rates of flow at a given point. The slope of a duration curve is an index of the natural storage within a basin, including ground-water storage, in that the flatter the general slope of the curve the more uniform the flow.

The duration curves of daily flow for six gaging-station records in the report area have been adjusted from their individual short-term records to a 42-year (April 1913 to March 1955) base period and are given in table 6. Plots of these data are shown in figure 7, as a means of comparing the characteristics of the streams. It will be noted that the lower part of the curve for Nanticoke River near Bridgeville is the highest of the six and indicates the best sustained flow.

The importance of adjustment to a common long-term period, when comparing characteristics of two or more streams, is illustrated in figure 6. The discharge for Stockley Branch at Stockley adjusted to the 42 -year period has been plotted with curves of 12-year (April 1943 to March 1955) and 5year (April 1951 to March 1956) periods, both based on actual records. The marked difference between the curves for greater than 80 percent of time is due to the inclusion of progressively more low-flow experiences as the period increases. The extreme low end of the 12 -year period curve indicates a disproportionate number of very low flows during that period.

The flow duration curve does not provide information on the chronological sequence of flows; for example, it does not indicate whether the lowest 30 days of record occurred in one rare drought year or as a few days nearly every year. Additional information is therefore required to describe adequately the low-flow characteristics of the stream.

## Average Discharge

The average discharge at a gaging station is usually computed as the average of the yearly mean discharges for five or more complete years of record. Because of the year-to-year variation in precipitation and runoff, comparisons between stations should be made for identical time periods. To facilitate such comparisons, table 7 presents the average discharge in million gallons per day per square mile for several periods corresponding to the length of record at each of the gaging stations.

Because the ordinate of a flow-duration curve represents rates of discharge and the abscissa represents the time duration of these rates, the average discharge can be obtained by a step integration of the curve. These computations were made, using the data in table 6 , to obtain an average dis-



Figure 6. Duration curves of daily flow, Stockley Branch at Stockley.
Table 6. -- Duration of daily flow at stream-gaging stations in the Sussex County area
(Adjusted to the 42-year period, 1914-55, on basis of long-term streamflow records in adjacent states).

| Drainage area sq mi | 6. Stockley Stockley 5.24 <br> Flo Branch at |  | in cubic feet per which was eq <br> 8. Pocomoke River near Willards 60.5 |  | 10. Nanticoke <br> River near <br> Bridgeville <br> 75.4 |  | 14. Trap Pond Outlet near Laurel 16.7 |  | 16. Holly <br> Ditch near <br> Laurel $2.19$ |  | 17. Marshy Hope Creek near Adamsville 44.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of time | cfs | mgdsm | cfs | mgdsm | cfs | mgdsm | cfs | mgdsm | cfs | mgdsm | cfs | mgdsm |
| 0.5 | 52.5 | 6.48 | 608 | 6.50 | 562 | 4.82 | 132 | 5.11 | 7.05 | 2.08 | 630 | 9.09 |
| 1 | 40.6 | 5.01 | 481 | 5.14 | 479 | 4.11 | 104 | 4.03 | 5.50 | 1.62 | 515 | 7.43 |
| 2 | 31.0 | 3.82 | 364 | 3.89 | 385 | 3.30 | 81.8 | 3.17 | 4. 12 | 1. 22 | 385 | 5. 55 |
| 5 | 21.0 | 2. 59 | 223 | 2. 38 | 258 | 2.21 | 53.1 | 2.06 | 2,54 | . 750 | 216 | 3.12 |
| 10 | 15.1 | 1.86 | 143 | 1. 53 | 179 | 1.53 | 34.4 | 1.33 | 1.47 | . 434 | 121 | 1.75 |
| 20 | 10.2 | 1. 26 | 86.0 | . 919 | 118 | 1.01 | 21.8 | . 844 | . 57 | . 168 | 63.6 | . 918 |
| 30 | 7.75 | . 956 | 59.9 | . 640 | 89.0 | . 763 | 16.0 | . 619 | . 23 | . 068 | 42.5 | . 613 |
| 50 | 4.98 | . 614 | 31.8 | . 340 | 53.5 | . 459 | 8.38 | . 324 | 0 | 0 | 22.4 | . 323 |
| 70 | 3.12 | . 385 | 16.2 | . 173 | 34.5 | . 296 | 3.71 | . 144 |  |  | 11.8 | . 170 |
| 80 | 2.28 | . 281 | 11.1 | . 119 | 27.6 | . 237 | 2.07 | . 080 |  |  | 8.3 | . 120 |
| 90 | 1.41 | . 174 | 6.85 | . 073 | 20.8 | . 178 | . 60 | . 023 |  |  | 5.2 | . 075 |
| 95 | . 92 | .113 | 4.79 | . 051 | 16.9 | .145 | . 01 | . 0004 |  |  | 4.0 | . 058 |
| 98 | . 59 | . 073 | 3. 30 | . 035 | 13.6 | . 117 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 3.2 | . 046 |
| 99 | . 44 | . 054 | 2.63 | . 028 | 11.9 | . 102 |  |  |  |  | 2.8 | . 040 |
| 99.5 | . 34 | . 042 | 2. 15 | .023 | 10.6 | . 091 |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | . 036 |
| 99.9 | . 22 | . 027 | 1. 48 | . 016 | 8.5 | . 073 |  |  |  |  | 2.0 | . 029 |





Figure 7. Duration curves of daily flow for gaged streams in the Sussex County area.
Table 7.-- Average discharge at stream-gaging stations in the Sussex County area

| Gaging stationDrainage area | Average discharge in million gallons per day per square mile for period shown in column headings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { years } \\ & 1952-56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { years } \\ & 1951-56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { years } \\ & 1950-56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { years } \\ 1944-56 \end{gathered}$ | 42 years 1914-55 |
| 6. Stockley Branch at Stockley: 5.24 sq mi | 0.844 | 0.792 | 0.776 | 0.868 | 0.911 |
| 8. Pocomoke River near Willards: 60.5 sq mi | . 683 | . 635 | . 609 | - | . 684 |
| 10. Nanticoke River near Bridgeville: 75.4 sq mi | . 766 | . 711 | . 672 | . 722 | . 737 |
| 14. Trap Pond Outlet near Laurel: 16.7 sq mi | . 615 | - | - | - | . 593 |
| 16. Holly Ditch near Laurel: 2. 19 sq mi | . 109 | . 093 | - | - | .143 |
| 17. Marshy Hope Creek near Adamsville: 44.8 sq mi | . 742 | . 700 | . 646 | .724 | . 792 |

charge adjusted to the 42 -year period. These figures are also shown in table 7.

The low average discharge of Holly Ditch near Laurel (table 7, no. 16), of only 0.143 mgdsm , indicates that this small area is jis charging ' fiefly by subterranean drainage to Broad Creek and by evapotranspiration to the atmosphere. There is little reason to suppose that this area is physically much different from any other area in Sussex County. This discharde of 0.143 mgdsm , compared with the average discharge of the five other stations, 0.743 mgdsm , or a ratio of 1 to 5 , indicates the effectiveness of a recent man-made shallow drain as compared with the old established deeper natural drainageways.

## Low-Flow Frequency

The low-flow frequency curve gives the aver age interval at which a specific discharge may be expected to recur as the lowest flow in an annual period beginning April 1 and ending March 31 . Low-flow frequency curves for the average minimum flow during period of $1,7,15,30,60,120,183$ consecutive days and for 9 and 12 consecutive months are shown in figure 8 for Pocomoke River near Willards, about 4 miles south of the Sussex County line. These curves do not imply a regularity of occurrence, but rather the probable average interval between specified low flows. Low-flow frequency data for six gaged sites in the report area are summarized in table 8. The data may be used to predict future streamflow, assuming that the flow during the base period was normal and that the flow will follow the pattern of the past. For example, the lowest 7 -day flow of Nanticoke River near Bridgeville in a year may be expected to be equal to or less than 0.115 mgdsm at an average interval of 5 years, or, stated another way, that a minimum 7day flow as low as 0.115 mgdsm has a probability of 20 percent of occurring in any particular year. Low-flow characteristics at a site on a stream are modified when storage or diversion facilities are installed, and when such facilities are installed after the base period the effects need to be evaluated.

Analyses to indicate the maximum number of consecutive days during which the flow remained equal to or less than a specified discharge, have not been made for this report. Research is in progress to define methods whereby this deficient discharge might be computed from the low-flow frequency data described above.

## Storage Requirements

The need for storage becomes apparent when the flow of a stream in its natural state cannot meet the requirements of a water development. The amounts of storage required to maintain selected drafts have been determined by mass-curve analyses of streamflow records in which the accumulated (monthly) flow is plotted against the time of accumulation. Storage volume required is determined as the maximum ordinate between the mass curve and a specified "use line" drawn tangent to the mass curve a.t some critical period of deficient discharge.


Figure 8. Magnitude and frequency of low-flows, Pocomoke River near Willards, Md.
Table 8. - - Magnitude and frequency of annual low flow at stream-gaging stations in the Sussex County area
(Adjusted to the 42-year period, 1914-55, on basis of long-term streamflow records in adjacent states).

| Gaging Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drainage } \\ \text { area } \\ \text { sq mi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recurrence interval years | Average discharge, in millions of gallons per day per square mile, for length of minimum period indicated in column headings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1-day | 7-day | 15-day | 30-day | 60-day | 120-day | 183-day | 9-month | 12-month |
| 6. Stockley Branch at Stockley | 5.24 | 2 | 0.094 | 0.125 | 0.144 | 0.179 | 0.247 | 0.358 | 0.469 | 0.636 | 0.829 |
|  |  | 5 | . 049 | . 070 | . 080 | . 099 | . 139 | . 213 | . 296 | . 434 | . 611 |
|  |  | 10 | . 035 | . 052 | . 059 | . 072 | . 098 | . 154 | . 220 | . 340 | . 500 |
|  |  | 25 | . 023 | . 034 | . 040 | . 049 | . 064 | . 101 | . 150 | . 249 | . 387 |
|  |  | 50 | . 017 | . 025 | . 030 | . 036 | . 046 | . 073 | . 113 | . 197 | . 321 |
| 8. Pocomoke River near Willards | 60.5 | 2 | 0.043 | 0.054 | 0.061 | 0.073 | 0.098 | 0.150 | 0.217 | 0.358 | 0.570 |
|  |  | 5 | . 026 | . 034 | . 038 | . 045 | . 059 | . 086 | . 121 | . 204 | . 353 |
|  |  | 10 | . 020 | . 026 | . 030 | . 035 | . 045 | . 064 | . 090 | . 144 | . 254 |
|  |  | 25 | . 015 | . 019 | . 022 | . 026 | . 032 | . 045 | . 062 | . 099 | . 169 |
|  |  | 50 | . 012 | . 015 | . 017 | . 020 | . 024 | . 035 | . 046 | . 075 | . 125 |
| 10. Nanticoke <br> River near <br> Bridgeville | 75.4 | 2 | 0.132 | 0.151 | 0.163 | 0.181 | 0.217 | 0.280 | 0,351 | 0.476 | 0.647 |
|  |  | 5 | . 098 | .115 | . 122 | . 135 | . 159 | . 200 | . 247 | . 337 | . 470 |
|  |  | 10 | . 084 | . 099 | . 105 | . 117 | . 135 | . 168 | . 206 | . 274 | . 384 |
|  |  | 25 | . 069 | . 082 | . 088 | . 097 | . 110 | . 136 | . 165 | . 218 | . 297 |
|  |  | 50 | . 059 | . 071 | . 077 | . 084 | . 094 | . 117 | . 139 | . 184 | . 251 |
| 14. Trap Pond Outlet near Laurel | 16.7 | 2 | -- | 0.0006 | 0.0050 | 0.025 | 0.059 | 0.124 | 0.207 | 0.352 | 0.542 |
|  |  | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | . 0023 | . 043 | . 089 | . 179 | . 333 |
|  |  | 10 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | .010 | . 049 | . 113 | . 232 |
| 16. Holly <br> Ditch near Laurel | 2.19 | 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.0053 | 0.030 | 0.086 |
|  |  | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | . 0019 | . 025 |
|  |  | 10 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- | . 0083 |
| 17. Marshy Hope Creek near Adamsville | 44.8 | 2 | 0.052 | 0.060 | 0.066 | 0.079 | 0.110 | 0.176 | 0.273 | 0.443 | 0.674 |
|  |  | 5 | . 039 | . 045 | . 048 | . 056 | . 071 | . 105 | . 156 | . 264 | . 428 |
|  |  | 10 | . 033 | . 039 | . 042 | . 047 | . 058 | . 082 | . 117 | .196 | . 319 |
|  |  | 25 | . 027 | . 032 | . 035 | . 039 | . 046 | . 062 | . 084 | . 136 | .221 |
|  |  | 50 | . 023 | . 028 | . 030 | . 033 | . 039 | . 052 | . 069 | . 105 | .170 |

When economic considerations alone govern the design of a development, the frequency with which the natural flow must be augmented to supply selected rates of regulated flow becomes even more important than the flow during a single critical period. By using data on storage-required frequency, the cost of providing storage can be weighed against the loss of revenue due to deficient flow.

In this report the analyses of storage requirements are based on fre-quency-mass curves such as the one shown in figure 9. These mass curves differ from those described above in that they represent the discharge for a particular recurrence interval rather than that observed during some particular drought period. Thus, to develop data for a range of values, individual mass curves were drawn for recurrence intervals of $2,5,10$, and 25 years. The circles on the curve of total discharge available in figure 9 represent discharge from table 8 multiplied by the number of days in the minimum period, plotted against the number of days. Draft rates are determined by the slope of the draft line and corresponding storage is determined by the maximum vertical distance to the line of total discharge available. The values of storage required are original assumptions and values of less than 5 mgsm have been omitted from figure 9 for clarity. Data on allowable draft and on stor age required, computed as shown on figure 9 , were used to develop curves of storage requirements as shown in figure 10 , which were then summarized in table 9 for gaged streams in the report area. These data might also be plotted with allowable draft as the ordinate, storage required as the abscissa, and selected recurrence intervals as the third parameter.

These analyses of storage requirements make no allowance for dead storage or for reservoir losses such as evaporation or seepage. These factors must be considered before the tables can be used for design purposes. Use of thesedata are illustrated by specific examples in the section of this report entitled, "Evaluation by Basins."

## Flood-Frequency Relations

The safe, economic, and practical design of dams, bridges, highways, and a variety of structures located on flood plains is partly dependent on the magnitude and frequency of occurrence of flood peaks. Studies of flood-frequency should provide a means of predicting, for any site in a given area, the flood height and discharge which might be expected to occur within some specified period of time. Because of inadequacies in areal coverage and in duration of records, it is not possible at this time to develop relationships which could be considered reliable for any stream site within the county. The curves that have been developed should be used with caution beyond the limits of stream location and area from which they were developed.

In this analysis, annual (water year) flood peaks were tabulated for the 15-year period, 1944-58, for Stockley Branch, Nanticoke River, and Marshy Hope Creek. Flood peaks for the stations with shorter periods of record (Pocomoke River, Holly Ditch, and Trap Pond Outlet) were also tabulated and estimates were made of the annual peaks outside of the period of record in order that all of the computations might have the same, 1944-58, time base.


Figure 9. Frequency mass curves and storage-draft rates, Nanticoke River near Bridgeville.


Figure 10. Storage requirements, Nanticoke River near Bridgeville, adjusted to 42-year (1914-55) base period.
Table 9. -- Storage requirements at stream-gaging stations in the Sussex County area
(Adjusted to the 42-year period, 1915-55, on basis of long-term streamflow records in adjacent states).

| Gaging <br> Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Drainage } \\ \text { area } \\ \text { sq mi } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recurrence interval years | Natural <br> 7-day flow mgdsm | Net amount of reservoir storage, in millions of gallons per square mile, uncorrected for evaporation or other losses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Allowable draft, in millions of gallons per day per square mile, for net storage indicated above. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Stockley | 5.24 | 2 | 0.125 | 0.179 | 0.205 | 0.244 | 0.278 | 0.329 | 0.410 | 0.471 | 0.522 | 0.607 | 0.742 |
|  |  | 5 | . 070 | . 114 | . 133 | . 163 | . 189 | . 223 | . 286 | . 333 | . 381 | . 455 | . 566 |
| Stockley |  | 10 | . 052 | . 089 | . 105 | . 130 | . 146 | . 176 | . 233 | . 277 | . 320 | 384 | . 488 |
|  |  | 25 | . 034 | . 067 | . 079 | . 097 | . 111 | . 136 | . 184 | . 222 | . 256 | . 314 | . 409 |
| 8. Pocomoke | 60.5 | 2 | 0.054 | 0.089 | 0.107 | 0,130 | 0.147 | 0. 173 | 0.228 | 0.273 | 0.314 | 0.378 | 0.488 |
| River neax |  | 5 | . 034 | . 062 | . 075 | . 092 | . 106 | . 127 | . 167 | . 200 | . 230 | . 283 | . 373 |
| Willards |  | 10 | . 026 | . 050 | . 062 | . 075 | . 087 | . 105 | . 143 | . 171 | . 196 | . 242 | . 324 |
|  |  | 25 | . 019 | . 039 | . 048 | . 060 | . 070 | . 085 | . 116 | . 142 | . 164 | . 206 | . 281 |
| 10. Nanticoke | 75.4 | 2 | 0.151 | 0.190 | 0.214 | 0.244 | 0.266 | 0.299 | 0.360 | 0.404 | 0.441 | 0.510 | 0.615 |
| River near |  | 5 | . 115 | . 150 | . 167 | . 192 | . 210 | . 237 | . 283 | . 322 | . 355 | . 409 | . 500 |
| Bridgeville |  | 10 | . 099 | . 132 | . 148 | . 169 | . 184 | . 208 | . 250 | . 288 | . 315 | . 364 | . 450 |
|  |  | 25 | . 082 | . 111 | . 125 | . 143 | . 156 | . 177 | . 217 | . 247 | . 272 | . 317 | . 398 |
| I4. Trap Pond | 16.7 | 2 | 0.001 | 0.036 | 0.056 | 0.086 | 0.107 | 0.142 | 0.203 | 0.247 | 0.289 | 0.364 | 0.477 |
| Outlet near |  | 5 | 0 | . 009 | . 019 | . 035 | . 052 | . 080 | . 125 | . 164 | . 198 | . 252 | . 347 |
| Laurel |  | 10 | 0 | . 007 | . 013 | . 024 | . 034 | . 056 | . 094 | . 129 | . 157 | . 204 | . 289 |
| 16. Holly Ditch near Laurel | 2.19 | 2 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.014 | 0.021 | 0.032 | 0.058 | 0.080 | 0.101 | 0.140 | -- |
| 17. Marshy Hope $\begin{aligned} & \text { Creek near } \\ & \text { Adamsville }\end{aligned}$ | 44.8 | 2 | 0.060 | 0.096 | 0.114 | 0.140 | 0.160 | 0.190 | 0.250 | 0.300 | 0.343 | 0.420 | 0.545 |
|  |  | 5 | . 045 | . 074 | . 086 | . 103 | . 117 | . 141 | . 186 | . 227 | . 260 | . 319 | . 417 |
|  |  | 10 | . 039 | . 065 | . 074 | . 090 | . 102 | . 123 | . 162 | . 195 | . 225 | . 278 | . 368 |
|  |  | 25 | . 032 | . 054 | . 064 | . 076 | . 087 | . 103 | . 137 | . 166 | . 191 | . 237 | . 318 |

The 15 peaks were then ranked in order of magnitude and the recurrence interval $T$ in years computed by the formula $\underline{T}=(\underline{n}+\underline{1}) / \underline{m}$ where $\underline{n}$ is the number of years of record (15), and $m$ is the $\bar{o} d \boldsymbol{r} \bar{r}$ number of each flood, the greatest being numbered 1 . These computations are illustrated in table 10 which summarizes the data for Nanticoke River. Preliminary individual flood-frequency curves were then drawn for each of the six stations on a graph of discharge versus recurrence interval, similar to that of figure 11. The graphical mean-annual flood was picked from each curve at its intersection with the 2.33-year line on the basis of one theory of the probability of occurrence of extreme values (Gumbel, 1945).

From a statistical consideration of the relation between the mean annual flood, the 10 -year flood, and the effective length of record (Mitchell, 1954), it was determined that the six stations were homogeneous to the extent that their individual frequency curves could be combined into one composite frequency curve. Accordingly, the ratios of annual floods to the mean annual flood were computed as shown in table 10. Median values of a ranked tabulation of these ratios were plotted and the curve shown in figure 11 was drawn. This curve is dashed beyond 20 years to emphasize its limited time definition. Actually, the scatter of the plotted points is such that curves differing by as much as 20 percent in ratio, at the 10 -year interval, might be drawn.

Assuming that, for design purposes, a recurrence interval has been selected, the ratio of the magnitude of that flood to the mean annual flood may be picked from the curve of figure 11. For example, the 20 -year flood will be 3.3 times as large as the mean annual flood. It should be borne in mind that the 20 -year flood is one which is expected to recur once in 20 years, on the average. It would also be thought of as a flood of such magnitude that the odds are 1 in 20 that it will be equaled or exceeded within any given year (Mitchell, 1954).

The remaining problem is the determination of the magnitude of the mean annual flood. Many studies have confirmed the natural assumption that magnitude of flood increases with size of drainage area in a region of relatively homogeneous physiography and climatology. Other factors such as land and stream slopes; geology; floodwater storage in stream channels, swamp, and ponds; and type of vegetal cover and land use have also been found important. Of the six streams in the Sussex County area, four, Stockley Branch, Pocomoke River, Nanticoke River, and Trap Pond Outlet, may be grouped together as expressed by the central curve of figure 12 in which the mean annual flood is shown as a direct function of drainage area. The four points are not precisely on the curve; however, statistical considerations indicate that they plot within an allowable range. The relation for Marshy Hope Creek is shown as the upper curve of figure 12, which possibly demonstrates that extensive ditching has increased the mean annual flood. The erratic plotting of Holly Ditch (represented by the lower curve segment) may be related to the fact that the ditch was dry a considerable part of the time. It must be reemphasized that, because of the small number of stations available for analysis and their relatively short periods of record, the curves should be considered only as approximations of the flood-frequency relations for the county.

Table 10. -- Annual flood peaks, Nanticoke River near Bridgeville
Drainage area, 75.4 square miles. Period of record,
April 11, 1943 to September 30, 1958

| Water year | Date | Gage height (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dis charge } \\ \text { (cfs) } \end{gathered}$ | Ratio to Q2. 33 | Order | Recurrence interval (T years)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1933 | August | $+$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1935 | September | $11.0 \pm$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1943 | Apr. 21, 1943 | 5.00 | 400 | \# | \# | $\ddagger$ |
| 1944 | Mar. 14, Apr. 26 | 5.13 | 420 | 0.75 | 10 | 1.60 |
| 1945 | July 24, 1945 | 5.24 | 435 | . 78 | 9 | 1. 78 |
| 1946 | Dec. 30, 1945 | 6.20 | 730 | 1.30 | 4 | 4.00 |
| 1947 | May 27, 1947 | 4.98 | 386 | . 69 | 11 | 1.45 |
| 1948 | June 5, 1948 | 6.40 | 830 | 1.48 | 2 | 8.00 |
| 1949 | Dec. 5, 1948 | 5.81 | 590 | 1.05 | 7 | 2.29 |
| 1950 | Mar. 24, 1950 | 3.91 | 216 | . 39 | 15 | 1.07 |
| 1951 | June 12, 1951 | 4.15 | 240 | . 43 | 14 | 1. 14 |
| 1952 | Dec. 22, 1951 | 6.21 | 776 | 1.39 | 3 | 5.33 |
| 1953 | Mar. 17, 1953 | 5.39 | 468 | . 84 | 8 | 2.00 |
| 1954 | Mar. 5, 1954 | 4.53 | 248 | . 44 | 13 | 1.23 |
| 1955 | Aug. 15, 1955 | 6.12 | 680 | 1.21 | 5 | 3.20 |
| 1956 | Max. 17, 1956 | 4.84 | 270 | . 48 | 12 | 1. 33 |
| 1957 | Nov. 3, 1956 | 6.03 | 680 | 1.21 | 6 | 2.67 |
| 1958 | Aug. 26, 1958 | 8.84 | 2300 | 4.11 | 1 | 16.00 |

Graphical mean annual flood (Q2. 33): 560 cfs for period 1944-58.

* $T=(n+1) / m=(15+1) / m$.
+ Water an unspecified depth over bridge floor which is at 8 feet, gage datum.
$\neq$ Year incornplete.


Figure 11. Frequency of annual floods for streams in Sussex County.


Figure 12. Variation of the mean annual flood with drainage area for atreams in Susaex County.

# QUALITY OF THE SURFACE WATERS 

By Peter W. Anderson

The surface waters of Sussex County, Del., are used principally for navigation; industrial cooling and cleaning processes; irrigation, dairying, poultry farming and other agricultural uses; waste disposal; recreation and fishing; the culture of shellfish; and the generation of power for woolen and feed mills. In selecting a site for a water-using facility, the chemical quality of the water is an important consideration, and may be the determining factor, in conjunction with the quantity available. Chemical analyses are often desirable to determine whether the water is suitable for a particular purpose or, if not, to determine the type and cost of treatment or other remedial action needed to make it satisfactory.

In 1950 the Water Pollution Commission of the State of Delaware initiated comprehensive studies of pollution in the principal basins within the county. These studies (Kaplovsky, 1950, 1951a, and 195lb, 1952, 1958; Kaplovsky and Aulenbach, 1956) are concerned principally with sanitation problems and give only partial information about the miner al content of the surface waters. For many industrial and agricultural purposes, it is important to know the mineral content and its seasonal variations during periods of high and low flow. Accordingly, the Geological Survey in November 1957 and April 1958 analyzed a few spot samples from streams in Sussex County. These streams were sampled above the head of tide, where the quantity of water available for use is limited. The results of the chemical analyses together with several analyses made by the Water Pollution Commission of the State of Delaware (1958) in May and June 1955, are presented in table 11.

All natural waters contain varying amounts of dissolved mineral matter, which originate primarily in the solution of materials found in the rocks and soils, or in the decomposition of organic material in the swamps and lowlands. The following paragraphs are descriptions of five selected constituents or properties of surface waters.

## Dissolved Solids

Dissolved solids are a measurement of the amount of dissolved mineral matter in the water. The maximum concentration, prior to treatment, recommended for most industrial and domestic uses is 500 ppm. Waters containing dissolved solids less than $1,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ are satisfactory for most irrigation purposes.

Concentrations of dissolved solids in the analyses presented in table 11 were relatively low, ranging from 49 to 110 ppm . However, the table contains no analyses showing the high mineral content of the brackish and saline waters below the head of tide. In hydrographic surveys of the Brandywine and Cape May Channels of the Delaware Bay, opposite the mouths of the Mis pillion and Broadkill Rivers, Cronin (1953) found a salinity concentration range of 10,560 to $32,000 \mathrm{ppm}$.
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## Chlorides

The chloride content of surface waters may be attributed to natural mineral origin or to contamination by industrial and domestic wastes and sewage. When used for irrigation, waters containing excessive amounts of chloride are toxic to most plants. Chloride concentrations as low as 100 ppm may be noticeable. The taste threshold for different solutions of compounds may differ according to the type of salt in the water, as shown in the table below:

Approx. taste threshold
in parts per million

| calcium chloride | $150-500$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| magnesium chloride | $168-750$ |
| potassium chloride | $350-600$ |
| sodium chloride | $200-550$ |

(from "Water Quality Criteria", California State Water Pollution Control Board, 1952)

Taste tolerances canbe developed by continuous use of unsatisfactory waters or they may be an individual psychological reaction. The U. S. Public Health Service Drinking Water Standards recommend that the chloride content of water used for public supplies should not exceed 250 ppm . The few samples analyzed from the streams of Sussex County all contained 10 ppm of chloride or less. Kaplovsky and Aulenbach (1956) found chloride concentration approaching the concentration of sea water in the Lewes and Rehoboth Canal and in the Indian River Bay. Chloride is a critical factor in the large shellfish industry of the State, as most shellfish require a salt content of from 5,000 to $35,000 \mathrm{pprn}$ for proper growth.

## Hardness

The term "hardness" is applied as a measure of the ability of water to form an insoluable curd with soap. The curd shows up on fabric as a gray coloring. Hard water is responsible for scale in boilers, pipes, and hot water heaters. The analyses presented in table 11 contained from 8 ppm to 38 ppm of calcium, magnesium hardness as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$. Such water can be considered soft, suitable for most purposes without treatment.

$$
\underline{\mathrm{pH}}
$$

The term "pH" is used as a measure of the intensity or degree of acidity or alkalinity of water. Water having a pH of 7.0 is neutral, above 7.0 alkaline, and below 7.0 acid. With the exception of two streams in the Indian River Basin, Vines Creek ( pH 5.2 ), which drains the Cedar Swamp, and Shoals Branch ( pH 5.2 ), the streams showed a pH between 5.8 and 6.7 when analyzed. The pH of waters below the head of tide would probably be closer to that of sea water ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.5-8.4$ ).

In the use of water for irrigation, it is necessary to know the physical and chemical properties of the soil, because in an alkaline soil it is best to
use water with a low pH. Shellfish growth is favored by a slightly alkaline water.

## Iron

Iron is dissolved from soil and rocks. It is of little importance in irrigation, but in washing and cleaning processes. it causes a reddish stain in quantities as low as 0.30 ppm . Some surface waters of the county have a high concentration of iron, mostly around 1.0 ppm. Kaplovsky and Aulenbach (1956) report that some streams in the Indian River basin contain as much. as 6.0 ppm of iron.

In summary, the few analyses of the fresh waters in Sussex County presented are low in dissolved-solids content, chloride and hardness, are high in iron concentration, and have a pH of 5.2 to 6.7 . The analyses presented in table 11 are mostly of water samples taken during the months of April, May, and June 1957-58, and quite possibly are not representative of other months or years.

## EVALUATION BY BASINS

The foregoing surface-water sections of this report have been concerned with the analyses of the several flow characteristics at the six principal gaging stations in or near Sussex County. The seven basins of the county are discussed briefly in regard to physiography, streamflow information available, and application of the analyses previously presented in tabular form. As mentioned earlier, records of daily discharge are published annually in U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Papers, wherein also are given the details of location, drainage area, records available, gage type and datum, average discharge, momentary extremes, and remarks pertaining to the accuracy of the records and conditions which affect the natural flow at the gaging station. For information of this nature it is suggested that pages 282 to 291 of Water-Supply Paper 1432 (1956) be consulted.

This discussion follows the "downstream ordex" of the tabulation of streams and drainage areas of table 7. Many of the ponds and smaller streams mentioned in the following text have not been identified by name on plate 8. These features can be located on the quadrangle maps indexed in figure 5.

## Delaware Bay and Atlantic Ocean Drainage

Mispillion River Basin

Northeastern Sussex County, about 80 square miles in area, is drained by the Mispillion River and its principal headwaters, Beaverdam and Tantrough Branches. These branches terminate at Blairs Pond, below which a series of artificial lakes extend to Milford. A navigable tidewater channel is maintained from Milford to Delaware Bay at Mispillion Light (U. S. Army Engineer Division, 1957). A complete-record gaging station wasestablished in May 1958 on Beaverdam Branch, 0.8 miles south of Houston. In time this
record will provide anestimate of the available fresh-water supply at Milford although the drainage area above the station is only about one-tenth of the drainage area above Milford.

Cedar Creek, roughly parallel to the Mispillion River, 5 miles to the south, is considered a tributary of the Mispillion because its lower reach is ditched to the river mouth at Mispillion Light. The drainage area of Cedar Creek and its principal tributary, Slaughter Creek, is almost as large as that of the Mispillion River; however, agreater proportion of the area drains marshlands. Although no gaging-station records have been collected on Cedar Creek, a series of base-flow measurements (table 4) have been made 1.2 miles south of Lincoln. After several years of concurrent operation, the Cedar Creek measurements can be correlated with the records for Beaverdarn Branch at Houston, or for Sowbridge Branch near Milton, to obtain a limited but useful arnount of information about the flow-duration and low-flow frequency characteristics of the creek.

## Broadkill River Basin

The Broadkill River basin occupies about 110 square miles of eastern Sussex County and drains to Delaware Bay at Roosevelt Inlet through the Lewes and Rehoboth Canal. The river is formed by the confluence of Pemberton and Ingram Branches at Wagamons Pond in West Milton, below which it is navigable to Delaware Bay. As the Broadkill flows eastward from Milton, Beaverdam Creek joins it from the south and Primehook Creek joins it from the north through a drainage ditch that was part of a mosquito control project. The former Delaware Bay outlets of Primehook Creek and Broadkill River have been blocked for some time. Old Mill and Canary Creeks are the principal tributaries to the lower tidal reach of the Broadkill. At one time the generally marshy area landward from Cape Henlopen was drained by Lewes Creek northward to Delaware Bay. The Lewes and Rehoboth Canal was constructed partly along this stream course, however, and the flow from the adjacent marshes and glades now may be transported north to Delaware Bay or south to Rehoboth Bay depending upon tide, tide differential, and channel condition. After considerable study, Kaplovsky and Aulenbach(1956, p. 99) found that, although there was definite cyclic flow in both directions, the net movement of the water was from Lewes towards Rehoboth.

A gaging station has been operated since October 1956 in the headwaters of Primehook Creek on Sowbridge Branch, 2.5 miles north of Milton (no. 5, pl. 8). When the Sowbridge Branch station has been operated for a length of time sufficient to establishits flow characteristics, the base-flow measurements that have been made on Pemberton Branch and Beaverdam Creek \{table 4) can be correlated with the Sowbridge records. This will provide significant information on the fresh-water yield of the Broadkill basin.

Considerable use of the suxface waters of the basin formerly was made in the milling and shipping of grain. In recent years, however, the growing and processing of poultry and vegetables has dominated the economy of the area, along with the development of oystering in the lower reaches of the Broadkill.

Chemical analysis of water samples at several gage sites (table 11) indicates a soft water that is slightly acid and low in chloride content, which is perhaps characteristic of the unpolluted headwaters of the streams. A variation in sodium chloride content of zero to $5,220 \mathrm{ppm}$ in Broadkill River half a mile below the mouth of Beaverdam Creek was reported by Kaplovsky and others (1950).

## Indian River Basin

An area of about 250 square miles of southeastern Sussex County, composed of the basins of Rehoboth and Indian River Bays and their tributary streams, drains to the Atlantic Ocean through Indian River Inlet. In the usual concept of a drainage basin pattern, Rehoboth Bay would be considered a principal tributary of the Indian River. Thedrainage area of the basin cannot be precisely defined because of its man-made interconnections to adjoining basins through canals and drainage ditches and because of the indeterminate nature of the drainage in some of the swampy headwaters. As these head. water areas are reclaimed by drainage projects the basins assume definite limits. An extensive system of ditches has been constructed in the southern part of the basin. As described on p. 99, the area north and west of Rehoboth Beach which drains into the Lewes and Rehoboth Canal can be tributary to either Delaware Bay or Rehoboth Bay depending on an involved relationship centered on the tidal variation. Although the shallow Assawoman Canal nominally connects the southeastern part of Indian River Bay (in the White Creek estuary) to the northern extension of Little Assawoman Bay, it is believed that there is little interbasin flow through the canal.

The main stem of Indian River originates at the outlet of Millsboro Pond into which Cow Bridge and Mirey Branches and Sheeppen and Long Drain Ditches flow. The outflow from Millsboro Dam is the largest source of freshwater supply in the basin. East of Millsboro Pond the principal river and bay tributaries are Iron Branch and Pepper, Vines, Blackwater and White Creeks from the south, and Swan Creek and Rehoboth. Bay from the north. Love and Herring Creeks are the principal tributaries to Rehoboth Bay and yield perhaps 15 percent of the total fresh-water flow in the Indian River basin. The basin areanorth and west of the mouth of Rehoboth Bay is approximately 70 square miles.

Streamflow records have been collected since April 1943 on Stockley Branch (no. 6, pl. 8), which is tributary to Cow Bridge Branch. The drainage area above the gage is 5.24 square miles, but as an example of the use of flow-duration curves, assume that it is desired to locate a facility using surface water at a site adjacent to Stockley Branch where the drainage area is 5 sq mi and that construction of a storage dam is not planned. Further assume that a flow of $500,000 \mathrm{gpd}(0.1 \mathrm{mgd}$ per sq mi from 5 sq mi$)$ is required for plant operation. From the 42 -year curve (figure 6) or from table 6. it can be seen that such a flow would be available for 96 percent of the time, assuming that the flow in the future will be similar to that of the base period. Thus, over a long period of time there would be sufficient water 96 percent of the days and a shortage for the remaining 4 percent of the days. The advantages of the proposed site where sucha shortage would occur could be weighed against those of alternate sites farther downstream wheredrain-
age areas are larger or against sites on other streams where the required flow would be available a greater portion of the time. The low-flow frequency data of table 8 indicate that the average flow for a 7 -day period would be less than that required for plant operation at average intervals of about 3 years. The long-term economic disadvantage of a weeklong shortage can thus be calculated. If it was considered desirable to construct a dam at this point to assure the required flow, the data in table 9 indicate that, with 10 mg (million gallons) storage ( 2 mgsm per sq mi ), the required flow would be insufficient for a 7 -day period at average intervals of 25 years. Additional storage would have to be provided to compensate for evaporation, seepage, and other losses, and future reduction of reservoir volume through siltation.

Further, an examination of the daily discharge data for the 14 individual years (1943-57) discloses the fact that during 4 of the years the minimum 7day period commenced in August; during 6 years, in September; and during the remaining 4, in October. The seasonal disadvantages of a water shortage can thus also be considered.

It is likely that in locations where the drainage areas are small, water supplies could be developed also from ground-water sources. However, if the rates of withdrawal fromground water were such that the water table was lowered appreciably during periods when the ground-water reserves could not be assured of replenishment from precipitation, it might prove feasible under favorable conditions to develop well fields adjacent to surface-water impoundments from which the ground water would be continuously replenished. One advantage of this type of development would be that a natural filtration of the surface water would be obtained.

Duration, low-flow frequency, and storage-requirement data may also be used in the calculation of the probable effect of the disposal of municipal or industrial wastes on a natural water course and the determination of the degree of effectiveness of a proposed treatment system. The possible magnitude of a flood that might be expected to occur within some specified period of time can be determined from the graphs of figures 11 and 12. For example, at the aforementioned stream site with a $5 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{midrainage} \mathrm{area}$, be computed from figure 12 that the mean annual flood would be 50 cfs. From figure 11, it can be seen that a flood that would be expected to occur once in 10 years on the average, would be 2.3 times as large as the mean annual flood or 115 cfs .

The data on streamflow characteristics have been developed from such a small number of gaging-station records that they should only be used for those gaged streams. A series of base-flow measurements have been obtained on Pepper Creek at Dagsboro (table 4) from which a limited amount of information canbe developed by correlation with the records for Stockley Branch.

The principal surface-water uses in the basin are those of recreation and seafood production in the tidal waters. Domestic and industrial wastes are discharged into several of the fresh-water streams and tidal areas. These sources of pollution and their effect on the waters of the basin were studied
by Kaplovsky and Aulenbach (1956) who recommended measures to restore and maintain a high quality of water consistent with the economically predominant recreational uses of the water. The Water Pollution Commission (1958) has reported on the status of these remedial measures, which are being actively undertaken.

The several chemical analyses shown in table 11 and others made during the pollution study of Kaplovsky and Aulenbach(1956)indicate that the waters are slightly acid (low pH ), soft, high in iron content, highly colored, and low in chloride content. Concentrations of sodium chloride content of as much as 7, 100 ppm were found at Millsboro Dam, indicative of the effect of tide to that point; concentrations of 20,000 to $30,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ were found in the Lewes and Rehoboth Canal and in Rehoboth and Indian River Bays.

Assawoman Bay
Several minor streams in the 60 square miles of the southeastern part of the county drain to Little Assawoman Bay through Miller and Dirickson Creeks, and to Assawoman Bay through Roy and Greys Creeks and Buntings Branch. Buntings Branch becomes Bishopville Prong in the tidal reachbelow Bishopville and changes furtherto St. Martin River below the confluence with Shingle Landing Prong.

No gaging stations have been maintained in the Assawoman Bay drainage area. The Delaware State Board of Health (1952) made an intensive study of a pollution problem in Buntings Branch below Selbyville which has since been corrected (Water Pollution Commission, 1958, p. 9). During the course of the field investigation, October to December 1951, several measurements were made in which the discharge ranged from 0.6 to 4.7 mgd from an area of about 10 sq mi , but the data were insufficient to establish a relationship between Buntings Branch and Pocomoke River. Another pollution problem at Buating in the headwaters of Greys Creek was investigated by Kaplovsky and Aulenbach (1956, p. 128), but little runoff data are available.

> Chesapeake Bay Drainage

Pocomoke River Basin
The discharge from about 38 sq mi of south-central Sussex County is measured at the Pocomoke River gaging station 1.3 miles east of Willards, Md. (no. 8, pl. 8), where the total drainage area is 60.5 sq mi. A large part of the basin in Delaware is occupied by Burnt Swamp, sometimes called Cypress Swamp or Cedar Swamp. In spite of this swampy area, the duration curves of daily flow (figure 7) indicate that the low flows of the river are not as well sustained as those in the upper Nanticoke River basin. This may be due to the effectiveness of the drainage works that have been constructed in the northern part of the Pocomoke basin.

Low-flow frequency data of table 8 and figure 8 indicate that at a point rear the State line, where the drainage area is about 26 sq mi , the average discharge for a 7 -day period could drop below $0.68 \mathrm{mgd}(26 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{mix} 0.026$ mgdsm ) in 1 year out of 10 . Reference to the storage-requirement data on
table 9 shows that, for example, with a net storage capacity of 52 mg ( 26 sq mi $\times 2 \mathrm{mgsm}$ ) this 7 -day flow could be increased to at least 1.95 mgd for 9 years out of 10 , on the average. This type of appraisal is of interest in the consideration of pollution abatement measures. For instance, the cost of dilution of an industrial waste by increasing minimum flows can be compared with the cost of treatment processes to achieve the same results. Some advantageous combination of the two methods can also be considered.

The magnitude and frequency of flooding by the Pocomoke River can be estimated from figures 11 and 12 . The 10 -year recurrence-interval flood is 2.3 times the mean annual flood (figure 11) and, for a site near the State line, the mean annual flood is estimated to be 260 cfs (figure 12). The flood to be expected 1 year out of 10 on the average is therefore about 600 cfs .

## Wicomico River Basin

A very small part of the county, occupying about 3.5 sq mi near Delmar, is in the headwater drainage area of the Wicomico River. The several streams originating in Delaware and flowing south converge about 3 miles south of Delmar. A series of discharge measurements was made during the period February 1950 to September 1951 on one of these tributaries, Leonard Pond Run (no. 9, pl. 8), and monthly discharges were computed and published (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955). Because this site is immediately downstream from a pond and has a drainage area of 16.2 sq mi , the results obtained may not be representative of any one of the small headwater streams in Sussex County. However, the yield from this area appears to be similar to that determined at the gaging station at Trap Pond near Laurel. No information is available on surface water use or on the chemical and physical quality of the water in this part of the Wicomico basin. Values of monthly discharge during the 20 -month period of operation of the gaging station are given in the following table:

Leonard Pond Run 2 miles south of Delmar
Drainage area, 16.2 sq mi

| Month <br> 1950 | Discharge <br> in mgdsm | Month <br> $1950-51$ | Discharge <br> in mgdsm | Month <br> 1951 | Discharge <br> in mgdsm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February | 0.499 | September | 0.129 | March | 0.503 |
| March | .898 | October | .050 | April | .459 |
| April | .511 | November | .100 | May | .302 |
| May | .507 | December | .159 | June | .711 |
| June | .230 | 1951 |  | July | .221 |
| July | .249 | January | .283 | August | .120 |
| August | .175 | February | .511 | September | .073 |

## Nanticoke River Basin

The Nanticoke River basin occupies the western half of Sussex County and is the largest single drainage system in the county. From a $10-\mathrm{sq} \mathrm{mi}$ headwater area in Kent County, the river flows south past Greenwood to Bridgeville below which Gum Branch enters from the northeast as the first tributary of substantial size. A gaging station was established in April 1943 on the Nanticoke, 0.3 mile downstream from Gum Branch (no. 10, pl. 8). The drainage area above this site is about 75 sq mi .

After correlation with long-term records for stations in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, the duration and low-flow analyses based on records collected at the Nanticoke station were adjusted to the 42 -year base period, 1914-55. The remainder of the stations in the Sussex County area were then correlated with those of the Nanticoke to develop duration, low-flow frequency, and storage requirement data for all of the stations, adjusted to the common base period. The Nanticoke records have been used to illustrate the inter relation of precipitation, water level, and streamflow (figure 2). Monthly averages and extremes for the period of record have been summarized graphically in figure 4. It will be noted from the duration-curve comparisons in figure 7 that the discharge per square mile occurring 90 percent or more of the time is higher than that of any other gaged stream in the area. The lowflow frequency data (table 8) indicate that at a hypothetical Nanticoke River plant site where the drainage area is 80 sq mi , if a withdrawal of 10 mgd was required ( 0.125 mgdsm ), the natural daily flow would be insufficient to satisfy that requirement at average intervals of about 3 years. The average flow for a period of a week would be insufficient at average intervals of about 4 years. With net reservoir storage of $80 \mathrm{mg}(1 \mathrm{mg}$ gm x 80 gq mi$)$, the required flow would be assured for 24 years out of 25 on the average (table 9).

As the Nanticoke continues south from Bridgeville, Gravelly Branchjoins it from the northeast. This stream has its headwaters in the vicinity of Ellendale. Deep Creek, which heads near Georgetown, is the next major tributary. A series of base-flow measurements has been obtained on Deep Creek (no. 11, pl. 8) and on Tyndall Branch (no. 12, pl. 8), one of its tributaries (table 4). In time these measurements may be correlated with discharges of Stockley Branch or Nanticoke River to developarelationship from which some characteristics of the flow in this sub-basin can be determined.

Clear Brook and Butler Mill Branch are tributary to the Nanticoke from the northwest. Base-flow measurements, not yet correlated, have also been obtained on Butler Mill Branch (no. 13, p1. 8). (table 4). A navigable channel 12 feet deep is maintained in the Nanticoke from Tangier Sound to the highway bridge in Seaford.

Broad Creek drains about 115 sq mi of southwestern Sussex County from a small headwater area near Nelson, Md., to its confluence with the Nanticoke River, 2 miles upstream from the State line. The creek is navigable below Laurel. James Branch, the head of Broad Creek, flows northward from Wicomico County, Md., and is joined by Hitch Pond Branch from the east and Chipman Pond Branch from the northeast. James Branch flows into

Records Pond at Laurel, below which the stream is named Broad Creek (shown on some maps as Laurel River). Rossakatum Branch, Little Creek, and Tussocky Branch are the principal tributaries of lower Broad Creek. A gaging station was established in June 1951 at the outlet of Trap Pond (no. 14, pl. 8) which drains a major part of the headwater area of Hitch Pond Branch. The duration and low-flow frequency characteristics are no doubt influenced by the regulatory effects of the storage immediately upstream from the gage and should not be considered as representative of ungaged areas in the vicinity.

A series of base-flow measurements has been obtained at an 8.5 sq mi drainage area site above Chipman Pond (no. 15, pl. 8). These determinations will be correlated with records for Trap Pond Outlet or Stockley Branch. Agaging station was maintained on Holly ditch (no. 16, pl. 8) 1.5 miles southwest of Laurel from August 1950 to September 1956. Analyses indicated that the ditch would be dry about 50 percent of the time and that it would not be practicable to maintain sustained flow with storage. Perhaps because of the intermittent nature of the stream, a lower than usual mean annual flood was computed for this site (figure 12). Peak discharges were no doubt often reduced by infiltration and storage of the initial runoff in the practically dry channel.

Marshy Hope Creek is tributary to the Nanticoke River 4 miles southwest of the Delaware State line, but has its headwaters in Kent County. About 45 sq mi of this headwater area is gaged at a station 1.5 miles northeast of Adamsville (no. 17, pl. 8). The creek, sometimes called Northwest Fork (of the Nanticoke River), flows south from Kent County and drains about 30 sq mi of the northwest part of Sussex County. A substantial amount of fresh water is available in Marshy Hope Creek although the flow is not as well sustained as that in the Nanticoke River near Bridgeville. At a hypothetical plant site ( 89 sq mi drainage area) similar to that discussed for the Nanticoke River, if a withdrawal of 10 mgd was required ( 0.125 mgdsm ), the average river flow during some 7 -day period would be insufficient every year. From table 9 it will be seen that 8 times as much net reservoir storage ( 8 mgsm or 640 mg ) would be required on Marshy Hope Creek as on Nanticoke River to sustain the required flow for 24 years out of 25 , on the average. The relative difference in the flow characteristics of these adjacent areas might be attributed to the effectiveness of the drainage works completed in Kent County. Similarly, the mean annual flood on Marshy Hope Creek appears to be higher than that of the Nanticoke or Pocomoke Rivers (figure 12). For a 50 sq mi drainage area, a 10 -year recurrence interval flood of $1,950 \mathrm{cfs}$ might be expected ( $850 \mathrm{cfs} \times 2.3$ ratio).

Another one of the lower tributaries of the Nanticoke River, Baron Creek, drains 30 sq mi of which about 10 sq mi is in the southwest corner of Sussex County. Monthly discharges for a gage site near the State Corner (no. 18, pl. 8), for the period January 1950 to September 1951, were computed from a series of discharge measurements correlated with other gaging-station records in Maryland. The yield from this area, which is about half in Delaware and half in Maryland, is significantly higher than that at the partialrecord gage site in the upper Wicomico River basin immediately to the east
(no. 9, pl. 8). Values of estimated monthly discharge for the 21 -month period of operation are given in the following table:

Baron Creek 3 miles east of Mardela Springs

| Drainage area, 8.9 sq mi |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month <br> 1950 | Discharge <br> in mgdsm | Month <br> 1950-51 | Discharge <br> in mgdsm | Month <br> 1951 | Discharge <br> in mgdsm |
| January | 0.452 | August | 0.280 | March | 0.685 |
| February | .730 | September | .282 | April | .692 |
| March | 1.01 | October | .266 | May | .403 |
| April | .763 | November | .265 | June | .505 |
| May | .873 | December | .349 | July | .316 |
| June | .501 | January ('51) | .429 | August | .289 |
| July | .335 | February | .542 | September | .249 |

In former years a considerable number of grist and sawmills were powered by water stored in small ponds throughout the basin. Although few mills continue in use, the ponds are now generally maintained for sport fishing and recreation. The DuPont Nylon plant and municipal powerplant at Seaford and the powerplant at Laurel are the largest water-using facilities, Together, they use a maximum of about $135 \mathrm{cfs}(60,300 \mathrm{gpm})$ for cooling purposes. The water is obtained from Nanticoke River and Broad Creek at locations which are affected by tides but have not as yet been contaminated by salt-water encroachment. The use of surface water and ground water for supplemental irrigation has increased rapidly in recent years because the dominance of agriculture in the economy has spurred adoption of newlydeveloped equipment and techniques. The sizeable investments in farm equipment and canning plants militate against suspension of operations because of drought conditions.

The several chemical analyses shown in table 11 and determinations reported by Aulenbach and Kaplovsky (1958) indicate that the chemical quality of the surface water of the Nanticoke River basin is, in general, very good. The water is soft, slightly acid, and low in chloride. The undesirable features are a relatively high iron content and a tendency to be somewhat corrosive. Although there are no facilities for the treatment of domestic or industrial wastes except at the DuPont Nylon plant in Seaford, the pollution problems were found to be localized in nature. Treatment plants have been planned by the municipalities of Seaford and Laurel.

## GROUND WATER

Ground water is water that occurs beneath the land surface and is free to move by gravity to wells, seeps, and springs. It is stored in the zone of saturation; a zone in which all of the interconnected openings or voids in the rocks of the earth's crust are filled with water. The top of the zone of saturation is called the "water table." Above the water table three other zones are identified, each of which has some water in retention and some water in transit, but none of these yield water to wells or springs.

The uppermost zone is called the soil zone or belt of soil moisture. Water in the soil zone, called "soil water," is retained by molecular and capillary forces and is the principal supply for plants.

Between the soil zone and the water table, the rocks of the earth's crust are only partly saturated. This zone is called the "zone of aeration," the "zone of suspended water," or the "vadose zone" (Meinzer, 1923). The bottom of the zone of aeration is marked by the "capillary fringe" in which water is held by capillary tension against the force of gravity, but the water in the capillary fringe is continuous with that in the subjacent zone of saturation.

In the soil zone, the zone of aeration, and the capillary fringe, the principal direction of water movement is vertical: there is little lateral movement. However, within the zone of saturation, water moves readily ---downward, sideward, and even upward --- in response to changes in the hydraulic gradient. Rock materials that are sufficiently permeable to transmit water are called aquifers, those that are relatively impermeable are called aquicludes.

Ground water occurs either under water-table (unconfined) conditions or under artesian (confined) conditions. Water-table conditions prevail where the upper surface of an aquifer is exposed to atmospheric pressure, whereas artesian conditions exist wherever an aquifer is completely saturated and the water therein is confined under pressure by an impermeable layer or aquiclude. A well pumping from a body of unconfined water actually dewaters the aquifer, obtaining most of the water from storage in the immediate vicinity of the well. A well pumping at the same rate from an artesian aquifer, lowers the pressure over a wide area, and the water taken from storage becomes available as a result of the slight compression of the aquifer skeleton over the entire area of influence or as a result of dewatering of deposits beyond the limit of confinement.

## PROPERTIES OF WATER-BEARING MATERIALS

The mass properties of the water-bearing materials that chiefly affect their water-bearing character are the grain-size distribution, or sorting, and the grain arrangement, or packing. The permeability, porosity, specific retention, specific yield, and moisture equivalent, are bulk and fluid properties that are dependent upon the granular properties. All of these properties except grain arrangement or packing can be expressed quantitatively, based on measurements made either in the field or in the laboratory.

## Grain-Size Distribution

The grain-size distribution of a rock or soil is determined in the laboratory by mechanical analysis, using standard sieve and hydrometer methods (Krumbein and Pettijohn, 1938). If the grade-size percentages are plotted as cumulative frequency curves on semi-logarithmic graph paper, the graphs can be used to determine the median grain diameter (Md) and the coefficient of sorting (So) as defined by Trask (1932). The median or average grain diameter canbe read directly from the cumulative frequency graph by noting the grain diameter of the middlemost grain as indicated by the second quartile or 50 percent line. The sorting coefficient, So, is defined as the square root of the ratio of the first quartile ( 25 percent line, $Q_{1}$ ) to the third quartile ( 75 percent line, Q3) as follows:

$$
\text { So }=\sqrt{Q_{1} / Q_{3}}
$$

According to Trask (1932) well sorted sediments have values of So less than 2.5, moderately sorted sediments range from 2.5 to 4.0 , and poorly sorted sediments have values larger than 4.0 .

## Permeability and Porosity

The permeability of a rock is a measure of its ability to transmit fluid under pressure (Meinzer, 1923, p. 44). The porosity of a rock is its property of containing interstices and commonly is expressed as the ratio of aggregate volume of interstices to its total volume. This ratio is usually stated as a percentage. Porosity and permeability are not directly related properties: a clay may have a high porosity, but a very small permeability; conversely, a crystalline rock, having only a few widely separated fissures, may have a low porosity, yet owing to fluid movement through the fissures it may be highly permeable.

Quantitative measurements of permeability are generally expressed as the coefficient of permeability ( $P$ ) which is defined as the rate of flow of water through a unit cross-sectional area of a rock material at right angles to the direction of flow if the hydraulic gradient is unity (Meinzer, 1923, p. 44). Laboratory determinations of the coefficient of permeability are made using either a constant or variable head apparatus described by Wenzel (1942). Values for permeability coefficients depend upon the size and arrangement of the particles, being low for clay and other fine-grained materials, and high for well-sorted gravel. Coefficients of permeability for water-bearing materials are usually greater than 10 gpd per square foot.

The porosity of a rock is determined by measuring the bulk density and particle density of the material. Porosity is then computed from the ratio of (1) the difference between bulk density and particle density, to (2) the bulk density, and is stated as a percentage. Unconsolidated sands usually have porosities ranging from about 25 to 50 percent. Soft clays have porosities usually between 30 and 60 percent, although values as high as 90 percent have been reported.

Specific Retention and Specific Yield
The specific retention of a rock is the percentage of its volume occupied by water that will be retained in the rock against the pull of gravity. The
specific yield of a rock represents the percentage volume of water that will drain out of the rock by the force of gravity. Thus, by definition, the specific yield equals the porosity of the rock minus its specific retention.

Measurements of specific retention and specific yield are made by indirect laboratory methods involving the moisture equivalent. The centrifuge moisture equivalent of a rock is the amount of water, expressed as a percentage of the dry weight, retained by the material which has been first saturated with water and then subjected to a force equal to 1,000 times the force of gravity for one hour. The centrifuge moisture equivalent is multiplied by the bulk density of the sample to obtain the moisture equivalent by volume. The centrifuge moisture equivalent by volume is then adjusted to specific retention by a correction factor proposed by Piper (1933). The specific yield can then be calculated by subtracting the specific retention from the porosity of the sample.

Experience in the Beaverdam Creek area (Rasmussen and Andreasen, 1959, p. 83) indicates that in normal water-level fluctuations, the actual drainage, called "gravity yield" is only one-third to one-half of the specific yield computed by the moisture equivalent method. The "gravity yield" is approximately equal to the coefficient of storage determined by pumping test methods.

## Laboratory Tests

During the course of this investigation the hydrologic properties of surficial sediments in Sussex County were determined by laboratory tests made on 32 samples. The results are summarized in tables 12, 13, and 14.

Table 12 summarizes the properties of a dune sand, beach sand, and alluvial sand, and of a basin silt, all of Recent age. The three sands are well-sorted, medium-grained sands. The silt is from the basin of Savanna "bay," and is representative of nine other analyses taken there. A pollen sample from the basin silt of Savanna 'bay" was identified by Dr. John Penny, of LaSalle College, Philadelphia (personal communication) as containing a flora of Recent age.

Table 13 summarizes the properties of the Parsonsburg sand, the surficial material deposited during the Pleistocene epoch. The wide range in median grain diameters and sorting coefficients indicates the variable conditions that prevailed. The median grain diameters of the samples tested range from 0.01 mm to 0.99 mm and the sorting coefficient ranges from 1.2 to 10.7 .

Table 14 summarizes characteristics of the more uniform Beaverdam sand. Well-sorted sediments predominate, and the median grain diameter of 0.52 mm , although in the coarse sand range, verges on the mediumgrained sand range. The Beaverdam sand probably represents shoreline estuarine conditions during an early glacial stage and subsequent interglacial state of the Pleistocene epoch.
Table 12. --Hydrologic properties of typical samples of the Recent series, Sussex County
(Analyzed in the Hydrologic Laboratory, U. S. Geol. Survey, Denver, Colo.)

| Sample | Depth (ft.) | Mechanical analysia (size in millimeters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Median <br> grain <br> diameter ( mm ) | $\qquad$ | Porosity <br> (percent) | Specific <br> yield <br> (percent) | Coeffieient of permeability$(\mathrm{P})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gravel (larger than 2.0\} | Very coarse sand (2.01.0) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Coarse } \\ \text { sand } \\ \text { (1.0 } \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mediurn and (0. 50- $0.25)$ | Fine sand $(0.25-$ $0.125)$ | Very fine sand $\begin{array}{r} (0.125- \\ 0.062) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Silt $(0.062$ -0.004 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clay } \\ \text { (less } \\ \text { than } \\ 0.004 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dune sand | 0.1 | - - | 0.4 | 9.5 | 58.2 | 30.2 | 1.3 | 0. |  | 0.28 | 1.2 | 41.9 | 41.4 | 1030 |
| Beach sand | . 1 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 35.4 | 56.7 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0. |  | . 48 | 1.1 | 44, 2 |  | 1530 |
| Alluvial sand | . 1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 54.8 | 28.2 | 1.4 | 6 |  | . 28 | 1.2 | 34.7 | 16.9 | 220 |
| Basin silt | 3 | - - | -- | 0.6 | 4.8 | 49.8 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 23.0 | . 13 |  | 39.6 | 27.7 | 0.2 |

Table 13.-- Hydrologic properties of the Parsonsburg sand, Sussex County
(Analyzed in the Hydrologic Laboratory, U. S. Geol. Survey, Denver, Colo.)

| Sample |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { (ft.) } \end{aligned}$ | Mechanical analysis (size in millimeters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Median } \\ \text { grain } \\ \text { diameter } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Coefficient of sorting (So) | Porosity (percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Specific } \\ & \text { yield } \\ & \text { (percent) } \end{aligned}$ | Coeffi- <br> cient <br> of <br> permea- <br> bility <br> (P) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol | Location |  | Gravel (larger than 2.0) | Very <br> cosres <br> sand <br> $\{2.0-1.0\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coarbe } \\ & \text { sand } \\ & (1.0- \\ & 0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Medium } \\ \text { sand } \\ (0.50- \\ 0.25) \end{gathered}$ | Fine sand $(0.25-$ $0.125)$ | Very <br> fine sand <br> $(0.125-$ <br> $0.062)$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Silt } \\ (0.062- \\ 0.004) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Clay } \\ & \text { (less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & 0.004 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Me }}$ M | Lincoln pit | 4.3 |  | 1.1 | 4.5 | 49.9 | 35.3 | 3.1 |  |  | 0.25 | 1.2 | 41.9 |  | 850 |
| - Me B | Wilaon's pit | 1.5 | 21.6 | 10.5 | 17.7 | 24.1 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 13.5 | 0.47 | 2.5 | 33.2 |  | 910 |
| 1 Me B | Do | 5.0 | 1.9 | 13.5 | 53.0 | 17.5 | 5.1 | 1.6 |  |  | 0.61 | 1.4 | 44.2 |  | 660 |
| - Mf A | Cedar Creek | 2.0 | 6.6 | 17.2 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 15.8 | 10.6 | 21.2 | 14.0 | 0.15 | 5.8 | 40.4 |  | 120 |
| - Mi B | Do | 4.0 |  | 2.3 | 15.1 | 38.6 | 30.1 | 4.3 |  |  | 0.27 | 1.5 | 41.9 |  | 410 |
| Mg52-17 | Savanna "bay" | 3.0 |  | 1.5 | 12.4 | 41.9 | 14.3 | 9.4 |  |  | 0.28 |  | 35.1 | 32.1 | 60 |
| -Mg A | Sugar Hill "bay" | 3.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 33.8 | 29.4 | 6.8 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 0.20 | 2.6 | 38.9 | 33.1 | 70 |
| - Mg A | Do | 6.3 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 17.2 | 30.0 | 28.8 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 9.0 | 0.25 | 1.6 | 40.7 | 36.8 | 180 |
| Ng B | Primehook Creek | 3.4 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 16.8 | 45.6 | 16.0 | 2.7 | 13.1 ${ }^{1}$ |  | 0.36 | 1.5 | 43.3 |  | 480 |
| $\triangle \mathrm{Og} A$ | Gravel Hill |  |  | 18.0 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 18.3 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 21.8 | 0.14 | 5.6 | 64.5 |  | 1 |
| $\omega \sim \mathrm{Oh} \mathrm{A}$ | Al Reed pit | 3.0 | 11.4 | 31.6 | 33.2 | 13.4 | 4.3 | 0.8 |  |  | 0.88 | 1.6 | 33.2 |  | 1430 |
| $\mathrm{Pb} A$ | Alexander pit | 3.2 | 7. 4 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 34.9 | 12.2 | 3.4 |  |  | 0.36 | 1.8 | 38.1 |  | 190 |
| -Pc A | Webb pit | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 13.2 | 11.0 | 33.4 | 41.0 | 0.01 | 10.4 | 35.5 |  | . 0004 |
| - Pc A | Do | 6.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 41.0 | 34.9 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 0.12 | 1.3 | 43.2 |  | 180 |
| -Pd B | S. Middleford | 5.0 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 12.8 | 56.7 | 22.5 | 1.6 |  |  | 0.32 | 1.4 | 38.9 | 36.6 | 470 |
| -Pd B | Do | 9.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 9.8 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 7.4 | 19.0 | 22.0 | 0.13 | 6.1 | 29.8 |  | 2 |
| QcB | Vicker ${ }^{\text {d }}$ s pit | 7.5 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 16.3 | 13.7 | 8.9 | 18.5 |  |  | 0.10 | 10.7 | 36.6 |  | 7 |
| Qc B | Do | . 9.5 | 4.8 | 10.8 | 25.8 | 22.8 | 9.6 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 17.0 | 0.40 | 2.9 | 40.0 | 31.6 | 370 |
| Qg C | Hunters millpond | 10.0 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 10.8 | 36.1 | 29.4 | 7.2 |  |  | 0.25 | 1.6 | 32.5 |  | 110 |

1/ Symbol refers to location of exposure or well shown on well-location mapa plates 2, 3, 4, and 5 .
Table 14.-- Hydroiogic properties of the Beaverdam sand, Sussex County
(Aralyzed in the Hydrologic Laboratory, U, S. Geol. Survey, Danver, Colo.)

| Sample |  | Depth (ft.) | Mechanical analyois (size in millimetera) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```Median grain diameter (mm)``` | Coefficient of sorting (So) | Porosity (percent) | Specific yield (percent) | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol' | Location |  | Gravel (Larger than 2.0) | Very coarse sand $\{2.0-1.0\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coarse } \\ & \text { sand } \\ & \text { (1.0- } \\ & 0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium } \\ & \text { sand } \\ & \{0.50- \\ & 0.25\} \end{aligned}$ | Fine and $(0.25-$ $0.125)$ | Very fine sand (0.1250.062) | Silt $(0.062-$ $0.004)$ | Clay (less than 0.0041 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Me A | Lincoln pit | 14.5 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 39.5 | 41.5 | 5.4 | 1.1 |  |  | 0.46 | 1.2 | 46.4 |  | 450 |
| ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Me} \mathrm{B}$ | Wilaon's ${ }^{\text {d }}$ pond | 7.8 |  | 0.6 | 81.2 | 13.3 | 1.7 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.54 | 1.1 | 46.4 |  | 480 |
| - MI A | Cedar Creek | 9.5 | 4.1 | 13.1 | 28.0 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 5.4 |  |  | 0.43 | 2.3 | 39.2 |  | 170 |
| , Mf: B | Millpond pit | 7.4 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 13.8 | 50.8 | 30.0 | 2.5 |  |  | 0.29 | 1.3 | 41.2 |  | 720 |
| $\sim^{*} \mathrm{Mf} \mathrm{B}$ | Do | 10.0 | 44.0 | 9.5 | 12.6 | 24.8 | 6.9 | 0.5 |  |  | 1.3 | 3.9 | 40.0 |  | 220 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{B}$ | Primehook Creek | 6.4 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 11.2 | 69.7 | 6.1 | 0.3 |  |  | 0.40 | 1.2 | 43.0 |  | 250 |
| - Og A | Gravel Hill | 5.3 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 15.1 | 45.0 | 21.0 | 3.2 |  |  | 0.30 | 1.5 | 43.4 |  | 210 |
| PbA | Alexander pit | 7.5 |  | 0.2 | 7.8 | 34.2 | 32.2 | 4. 6 | 6.7 | 14.3 | 0.24 | 1.7 | 30.6 |  | 70 |
| sPd B | S. Middleford | 15.8 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 27.1 | 13.8 | 7.4 | 1.6 |  |  | 0.74 | 2.1 | 38.1 | 29.1 | 1620 |

1/ Symbol refers to iocation of exposure or well shown on well-location map, plates 2, 3, 4, and 5.

## FLUCTUATIONS OF WATER LEVELS

The water table in Sussex County is the principal water-level surface. There are piezometric surfaces for each of the artesian aquifers -- in the Miocene series -- however, these surfaces have not been defined in this study.

The only record of fluctuation of the water level in the artesian aquifers is the decline in water level at Milford in the "shallower Miocene sand" described in the preliminary Statewide report (Marine and Rasmussen, 1955, p. 134-136). Early reports indicated that flowing wells were obtained in this aquifer in the Milford area where water levels are now more than 60 feet below land surface. This decline in water level represents the cone of depression caused by municipal and industrial pumping.

The fluctuations in the water table in Sussex County are shown in figure 2, a graph comparing average ground-water level with temperature, precipitation, and streamflow for a period from 1950 through 1957. The average water level is derived from the monthly or bimonthly measurement of four observation wells; Mf22-2 near Milford (formerly Mf 3); Ne34-1 near Ellendale (formerly Ne 1 ); Pg 51 nl near Millsboro (formerly Pg 4); and Qc24-4 near Laurel (formerly Qc 4). In addition to the four wells used to compute the average water level, periodic measurements have been made in a well near Greenwood, Nc45-1 (formerly Nc 6), and one at Lewes, Ni51-3 (formerly Ni 3).

The water table is relatively shallow throughout Sussex County, the greatest depth to water being about 25 feet below land surface, and in many of the basin-like depressions the water table is at the surface during much of the year. The average water level fluctuated between 7.5 and 11.3 feet below land surface, a range of 3.8 feet in $71 / 2$ years. These average depths are representative of ground-water conditions in the county as a whole.

There is a fair correlation between the average ground-water stage and streamflow, because as much as 70 percent of the streamflow is derived from ground-water discharge. This correlation is illustrated by the graph of discharge of the Nanticoke River near Bridgeville (fig. 2).

There also is a correlation between average ground-water level and precipitation, but, the correlation is much poorer than might be expected. In the winter the precipitation recharges the ground water readily, because the demands of the soil zone are at a minimum. But during the growing seasom, demands for water by plants, and high rates of evaporation, prevent much of the rainfall from passing through the soil zone. Only the more persistent and larger rainfalls provide sufficient moisture for infiltration to the water table. Therefore, only in those summer months in which 6 or more inches are recorded is the normal seasonal water-table recession appreciably altered.

Both the ground-water levels and the streamflow reflect the inverted average temperature curve, reaching higher stage and higher flow in the winter, when the water loss by evapotranspiration is at a minimum, and
reaching-low stage and low flow in summer or early autumn when the cumulative effect of evapotranspiration is greatest. There is a lag of about 2 months between higher average temperature, in late July, and lowest water level and flow, in late September. The hours and intensity of sunlight diminish late in the summer, so that evaporation opportunity decreases; however, the plants are larger and their water demand greater, hence, their transpiration continues to increase until harvest, or until the first killing frost.

It is obvious that the demand for irrigation water will be greatest during the season when the ground-water levels and streamflow are lowest. Nevertheless, in most places in Sussex County, there are plentiful reserves of shallow ground water in storage, even at times of lowest natural water level, that could supply large quantities of water to irrigation wells. Streams and shallow dug ponds are likely to dry up, or prove inadequate for irrigation supply during the dry season.

## AQUIFER HYDRAULICS

Each aquifer has specific characteristics which govern the rate of yield of water: two of these are the formation constants, the coefficients of trans missibility and storage. The coefficient of transmissibility, $T$, is the product of the saturated thickness and the coefficient of permeability. It is expressed as the rate of flow, at the prevailing water temperature in gallons per day, through a vertical strip of the aquifer 1 foot wide extending the full saturated height of the aquifer under unit hydraulic gradient. The coefficient of storage of an aquifer, $S$, is defined as the volume of water it releases or takes into storage per unit surface area of the aquifer per unit change in the component of head normal to that surface.

In addition to the formation constants, the finite boundaries of an aquifer may influence the performance of wells, particularly nearby wells, quite significantly. The overlying and underlying confining beds of artesian aquifers may be relatively impermeable and virtually prevent vertical movement from above or below. Commonly, the confining beds are leaky, and allow vertical flow of water into or out of the aquifer.

Most of the aquifers in Sussex County are brimful and discharge to streams throughout the year. Some of the artesian aquifers lose water by upward leakage through the confining beds and discharge at altitudes near sea level, along bays, estuaries, and streams.

For the water-table aquifers near the sea or bay shore, the presence of a salt-water interface beneath the land places an important limitation on the rate of withdrawal of fresh water. This latter situation is discussed in the special section on Lewes (p. 103).

The coefficients of transmissibility and storage can be determined by means of aquifer tests developed and employed by the U. S. Geological Survey over the past 20 years and now widely used by hydraulic engineers and geologists (Brown, 1953). From such tests, inferences on future water-level changes at specified rates of pumping from proposed wells can be made.

## QUALITY OF GROUND WATER

In this discussion of the quality of ground water, emphasis is placed upon the chemical and physical character of the water. The problem of bacterial or radiological pollution of ground water fortunately is rarein Sussex County and, therefore, is not considered here.

The chemical and physical character of ground water is generally expressed by means of an analytical statement of the composition and properties of a water solution. The ionic form of statement is used for substances known to be dissociated into positive ions, or cations, and negative ions, or anions. Other substances, including dissolved gases and colloids which are not known to occur in dissociated form, are reported either as an oxide or as an uncombined element. The principal ionized constituents in the ground waters of Sussex County include the cations, calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium, and the anions, carbonate, bicarbonate, sulphate, chloride, fluoride, and nitrate. Other substances that are present include silica, iron, manganese, aluminum, phosphate, and carbon dioxide.

The properties commonly reported in analyses of ground water include color, turbidity, dissolved solids, specific conductance, hardness, acidity, and temperature. The source and significance of all these constituents and properties are discussed in detail by Hem (1959).

## General Chemical Character

Analyses of ground water obtained during this investigation are presented in tables 15 and 16. Table 15 contains the results of analyses made by the U. S. Geological Survey, Branch of Quality of Water, and table 16 contains analyses obtained from other sources. The analyses are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) by weight. Some of the analyses are incomplete, in that quantitative tests or standard calculations were not made for all of the dissolved and suspended material present.

Included in the analytical data are the results of analyses of 142 samples from 97 wells ranging in depth from 6 to 242 feet below land surface. A total of 120 samples were obtained from shallow aquifers of Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) age, and the remaining samples were taken from aquifers of Miocene age. It should be noted that for sizeable areas in Sussex County, no water analyses are available.

Ground water in most sections of Sussex County, based on the data contained in tables 15 and 16, is soft, is low in iron and chloride content, is relatively low in dissolved solids content, and may be used for most purposes without furthertreatment. Nevertheless, shallow ground water, from marsh areas, is often malodorous and distasteful. Moreover, in some places, the water is high in iron content (more than 2.0 ppm ) and in a few localities near the coastline, it is saline. These characteristics are shown graphically on the map, figure 13, which is based chiefly on analyses of water from municipal and industrial wells.
Table 15:--Chemical analygen by U. 8. Geological Survey of ground water in Susiex County






Figure 13. Map of quality of the well waters of Sussex County.

## Relation to Use

Excessive concentrations of various constituents in water make it unuseable for certain purposes. Limits of iron, dissolved solids, and hardness are tabulated below for various usea.

Maximum content in parta per million

| Industrial Use | Iron | Dis solved solids | Hardness as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooling water | 0.5 | - | 50 |
| Food equipment washing | 0.2 | 850 | 10 |
| Food processing, general | 0.2 to 0.3 | 850 | 10-250 |
| Ice, raw water | 0.03 to 0.2 | 170-350 | 70-72 |
| Laundering | 0.2 | - | 0-50 |
| Rayon and textiles manufacture | 0.0 to 1.0 | - | 0-55 |
| Boiler feed water | - | 50-3,000 | 2-80 |

Adapted from: Water Quality Criteria - California, Water Pollution Control Board, 1952.
a/
Water with concentrations as much as $1,300 \mathrm{ppm}$ has been used successfully.
b/
Varies according to pressure of boiler.
c/
Varies according to pressure of boiler,

| at $0-150 \mathrm{psi}$ | . | . | 80 ppm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at $150-250 \mathrm{psi}$ | . | . | . |
| at $250-400 \mathrm{psi}$ | . | . | . |
| over 400 psi | 10 ppm |  |  |
| over |  |  |  |

Tentative standards for irrigation waters were established by Magistad and Christiansen (1944) and are given in the table below:

| Water class | Specific conductance (micromhos at $25^{\circ}$ ) | Salt <br> content ppm 1/ | Sodium percent | Boron ppm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Excellent to good | Below | Below | Below | Below |
|  | 1,000 | 700 | 60 | 0.5 |
| 2. Good to | l, 000 to | 700 to | 60 to | 0.5 to |
| injurious | 3,000 | 2,000 | 75 | 2.0 |
| 3. Unsatisfactory to injurious | Above | Above | Above | Above |
|  | 3,000 | 2,000 | 75 | 2.0 |

1/ Equivalent to "total dissolved solids."
According to Magistad and Christiansen (1944), the salt content in each class canbe raised 50 percent if the salts present are largely sulfates. They add "If a water falls in class 3 on any basis, i. e., conductance, salt content, percentage of sodium, or boron, it should be classed as unsuitable under most conditions." This latter view has been modified somewhat following studies by the U. S. Salinity laboratory staff (1954). A brief surnmary of papers on irrigation watershas been prepared by the U. S. Geological Survey (1954, p. 9-14). $\operatorname{Hem}(1959$, p. 229-230, 242-251) gives a general discussion of the problems.

## Treatment

Water of inferior quality frequently can be improved at reasonable cost by treatment, if the water is malodorous, corrosive, hard, or has troublesome iron and manganese content. However, little can be done to reduce the total dissolved solids content: the processes of distillation and ion-exchange are expensive and there is no foreseeable need for them in Sussex County.

One of the commonest methods of water treatment is the lime-soda process which consists of adding calcium oxide (quicklime) and sodium carbonate (soda ash) to the water to be treated. The lime absorbs free carbon dioxide and changes the soluble bicarbonates of calcium and magnesium, the hardness forming constituents, into insoluble calcium carbonate and magnesium hydroxide. Similarly, the addition of the soda ash changes the sulfates of calcium and magnesium into calcium carbonate and magnesium hydroxide, which are insoluble salts and, thus, form a sludge which can be removed. This process is used at three locations at Bethany Beach: the municipal plant, the U. S. Army camp, and a private water company just north of the town.

A common method of treatment of water for domestic use in the eastern part of Sussex County, where hardness associated with saline water locally
is a problem, is the zeolite softening process, which involves the use of complex compounds of sodium, aluminum, and silica, called zeolites. Zeolites have the faculty of exchanging bases and when water containing calcium and magnesium passes over zeolite filters, the alkaline earth elements are exchanged for sodium in the zeolite compounds. The exchange softens the water, but increases its sodium content. The zeolite is regenerated by backwashing with brine, which replaces the sodium in the zeolite and withdraws the calcium and magnesium in a chloride solution. The process is not always successful with water of high turbidity or high iron content.

Aeration is the process of exposing water to the air. It is accomplished by spraying water intothe air, passing air under pressure into the water, or allowing water to cascade over slats or flow through beds of coke or other material. The process adds oxygen to the water and reduces tastes and odors caused by decomposition of vegetation by the liberation of dissolved gases such as hydrogen sulfide. Also, undesirable amounts of iron and manganese in water can be oxidized to the insoluble ferric and manganic oxides. However, iron in combination with organic matter in water is more difficult to remove. Aeration equipment has been employed in municipal supply systems at Lewes, Rehoboth, Bethany Beach, Georgetown, and at the Army camps at Bethany Beach.

Many small water supplies that are troubled with excessive iron have been treated successfully with a sequestering agent such as sodium hexametaphosphate, sold commercially for use in a container which is attached to the suction side of a pump. The crystals of hexametaphosphate dissolve at a rate proportional to the pumping. The iron is not removed, it is "sequestered, " that is, it forms a protected compound with the hexametaphosphate, and passes on through the water system without precipitating as a stain. The hexametaphosphate is reported successful on concentrations of iron up to about 5 ppm , but above that concentration it is not a reliable deterrent to staining.

## WATER-BEARING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GEOLOGIC FORMATIONS

The geologic formations occurring in Sussex County are discussed in this section in order of geologic age from oldest to youngest. This arrangement is opposite to the order in which the formations would be encountered in drilling a well.

## Pre-Tertiary Systems

The rocks of the Precambrian, Paleozoic, Triassic, and Cretaceous systems are not used as sources of ground water in Sussex County, and hence are little known. For the purposes of this report, they are summarized in table 1 and on the geologic cross section, plate 6 . It should be noted however, that waters from aquifers in these formations probably would be warm and highly mineralized.

## Tertiary System

Information on the character of the Tertiary deposits in Sussex County has been obtained chiefly from two deep oil-test wells, Od23-1 and Od23-2,
about $41 / 2$ miles southeast of Bridgeville (plate 3). Well Od23-2 was drilled in 1935 to a depth of 3,012 feet, and logged lithologically. Well Od23-1 drilled nearby, was logged both lithologically and electrically, from 520 feet to 2,585 feet. A composite $\log$ of both wells is given in plate 9.

The electrical $\log$ of well Od23-1 (plate 9) shows a self-potential (SP) curve on the left, and a normal resistivity ( $R$ ) curve on the right. The deflections of the SP curve correspond to the drop of potential created by the circulation of an electrical current through the formations and the fluid which fills the borehole. The deflections are measurable in millivolts (my), positive to the right and negative to the left. The SP curve is used to relate the character of the fluid in the well bore to that in the formations penetrated by the well. Formations containing interstitial water that is more conductive than the borehole fluids are marked by negative deflections, whereas the formations containing interstitial water that is less conductive than the borehole fluid are identified by positive deflections.

The resistivity curve shown on plate 9 records the changes in the appar ent resistance offered by individual strata to the passage of an electric current. Resistivity is commonly measured in ohm-meters squared per meter (ohm $\mathrm{m} 2 / \mathrm{m}$ ) and expressed as ohm-meters. The apparent resistivity of individual strata as measured by electric logging devices is strongly influenced by the presence of and conductivity of the interstitial fluids. Low resistivities are generally associated with deposits of clay or silt, and, likewise, beds of sand containing highly mineralized interstitial solutions. It follows that the highest resistivities are recorded for clean sands containing relatively fresh ground water.

On the basis of paleontological data obtained from a study of the materials penetrated by well Od23-1 (table 2), the Tertiary sediments in Sussex County have been subdivided into four parts: the Paleocene, Eocene, Miocene, and Pliocene(?) series.

## Paleocene Series

The Paleocene series in Sussex County has been recognized in the Bridge ville oil-test well on the basis of Foraminifera of Midway age (see table 2, well Od23-1). The interval, illustrated on plate 9, is about 200 feet thick, and consists of fine greensand and clay. It is unimportant as a source of water because the sand is saturated with highly mineralized water and the clay functions as an aquiclude.

## Eocene Series

The Eocene series has been identified on the basis of microfossils in wells at Milford and Seaford, in addition to the oil-test well near Bridgeville (see Mel5-11, Pc33-1, and Od23-1, in table 2). In the Bridgeville oil test, the Eocene series is logged as being 382 feet thick (pl. 9). It is composed of glauconitic sand, sandy shale, and indurated rock, which may be limestone, and it extends from 738 to 1,120 feet below land surface. In the geologic cross section (pl. 6) the Eocene series is interpreted as dipping
toward the southeast at a rate of 30 feet to the mile. It decreases to a thickness of about 100 feet in the southeastern part of the county.

Following the terminology used in Maryland, the Eocene series has been divided into three formations: the Aquia greensand (equivalent to the Vincentown sand of New Jersey), the Nanjemoy formation, and the Piney Point formation. Interpretation of the $\log$ ( $p 1.9$ ) indicates that the lower part of the Eocene series, which includes the Aquia greensand and the Nanjermoy formation, would yield little water to wells and therefore is unimportant as a source of ground water in Sussex County.

The upper part of the Eocene series, the Piney Point formation, is a medium-to-fine-grained, somewhat glauconitic aand. It occurs in well Od23 -1 (pl. 9) at a depth of 740 feet to 850 feet. Inasmuch as a high-capacity city test well has been developed in the Piney Point formation at the Air Force Base at Dover (Rasmussen, Groot, and Depman, 1958), it is a possibility that a productive well could be developed in this formation at Milford, where it has been identified in Me15-11 as medium to fine sand at 637 to 777 feet below land surface. It is a productive aquifer in southern Maryland and a potential aquifer for the northern and western part of Sussex County.

No chemical analyses are available to provide information on the character of the water in the Piney Point formation, but the electric $\log$ (pl. 9), indicates that the water in the formation in northwestern Sussex County is only slightly mineralized. However, on the Isle of Wight, Worcester County, Md. . 4 miles south of the Sussex County line, a well flowing from a depth of 1, 706 feet yield water having a chloride content of $2,550 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955, p. 78). Therefore, it appears likely that the Piney Point formation contains highly mineralized water in southeastern Sussex County.

The Eocene series crops out in a belt about 40 miles northwesterly from Sussex County, extending from Annapolis, Md., through Middletown, Del., and Salem, N. J. For the most part this belt is mantled by Pleistocene sand, through which it receives some recharge water by infiltration.

## Miocene Series

The Miocene series forms a relatively thick wedge of sedimentary strata underlying all of Sussex County. It is composed in large part of clay, but contains several useable aquifers. The Miocene series presumably rests on the eroded surface of the Eocene series, for the intervening Oligocene epoch was a time of erosion or nondeposition in this area. Wells at only three sites in the county (Milford, the oil tests near Bridgeville, and Seaford) have been drilled through the entire Miocene section. The geologic cross section, plate 6, shows the overall structure relations, the prevailing southeasterly dip, and thickening toward the southeast. The Miocene series ranges in thickness from about 500 feet in northwestern Sussex County to 1,550 feet beneath Fenwick Island in the southeastern corner of the County.

Two detailed cross sections, plate 10 , show the generally conformable relations and southeasterly dip of the formations of the Miocene series in
contrast to the highly unconformable channel-fill deposits of the Pliocene(?) and Pleistocene series, which overlie it. The Miocene series is composed of four formations in Delaware; the Calvert, Choptank, and St. Marys formations, and the Cohansey sand (Miocene?).

Calvert formation

The Calvert formation of middle Miocene age is the lowest unit of the Miocene section in Delaware, overlying the Eocene series unconformably. It consists of gray diatomaceous silt and clay, and sparsely glauconitic gray sand. In Ni34-1 at Lewes, an old test well, the Calvert formation was found to extend from 407 to 1,020 feet below land surface, a thickness of 613 feet. Water was encountered at 625,750 , and 911 feet below land surface, but the water at 911 feet was of unsatisfactory quality (Clark, Mathews, and Berry, 1918, p. 489). In the log of well Od23-1 (pl. 9) the boundaries of the Calvert formation have not been delimited, but on the basis of lithology, the section between 357 and 738 feet below land surface may be the Calvert. The electric log indicates a fresh water-bearing sand in the interval 520 to 610 feet.

At Oak Grove, in well Pbl3-1, a sand aquifer extending from 240 to 300 feet below land sufface is found in the Calvert formation. This sand has been correlated with the Nanticoke aquifer of the adjacent area in Maryland (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955, p. 80, 81; 1957, p. 72). Well Pbl3-1 is pumped at 150 gpm and has a specific gravity of 1.6 gpm per foot of drawdown. At Seaford, well Pc33-1 penetrated the Calvert formation. The top is difficult to distinguish, but may be at 336 feet below land surface. The bottom contact with the Eocene series appears to be at 666 feet. The Nanticoke aquifer may be productive in southwestern Delaware over an area of a few square miles, in the interval ranging from 200 to 400 feet below sea level.

In well Mel5-11 at Milford, the interval 298 to 637 feet below land surface has been identified as Calvert formation. The uppermost sand, sandstone, and gravel has tentatively been correlated with the Cheswold aquifer of Kent County (Rasmussen, 1955, p. 60). This aquifer is tapped by a municipal supply well in the northern part of Milford.

## Choptank formation

The Choptank formation presumably underlies all of Sussex County at depths ranging from about 100 feet below land surface in the northwestern part of the county (see plate 10 ) to 700 feet below land surface beneath $F$ enwick Island (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955, pl. 2). The formation is generally an aquifer in nearby Maryland, providing water to an estimated 1,500 wells in Caroline, Dorchester, and Talbot Counties (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1957, p. 73). The formation includes the Frederica aquifer of Delaware (Rasmussen, 1955, p. 60) which provides water in southern Kent County and in Sussex County at Milford, Two wells at Milford (Me15-13 and Mel5-5) are reported to yield 373 gpm and 400 gpm respectively.

The quality of water of the Frederica aquifer at Milford is given in table 15 for wells Mel5-3, -9, -10 , and -13. The water is high in calcium bicar-
bonate and the hardness is about 140 ppm as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$. The water contains about 200 ppm total dissolved solids. It is low in iron and in all constituents except silica, of which it contains about 55 ppm. The pH is 7.7, slightly alkaline.

The Choptank formation has been logged in wells at Milford (Me15-11, 150 feet thick), near Bridgeville (Od23-1, where it is indistinct), at Oak Grove (Pbl3-1, 65 feet thick), at Seaford (Pc33-1, 132 feet thick), and at Fenwick Island (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955, pl. 2). It is described as a quartz sand containing shells and blue-gray clay and many hard beds.

In summary, the Choptank formation may be regarded as a potential aquifer at moderate depths beneath western and northern Sussex County, yielding a hard water suitable for most purposes. The intake area for this aquifer lies about 15 miles northwest of the northern boundary of Sussex County (see Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1957, p. 68).

St. Marys formation
The St. Marys formation is a significant aquiclude throughout Sussex County. It comprises a relatively impermeable sheaf of beds of gray fine sand, silt, and clay. As illustrated in the two geologic cross sections, (pl. 10), the St. Marys formation is in conformable contact with the Choptank formation below, and the Cohansey sand above. The Cohansey sand directly overlies the St. Marys formation only in the southeastern two-thirds of the county. Elsewhere, the St. Maxys formation is unconformably overlain by channel deposits of the Pliocene(?) series and the Pleistocene series.

The St. Marys formation is not known to yield water in Sussex County, although it may prove possible to develop small domestic wells locally in some of the fine sand layers.

Miocene(?) Series

## Cohansey sand

The Cohansey sand underlies the mantle of Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) channel deposits throughout the southeastern two-thirds of Sussex County, and includes at least two productive aquifers beneath the area. The two geologic cross sections in plate 10 illustrate the interpretation adopted in this report, which is an extension of the interpretation of Rasmussen and Slaughter (1955, p. 93-103).

According to this interpretation, the Cohansey sand is divisible into four units. The basal part is a unit which consists of gray sand with some silt, called the Manokin aquifer. It ranges from 0 up to perhaps 100 feet in thickness (Bethany Beach area). The Manokin aquifer is overlain by a unit of silt, fine sand, and highly lenticular clay, called the lower aquiclude, which reaches a thickness of 74 feet in well Qj32-9. The lower aquiclude in turn, is overlain by a unit of sand and intercalated silt and clay, called the Pocomoke aquifer, which is as much as 60 feet thick in the vicinity of well Rh22-1. In the southeastern corner of the State, the Pocomoke aquifer is overlain by
a less permeable deposit of very fine sand, silt, and clay called the upper aquiclude. The thickness of the upper aquiclude in well $\mathrm{Qj} 32-9$ is about 40 feet.

This fourfold division, which is shown in table 1 , must be taken with caution, however, as a provisional working hypothesis. Few wells penetrate more than a few tens of feetinto the formation, and fewer still have logs that havereceived careful study. The subdivision and study of the Cohansey sand in Delaware is a project worthy of detailed geologic investigation.

On the basis of subsurface data, the buried surface of the Cohansey sand is believed to have considerable relief. According to this interpretation, shown on plate 10, the Manokin and Pocomoke aquifers form at least two low-lying ridges, or cuestas, and the lower and upper aquicludes occupy adjacent swales. By projection the ridge formed by the Manokin aquifer supposedly underlies a belt about 7 miles wide that crosses the county from the southwest cornex, through Delmar, Laurel, Georgetown, and Milton, to Delaware Bay. As shown on the cross section (pl. 10), an outline of the Manokin aquifer, near Milford, may be tapped by well Me45-1. In somewhat similar manner, abelt: about 6 miles wide passing beneath Selbyville, Frankford, Dagsboro, and Indian River Inlet, would represent the subsurface outcrop area of the Pocomoke aquifer.

The position of the sub-outcrop areas of the Manokin and Pocomoke aquifers is an important feature from a hydrologic standpoint, for it is in these areas that the Manokin and Pocomoke aquifers are hydraulically connected to the overlying channel deposits of Pliocene(?) and Pleistocene age. The combined thickness of permeable deposits contributes significantly to the yield and specific capacity of individual wells as evidenced by table 17 which summarizes the available data on the yields and specific capacities of wells that top the Cohansey sand.

The quality of water in the Manokin aquiferis represented by seven analyses in tables 15 and 16 (Ni31-1 at Lewes; Of42-1 at Georgetown; Pc24-8 at Seaford; Pc55-1 at Broad Creek; and Qd2l-2, -3, and -4 at Laurell. With the exception of Ni3l-1, the analyses indicate that the waters are slightly acidic, low in dissolved solids, soft, and low in iron content. The exceptional analysis, Ni31-1 at Lewes, indicates salt-water contamination near where the outcrop area of the Manokin aquifer crosses Delaware Bay.

The quality of water in the Pocomoke aquifer shows considerable variation, as might be expected owing to its proximity to estuaring and ocean waters, and to its dissection by channels now filled with deposits of Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) age. Six analyses are given in tables 15 and 16 ( Qh 51 -7, -11, at Frankford; Qi55-2 at Camp Barnes, Qh32-7, -8 at Bethany Beach; and Rh32-1 at Selbyville). With one exception, Qh5l-7, the waters are high in iron content which is characteristic of the Pocomoke aquifer in Somerset and Worcester Counties, Md. (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955, p. 163). Chloride is low except at Bethany Beach, where well Qj32-7 and -8 contained 58 and 63 ppm chloride respectively, indicating some contamination. The waters ranged from soft to hard, and the pH ranged from 5.6 to 6.7. Three sam-

Table 17. -- Yields and specific capacities of wells in the Cohansey sand

Well | Depth | Yield | Specific |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| feet | gpm | gpm per ft |

Pocomoke aquifer


Manokin aquifer Conjunction with

| Ng42-3 | 79 | 250 | 21 | Pleistocene-Pliocene(?) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ng42-6 | 78 | 250 | 14 | Do |
| Ng42-7 | 79 | 250 | 19 | Do |
| Ng53-1 | 52 | 6 | 0.4 | -- |
| Nh42-1 | 87 | 100 | 5.7 | -- |
| Of 42-1 | 116 | 1,100 | 17.8 | Pleistocene(?) |
| Of 42-17 | 110 | 500 | 8 | Do |
| Of 42-23 | 110 | 1,005 | 34.5 | Do |
| Of 43-2 | 110 | 575 | 44.2 | - - |
| Og 32-1 | 88 | 65 | 2.8 | -- |
| Of 52-1 | 106 | 80 | 3.1 | -' |
| Pc24-8 | 68 | 420 | 15.5 | Pleistocene |
| Pc55-1 | 114 | 130 | 1.9 | -- |
| Pe23-2 | 84 | 80 | 12.4 | Pliocene(?) |
| Pj 42-1 | 250 | 20 | 0.5 | -- |
| Qd21-2 | 91 | 500 | 12.9 | Pliocene(?) |
| Qd21-3 | 91 | 540 | 12.9 | Do |
| Qd21-4 | 94 | 730 | 12.8 | Do |
| Qd21-5 | 103 | 700 | 16. 7 | Do |
| Rh32-6 | 185 | 100 | 10 | - |
| Rj 32-5 | 287 | 40 | 1.5 | Do |

ples from wells $\mathrm{Qh} 51-11, \mathrm{Qi55-1}$, and $\mathrm{Qj} 32-7$, showed turbidities of 90,100 , and 90 , respectively, remarkably high for ground water and possibly related to their high iron content.

## Pliocene(?) series

The Pliocene(?) series is composed of orange, red, or brown sand, containing a few layers of clay and some gravel. It is not known to crop out in Delaware, but is found in wells at depths ranging from 35 to 95 feet below land surface. These sediments are identified as the Brandywine formation in table 1 and plate 10. They occur at altitudes as high as 10 feet above sea level, and as a fill material in channels carved in the Miocene sediments to depths as much as 100 feet below sea level.

The maximum thickness of the Brandywine formation shown in plate 10 is 59 feet at well Rd31-8. Generally, the thickness of the Brandywine formation is much less than 59 feet, but it is so variable that an average is meaningless.

The contact of the red gravelly sand characteristic of the Brandywine formation with the underlying gray, blue, or greenish sands, silts, and clays of the Miocene series is sharp, and generally unmistakable in well samples. The contact with the overlying white, gray, tan, buff, or light-brown gravelly sands and silts of the Pleistocene series is in places distinct, but in some sample suites it is gradational and is difficult to define accurately. Consequently, the combined term, Pleistocene-Pliocene(?) series has been used in the well tables to indicate these units where they have not been differen. tiated.

The red gravelly sand is not encountered in all wells. Plate 10 shows that of the wells drilled deep enough to reach the Brandywine formation, 21 penetrated this unit and 16 did not. More specifically, along the western cross-section, B-B (plate 10), about 80 percent of the wells penetrated this unit, but along the eastern cross-section A-A, it was found in only 35 percent of the wells.

The red gravelly sand is unfossiliferous, so far as is known, and its oxidized character makes it unlikely that fossil pollen will be found, although thin stringers of varicolored clay have been encountered at a few places. It appears to be a continental deposit, occupying channels cut in the late Miocene surface. The predominantly sandy character and presence of a few small stones, suggests that the formation may be an alluvial-fan deposit, although less coarse than those of the alluvial fans of the basin-and-range province of the western States. The highly-colored nature of the deposit is probably caused by the presence of small amounts of hematite, which is red ferric oxide, and limonite, which is yellow-brown hydrous ferric oxide. This suggests that the materials accumulated in an oxidizing environment.

Although the red gravelly sand could have been formed in late Miocene(?) time, the long time interval of the Pliocene epoch is perhaps the most likely time of formation of the Brandywine. Regional considerations indicate this
was an epoch in which the continental land mass was rising, the streams were rejuvenated, and weathered soils of the Appalachian Mountains and the Piedmont were removed by erosion and carried to the Coastal Plain.

The red gravelly sand is correlated by the writers with the Pliocene(?) Brandywine formation of Maryland, on the basis of lithology and stratigraphic position, This interpretation follows that made by Rasmussen and Slaughter (1955, p. 103-108; 1957, p. 78-80), and Rasmussen and Andreasen (1959) on the adjacent middle and lower counties of the Eastern Shore of Maryland, an interpretation based on two peg models prepared from well samples for those areas. Campbell(1931) described the Brandywine as an alluvial fan, a"sand and gravel brought down by the Potomac River during the period of downcutting," The sand and gravel spread out from a central point located near what is now Washington, D. C. and was deposited on a surface which sloped from an altitude of 300 feet to below 100 feet. Hack (1955) considers the Brandywine formation to be a channel deposit of a degrading and laterally cutting stream such as the ancestral Potomac River.

Regardless of age the red gravelly sand is one of the important aquifers of Sussex County, providing water to about 17 percent of the wells. Together with overlying deposits of the Pleistocene series, it yields large quantities of water at Lewes, Bridgeville, Seaford, Laurel, and Delmar. It is potentially an important aquifer in areas south of Milford and Georgetown. Table 18 gives reported yields and reported specific capacities of wells tapping the Pliocene(?) series and the Pliocene(?)-Pleistocene series. The yields range from 15 to $1,050 \mathrm{gpm}$. The specific capacities range from 1.1 to 25.9 and average about 10 gpm per foot of drawdown for 29 wells.

The quality of water from the Pliocene(?) series is suitable for most purposes; it is generally soft, low in chloride content, and generally does not contain excessive iron. The highest concentration of iron from the pliocene(?) series, listed in table 15 was 1.0 ppm in well Oill-1. As was found for the Pliocene(?) series on the Eastern Shore of Maryland (Rasmussen and Slaughter, 1955, p. 107), in spite of the redness of the sand, the water obtained from it is only occasionally high in iron content. One well driller reports that he gets less "irony" water from the "red gravelly sand" than does from the overlying light-colored sands.

## Quaternary System

## Pleistocene Series

The Pleistacene series has been subdivided on plate 10 , and in the well logs appended to this report, into four formations: the Beaverdam sand, the Walston silt, the Pamlico formation, and the Parsonsburg sand. The characteristics of these formations are defined in table 1 . All of these formations yield water to some wells; nevertheless, the yields from the sand layers in the Walston silt, the Pamlico formation, and the Parsonsburg sand are small. The principal aquifer of the Pleistocene series is the Beaverdam sand.

Table 18. -- Yields and specific capacities of wells tapping
the Pliocene(?) series

L indicates well log listed in table 22.

| Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) series |  |  |  |  | Pliocene(?) series |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well | Depth feet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { gpm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Specific capacity gpm per ft |  | Well | Depith feet | Yield gpra | Specific capacity gpm per ft |  |
| Me33-2 | 88 | - 20 | 1. 1 | L | Me14-6 | 21 | 15 | - | L |
| Mf 23-3 | 82 | 20 | 2.2 | L | Mf 21-1 | 63 | 180 | 15 | L |
| Mg51-1 | 62 | 80 | - | L | $\mathrm{Ng} 24-2$ | 85 | 40 | 4 | L |
| Nc25-1 | 70 | 100 | - | L | Ng52-2 | 70 | 20 | - | L |
| Nc25-2 | 61 | 690 | - |  | Oc14-1 | 108 | 300 | - |  |
| Nc53-1 | 68 | 220 | - |  | Oc14-2 | 94 | 200 | - |  |
| Nd41-1 | 91 | 70 | 4.4 | L | Oc14-4 | 109 | 600 | 21.4 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ne} 25-1$ | 67 | 100 | - | L | Oc14-5 | 116 | 800 | 21.1 |  |
| Ng42-4 | 60 | 250 | - |  | Oc14-6 | 98 | 500 | 15.1 |  |
| Ng42-8 | 60 | 250 | - |  | Ocl4-7 | 111 | 90 | 4.2 | L |
| Ni 41-1 | 110 | 339 | - |  | Oh11-1 | 72 | 50 | - | L |
| Ni 42-10 | 64 | 300 | - | L | Ohll-2 | 84 | 75 | 8.8 | L |
| Ni 51-16 | 97 | 480 | 16 |  | Oj41-22 | 120 | 100 | 9.8 | L |
|  |  | 510 | 12.5 |  | Pc23-1 | 87 | 307 | 8.4 | L |
| Ni 51-17 | 157 | 500 | 11.3 | L | Pc33-3 | 83 | 1050 | - |  |
| Ni 51-18 | 89 | 400 | 11.1 |  | Pc33-11 | 101 | 625 | 13.1 |  |
| Ni 52-1 | 94 | 100 | 20.0 | L | Pc23-4 | 100 | 650 | 18.8 |  |
| Ni 51-13 | 87 | 35 | 3.5 | L | Pd21-1 | 92 | 60 | 6.0 | L |
| Ni 51-14 | 87 | 30 | 2.5 | L | Pe23-5 | 115 | 100 | 6.7 | L |
| Ni 51-21 | 105 | 75 | 4.2 | L | Pg54-1 | 105 | 400 | 12.5 |  |
| Ni 51-19 | 151 | 975 | - |  | Pg53-8 | 87 | 350 | - | L |
| Ni 51-20 | 146 | 895 | 25.9 |  | Rj 32-6 | 95 | 60 | - |  |
| Og23-1 | 64 | 150 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Og23-3 | 78 | 336 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oi 12-2 | 71 | 40 | 4 | L |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pc33-7 | 82 | 1000 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ph55-1 | 80 | 85 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qd21-6 | 63 | 50 | - | L |  |  |  |  |  |

The grain size, permeability, and porosity of samples from surface exposures of the Pleistocene series are shown in tables 13 and 14. Coefficients of permeability of samples obtained from well Qd2l-2, believed to be representative of unweathered deposits of Pleistocene age, were alsodetermined in the Hydrologic laboratory of the U.S. Geological Survey. The results are given in the following table:

| Depth <br> feet | Coefficient of permeability <br> gpd_per square foot |
| :---: | :---: |
| $21-26$ | 1,847 |
| $31-36$ | 978 |
| $67-75$ | 4,011 |
| $75-80$ | 939 |

The coefficient of transmissibility of the Pleistocene series, or of the Pleistocene-Pliocene(?) series undifferentiated, is in the vicinity of 100,000 gpd per foot, as computed from aquifer tests at Lewes, Del. and Salisbury, Md. Most of the Pleistocene aquifers are unconfined and their coefficients of storage range from 0.05 to $\mathbf{0 . 2 0}$.

The Pleistocene series contains the principal aquifers of Sussex County, as shown by the number of municipalities that obtain water supplies from formations of this series. Public-supply wells at Greenwood, Rehoboth, Millsboro, Dagsboro, Frankford, and Selbyville obtain water from formations of the Pleistocene series and at Bridgeville, Seaford, Laurel, Delmar, and Lewes public-supply wells obtain water from formations of the Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) series. Moreover, 67 percent of the scheduled wells tap only the Pleistocene series, indicating that it is the chief aquifer in the rural areas of Sussex County as well.

Table 19 summarizes the yield and specific capacity reported for 67 wells in the Pleistocene series. Many of these wells are of modest yield, not because of incapacity of the aquifer, but because the capacity of the pumps is low and the wells were not constructed to obtain maximum yields. Yields up to $1,000 \mathrm{gpm}$ are listed. The Pleistocene series together with the Cohansey sand and the Brandywine formation, supplies large capacity wells, as shown in tables 17 and 18. The average specific capacity of 24 wells in the Pleistocene series is 8.9 gpm per foot, although the range is from 1.0 to 31 .

The quality of water from the Pleistocene series is, in general, suitable for most purposes (see tables 15 and 16). Except for a few analyses from wells contaminated with salt water along the coast, the water is generally very low in dissolved-solid content, that is, less than 100 ppm . The water is usually soft or only moderately hard, and contains no troublesome constituents except iron. The content of iron is sporadic: in some wells it is very low, in others it reaches a maximum of as much as 8.0 ppm . Millsboro, in particular, is troubled by excessive iron content (see wells $\mathrm{Pg} 53-1$, 54-3, table 16). The pH of the water from the Pleistocene series commonly is low, and in some systems treatment is needed to avoid corrosion of pipes. For example, the water from wells at Seaford has a pH of about 5.5 (table 16).

Table 19. -- Yields and specific capacities of wells in the
Pleistocene series
Lindicates well log listed in table 24.

| Well | Depth feet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { gpm } \end{aligned}$ | Specific capacity gpm per ft |  | Well | Depth feet | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \mathrm{gpm} \end{gathered}$ | Specific capacity gpm per $f$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me14-5 | 35 | 12 | 1.0 | L | Oj 41-8 | 94 | 110 | - | L |
| Me15-12 | 40 | 100 | - |  | Oj41-9 | 98 | 100 | 5.6 | L |
| Me15-17 | 73 | 25 | 2.3 | L | Oj 41-10 | 100 | 60 | 2.6 | L |
| Me15-27 | 38 | 50 | 4.3 | L | Oj41-11 | 103 | 105 | - | L |
| Mf 11-1 | 47 | 65 | - | L | Oj 41-12 | 110 | 80 | - | L |
| Mf 11-2 | 42.5 | 50 | - | L | Oj 41-13 | 107 | 90 | 3.8 | L |
| Nc15-1 | 38 | 45 | 2.1 | L | Oj 41-14 | 109 | 90 | - | L |
| Nc25-8 | 50.8 | 100 | 2.5 | L | Oj 41-15 | 111 | 100 | - | L. |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 12-1$ | 61 | 300 | 16.7 | L | Oj41-16 | 111 | 100 | 6.6 | L |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 35-2$ | 47 | 40 | - | L | Oj 41-17 | 105 | 50 | - |  |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 42-1$ | 68 | 200 | 31 | L | Oj 41-18 | 105 | 60 | 4.0 | L |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 42-2$ | 68 | 200 | 31 | L | Oj 41-19 | 100 | 40 | - | L |
| Ng42-9 | 32 | 40 | 1.4 | L | Oj41-23 | 115 | 80 | - | L |
| Ng52-1 | 56 | 15 | . | L | Oj 41-25 | 100 | 100 | - | L |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 55-1$ | 75 | 100 | - | L | Oj 31-5 | 113 | 90 | - | L |
| Ni 31-3 | 60 | 100 | 14 |  | Oj 31-6 | 38 | 50 | - | L |
| Oi 34-1 | 131 | 725 | 22.7 | L | Oj 41-26 | 110 | 100 | 5.6 | L. |
| Oi 24-1 | 102 | 378 | 5.8 | L | Oj 31-9 | 40 | 20 | - |  |
| Oi 34-3 | 116.6 | 500 | - | L | Pc24-1 | 80 | 300 | - |  |
| Oi 25-1 | 118 | 60 | 12 | L | Pc24-2 | 80 | 300 | - |  |
| Oi 34-5 | 104 | 85 | 10 | L. | Pc24-3 | 80 | 350 | - |  |
| Oi 35-18 | 119 | 80 | 16 | L | Pc13-1 | 41 | 15 | 1 | L |
| Oi 35-19 | 110 | 40 | - | L | Pc33-2 | 87 | 1000 | - |  |
| Oi 35-20 | 77 | 37 | - | L | Pc33-5 | 98 | 540 | 11.7 |  |
| Oi 35-21 | 134 | - | 7.5 | L | Pc33-9 | 82.9 | 640 | 23.7 |  |
| Oi 12-1 | 73 | 25 | 2.5 | L | Pc33-10 | 78 | 600 | 23.6 |  |
| Oi 34-2 | 123 | 750 | - |  | Pc25-1 | 45 | 60 | 5.5 | L |
| Oj 31-4 | 120 | 60 | - | L | Pc23-3 | 95 | 800 | 28 | L |
| Oj41-2 | 108 | 80 | - | L | Pc23-7 | 84 | 37 | 5.3 | L |
| Oj41-3 | 101 | 100 | - | L | Pe23-3 | 40 | 20 | - |  |
| Oj 41-4 | 102 | 50 | - | L | Pg31-1 | 80 | 120 | - |  |
| Oj 41-5 | 112 | 40 | 1.6 | L | Pg31-2 | 86 | 90 | - | L |
| Oj 41-6 | 102 | 85 | - | L. | Rd31-8 | 126 | 290 | 10 | L |
| Oj 41-7 | 117 | 100 | - | L |  |  |  |  |  |

Sediments of the Recent series consist of the soil, the coastal dunes, marsh muds, swamp and bog peat, alluvium, and man-made fill. A significant portion of the land area of the county is covered by these materials.

Areas of dune and beach sand are favorable to recharge of underground water because the specific retention is low and the permeability is high (see table 12). A sample of Recent dune sand was collected about l mile north of Rehoboth in the dune area along the Atlantic shore. The sample had a coefficient of permeability of $1,030 \mathrm{gpd}$ per square foot, a porosity of 41.9 percent, a specific retention of 0.5 percent, and a specific yield of 41.4 percent. A sample of beach sand collected 1 mile north of Rehoboth along the Atlantic shore at the strand line had a porosity of 44.2 percent and a coefficient of permeability of $1,530 \mathrm{gpd}$ per square foot (table 12). The dunes of Delaware supply small quantities of water to small diameter wells where the quality of water is suitable for domestic use.

A sample of alluvium was collected near Lewes, south of the new municipal well field, where a small road crosses Ebenezer creek. The sample, taken at stream level in the creek bed, was found to have a porosity of 34.7 percent, a coefficient of permeability of 220 gpd per square foot, a specific retention of 17.8 percent, and a specific yield of 16.9 percent.

In several gravel pits in the county, a remarkably uniform black sandy soil about 6 inches thick, is found at the surface. The analyses of 'basin silt" from Savanna "bay" are given in table 12 as representative of this swamp-type soil, which occurs in many undrained depressions in the county.

## UTILIZATION OF GROUND WATER

## By Durward H. Boggess

The average use of ground water in Sussex County was about 19 million gallons per day ( mgd ) in 1957, the latest year for which pumpage data have been compiled. Pumpage for industrial and commercial uses accounted for about 61 percent of the total ground water used, or approximately 11.6 mgd . In addition, 21 percent or 4.0 mgd , was withdrawn by public water-supply systems for municipal and institutional purposes. The remalning 18 percent, or 3.4 mgd , was withdrawn for rural uses. It should be noted however, that peak demands on the ground-water resources, representing 3 to 4 times the daily average, occur during the summer months when resorts are ingreatest use, canneries are in full operation, and irrigation is at a maximum. The magnitude and distribution of the principal centers of pumping are shown schematically on figure 14.

## Public Supplies

Ground-water supplies have been developed for public use in Sussex County by 14 municipalities and 3 institutions. These systems serve 36 percent of the population of the county, and furnish water for nearly all residential


Figure 14. Map and diagram of utilization of ground water in Sussex County.

Table 20.--Average daily pumpage of ground water for public use in
Sussex County in 1957, by geologic source

Pumpage (thousand gallons per day)

and commercial needs, and for part of the industrial uses within their respective service areas. Table 23 shows the average daily use of ground water by each of these systems and identifies the geologic source from which the supplies are obtained.

## Industrial Supplies

The amount of ground water used by industries in Sussex County exceeds the combined amounts used for all other purposes. Much of the industry within the county is concerned with the processing of agricultural products, mainly fruits, vegetables, and poultry. Listed on table 24 are all industries using significant quantities of ground water and the source, or aquifer from which it is withdrawn. The industries are grouped according to area, as some companies operate in several areas.

## Rural Water Supplies

Rural water supplies include water used for domestic purposes, for farm stock use, and for irrigation of crops. In 1957 an average of 3.4 million gallons daily was withdrawn from ground-water sources in Sussex County for these purposes. Most of this water is obtained from wells less than 100 feet deep, tapping aquifers in the Pleistocene or Pleistocene-Pliocene(?) series.

## Domestic

The rural domestic use of ground water in Sussex County was about 2 mgd in 1957. Domestic use includes mainly household use for drinking, sanitation, cooking, washing, and lawn watering, representing the primary use by man. Individually, the amount of water used per house or per person is relatively small, but collectively the amount is significant. The estimated population of Sussex County was about 69,000 in 1957 of which about 25, 000 persons were served by municipal water supplies. The remaining 44, 000 persons represent the rural population (including small towns without municipal supplies) which obtains water from individual home wells. The per capita use of water for houses with running water (equipped with electric pumps) averaged 60 gpd , while the per capita use for those without running water averages only about one-sixth of this amount, or 10 gpd . Rural domestic water use may then be calculated by multiplying the per capita use by the population served in each category.

## Farm Stock

An estimated 1.1 mgd was used for watering farm stock in 1957. The greatest amount, about 64 percent, was used for chicken broiler production. The total daily use by farm stock was computed by multiplying the total number of each type of farm animal by their estimated daily requirements and summing up to the total amounts used by each type. Estimated daily water requirements include 20 gpd for milk cows, 10 gpd for other cattle, 10 gpd for horses and mules, 3 gpd for hogs, 2 gpd for sheep, 0.04 gpd for chickens, and 0.06 gpd for other poultry. Data on chicken broiler production in 1957

| Area and Company | Pleistocene-Pliocene(?) series Beaverdam sand and Brandywine formation | Miocene(?) series. |  | Miocene series | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pocomoke aquifer | Manokin aquifer | Frederica aquifer |  |
| Bridgeville area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co, | 844 |  |  |  | 844 |
| H. P. Cannon \& Son, Inc. | 308 |  |  |  | 308 |
| Dulany Frosted Foods Co. | 130 |  |  |  | 130 |
| O. A. Newton \& Son Co. | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Delmar area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ralston Purina Co. | 20 |  |  |  | 20 |
| Frankford area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co. | 10 | 14 |  |  | 24 |
| Delmarva Poultry Co. |  | 280 |  |  | 280 |
| Hypro Associates |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Smith-Roland Co. |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Georgetown area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co. |  |  | 860 |  | 860 |
| J. S. Isaacs \& Sons Cold St | Storage, Inc. |  | 400 |  | 400 |
| Paramount Poultry Co. | $200$ |  |  |  | 200 |
| Swift \& Co. |  |  | 595 |  | 595 |
| J. G. Townsend, Jr. \& Co. |  |  | 310 |  | 310 |

Table 21.--Continued.

| Area and Company Ple | leistocene-Pliocene( ?) series Beaverdam sand and Brandywine formation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miocene(?) } \\ \text { series } \end{gathered}$ |  | ```Miocene series Frederica aquifer``` | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pocomoke } \\ \text { aquifer } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Manokin aquifer |  |  |
| Greenwood area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Shore Products Co. | 180 |  |  |  | 180 |
| Laurel area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beacon Feed Co. |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |
| Buntinge Nurseries |  |  | 110 |  | 110 |
| Konters Frozen Foods | 290 |  |  |  | 290 |
| Lewes area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Doxsee Co. |  |  | 19 |  | 19 |
| Fish Products Co. | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |
| Lewes Dairy | 57 |  |  |  | 57 |
| Milford area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delmarva Poultry Co. | 30 |  | 135 | 140 | 305 |
| Draper Canning Co. |  |  |  | 40 | 40 |
| Milford Ice Co. | 65 |  |  |  | 65 |
| Torsch Canning Co. |  |  |  | 50 | 50 |
| Millsboro area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware Power \& Light Co. | 80 |  |  |  | 80 |
| Millaboro Poultry Co. | 400 |  |  |  | 400 |
| Townsends, Inc. | 140 |  |  |  | 140 |

Table 21.--Concluded.

was furnished by the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Delaware. Other stock totals were obtained from the 1954 Census of Agriculture (U. S. Dept. Commerce, 1956).

## Irrigation

Irrigated land in Delaware has increased rapidly in the past 8 years, from 390 acres in 1949 to 14,269 acres in 1957. Data supplied by the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Delaware, indicates that nearly 341 million gallons of water were applied to crops in Sussex County in 1957. About 40 percent ( 136 million gallons) was pumped from ground-water sources, including dug ponds, natural ponds fed by springs, and wells. The remaining 205 million gallons was pumped from surface-water sources, such as streams, lakes, and impounded ponds.

Water used for irrigation supplements water received from precipitation during periods when precipitationis inadequate or unevenly distributed. The amount of water used and the period of use will vary considerably from year to year or from month to month depending on the rainfall pattern. Precipitation data presented in table 1 indicate that 1957 was a relatively dry year, with below normal precipitation occurring at the peak of the growing season in July and August. It is also noted in table 1 that below normal precipitation (based on the 7-year average) occurred in at least one month of the growing season each year from 195 I through 1957.

Although the average combined use of ground and surface water for irrigation amounts to about $930,000 \mathrm{gpd}$ when averaged over the year, as much as 6 mgd or more may be used during some periods. One well, $\mathrm{Pg} 5 \mathrm{l}-2$, used for irrigation from June to August is reported to yield as much as 972, 000 gpd. Should the present trend in irrigation continue, water use in this category may well exceed many other present uses.

## SALT-WATER ENCROACHMENT

All along the eastern shore of Sussex County, the shallow aquifer of Pleistocene and Recent age is exposed to one or more large bodies of salty or brackish water (figure 1). Thus, an opportunity exists for the encroachment of salty or brackish water into the shallow aquifer wherever the natural seaward hydraulic gradient is reversed by pumping from wells adjacent to the shoreline. This has apparently occurred at only two localities in Sussex County, namely, at Lewes and at Rehoboth. Although information relative to the nature and extent of salt-water contamination at Rehoboth is scant, considerable data is available on the incidence of contamination at Lewes.

The encroachment of salt water into the shallow aquifer in the Lewes area was first recognized in 1943 following the dredging of the Lewes-Rehoboth Canal. The first indication of the presence of salty or brackish water in the shallow aquifer which supplies the city of Lewes was the discovery that the chloride concentration of water from the municipal-supply well located nearest the newly dredged canal was abnormally high. This observation was followed by a study of the chloride content of water from other welle in the
area to determine the extent of the contamination and, if possible, to identify the source of the highly contaminated water. The investigation of alt-water encroachment at Lewes was made by the U. S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the city of Lewes.

Periodic water sampling of the four public supply wells in Lewes started in March 1944. The results are given in table 25. Analyses of the first series of samples showed that 3 wells (Ni42-2, Ni42-9, and Ni42-10) were yielding water having a near-normal chloride concentration for the area, but that one well (Ni42-8) was producing water having a chloride concentration of 422 ppm . Analysis of samples collected during the early part of April indicated that the chloride concentration of water from the uncontaminated wells (Ni42-2, Ni42-9, and Ni42-10) had increased slightly or not at all, but that the chloride concentration of water from Ni42-8 had increased from 422 ppm to 452 ppm .

In May 1944 a 1-1/2 inch well(Ni42-11) was driven into the water-bearing sands along the south side of Third Street, half way between Ni42-8 and the Lewes-Rehoboth Canal, the suspected source of the salt-water contamination. The chloride concentration of the first sample of water taken from this well was 855 ppm , nearly double the chloride content of the water from well Ni42-8. This confirmed the suspicion that the Lewes-Rehoboth Canal was the source of the contaminant. Until September, analyses from wells Ni42-2, Ni42-9, and Ni42-10 showed essentially no increase in chloride concentration; meanwhile, the chloride concentration increased steadily in the water from wells Ni42-8 and Ni42-11. In September the chloride concentration of water from wells Ni42-2 and Ni42-10 had not changed appreciably, while it had increased in water samples from wells Ni42-8 and Ni42-9.

The Board of Public Works was informed by the Geological Survey of the threat to the existing well field due to salt-water contamination. It was pointed out that fresh-water recharge in the winter months would probably reverse the trend of increasing chloride concentration in the well water, but that this is generally a temporary situation, and that unless it were possible to decrease the rate of pumping materially, the chloride concentrations would rise again during the next summer.

As a result, a survey was made of conditions farther inland and the site of the new well field was chosen as a new source of supply. By not delaying the selection of a new source of supply until water in the present supply became too contaminated to use, the town met the threat of salt-water contamination before it became critical. During the time of transition the water delivered to consumers did not generally taste salty because the water of high chloride concentration was mixed with fresher water from the other weils.

With almost complete cessation of pumping in the old municipal well field, the chloride content of the ground water beneath the well field began to diminish. Well Ni42-8 showed a chloride concentration of $1,190 \mathrm{ppm}$ in September 1944. In November 1953, this well had a chloride concentration of 31 ppm , indicating that the salt water had been flushed out of the aquifer in the vicinity of the well.
Table 22. -- Chloride content of water samples collected from wells in Lewes, Del.

| Well number | 1944 |  |  |  |  |  | 1945 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | Sept. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Oct. |
| Ni42-2 | 18 | 18 | -- | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 |
| Ni42-9 | 23 | 30 | -- | 25 | 72 | 147 | 139 | -- | 184 |
| Ni42-10 | 18 | 18 | -- | 28 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 31 |
| Ni42-8 | 422 | 452 | 465 | 660 | 1,190 | 1,040 | 1,160 | 738 | 605 |
| Ni42-11 | -- | -- | 855 | 825 | 1,400 | 1,420 | 1,280 | 1,170 | 1,060 |
| Ni51-17 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10 |

The future investigation of the water resources should be determined by the intensity, or importance, of use. Where large investment is involved, detailed investigation is warranted. Where national security is involved, detailed investigation is strongly urged. Nevertheless, if investigation merely kept pace with development, adequate appraisal would be available only after-the-fact, and each step forward would be taken either on inadequate bases, or following delay necessary to make an adequate appraisal.

## PRECIPITATION

Rainfall is generally adequate in Sussex County, and the tremendous storage of infiltrating rainfall in the ground is enough to tide over the industries or municipalities through the most protracted dry spell yet recorded. Wells may fail, and streams go dry, but adequate water is at hand if the proper development works are constructed.

The haphazard distribution of rainfall has led to increased interest in irrigation from dug -out ponds and from wells. The storage available in the soil, except in the lowland areas, is not adequate for optimum growth during some period of almost every year. However, there is no foreseeable need for cloud-seeding, or other forms of rain-making, such as are being developed in the arid and semi-arid western plains because the storage available below the soil zone in the zone of saturation, is, in most places, adequate to supply wells and ponds, which can be used for man-made rainfall sprinkler irrigation. Ditch irrigation is not feasible in most places in Sussex County, because the ditch banks and beds are too leaky. Sprinkler irrigation, although somewhat wasteful of water, owing to greater los ses by interception and evaporation, has proved to be the most economic method.

The five rain gages in Sussex County in operation at the end of 1957 provide a fairly good areal distribution for the purpose of hydrologic analysie, not only at the present intensity of water use but at any foreseeable intensity for the next few years. However, areal coverage would be improved by the establishment of rain and temperature gages in the vicinity of Bethel, Trap Pond, Millsboro, and Milton. Present measurements are on a daily basis, and at no place in the county are hourly readings recorded, such as is done at some airports.

## SURFACE WATER

## Investigation

In fulfilling the broad objective of appraising the surface-water resources of an area it is immediately apparent that full-time gaging of every stream is neither physically possible nor economically justifiable. Recent studies on the development of an effective stream-gaging program have led to the concept of a hydrologic network composed of (1)long-term primary stations continuously sampling the time variation in streamflow within a given hydrologic area, (2) secondary stations operated for limited periods of time which
will define the areal variations in streamflow and which can be correlated with primary stations to adjust the short-term streamflow records to a longerterm base period, and (3) partial-record stations at which specific portions of the streamflow regimen will be measured for a length of time sufficient to relate the particular information to that collected at one of the completerecord stations. The density of the network is related to the hydrologic complexity of the area and to the present and anticipated degree of waterresources development. To adequately interpret streamflow records, concurrent information must be obtained on water use within the basins gaged. Some network stations, or others specifically established, may be considered in a water-management category in which they would be maintained for operational, administrative, legal, design, research, or other purposes.

The seven complete-record and eight partial-record gaging stations now being operated in the Sussex County area provide a relatively good hydrologic coverage in light of the present level of surface-water use, although detailed interpretative studies will require supplemental data on physiography, ditching, diversions, pondage, and other factors. A few Rehoboth Bay and Indian River tributaries, Gravelly Branch, and Clear Brook are the principal streams as yet ungaged. The streamflow characteristics in these and other sub-basins can be appraised by operation of partial-record stations when the data from the current group have been analyzed satisfactorily. It is important that most of the present group of complete-record stations be continued in operation to provide a basis for correlation.

It may be found that the areal variation in streamflow regimen is such that, to obtain satisfactory correlations, one or more primary or secondary stations should be established. Many of the problems inherent in the gaging of small drainage areasmay beresolved if current research intidal hydraulics and estuarine instrumentation leads to development of simpler methods of operation of gaging stations in the estuaries. It is hoped that, by systematic gaging and investigation, the climatic and physiographic factors which produce the areal variations in streamflow may be defined to such a degree that reliable estimates of yield, flood frequency, and other characteristics may be determined by reconnaissance-type investigations of the ungaged areas.

## Development

The recent trend of population growth in the Washington, Baltimore, Wilmingion, and Philadelphia areas has focused attention on the agricultural and recreational potentialities of Sussex County although these activities have been economically predominant for many years.

While an abundance of ground water has been a vital factor in sustaining high crop yields, extensive drainage works have been constructed to reclaim excessively wet and unworkable areas. Paradoxically, it has been found necessary in recent years to provide supplemental irrigation from both ground and surface sources. The effect of these drainage and irrigation practices has not been adequately defined in the streamflow records and related data thus far collected.

When water tables are lowered by ditching, reducing the sustained low flows, and surface-water withdrawals are made for irrigation, conflicts will develop with downstream interests concerned with the dilution of municipal or industrial wastes and with the prevention of salt-water encroachment in estuaries not provided with tidal dams. Adequate streamflow and related information can provide the basis for economic and equitable resolution of differences which will arise if the legal definitions of interest are specific and adequate time is available to collect the basic data.

It is not possible at this time to make a reliable estimate of the limit of potential development of fresh-water supplies. Although surface-storage possibilities are restricted by the topography, large quantities of fresh water are available at Seaford, Laurel, Millsboro, and on Marshy Hope Creek near Woodenhawk. It may be found that surface waters will find their greatest utility in the recharging of ground-water aquifers by spreading or by development of well fields adjacent to small surface impoundments.

The average discharge determined at five gaging stations on natural channels ( $6,8,10,14$, and 17) in or near Sussex County, shown in table 7, is $743,000 \mathrm{gpd}$ per square mile. If this is rounded to 0.7 mgd per square mile, and taken as representative of the county as a whole, the land area, 946 square miles, discharges about 670 million gallons a day on the average as surface-water runoff. Much of this water serves a useful purpose, as dilution of wastes, and preservation of natural habitat for water plants and animals. The consumptive use, mainly by irrigation, reached an estimated 205 mgd during the summer of 1957. During most of the year, however, the consumptive use of surface water is only a few million gallons a day. Thus, on the average, there is available about 500 mgd of surface water, which is currently being used for low-value purposes. Under an intensive-use plan, perhaps as much as 200 mgd of this water could be converted to high-value purposes although only an additional 100 mgd might be available during the crop season.

Anticipated agricultural utilization must be tempered by the fact that the mean discharge during the 4 -month period, June through September, is only 400 mgd . This figure is derived from an extrapolation of observed data in table 28 and partly reflects recent irrigation usage. When it is considered that only about 700 square miles of the county might effectively contribute surface-water runoff in the agriculturally usable area, the available discharge is reduced to about 300 mg .

## GROUND WATER

## Investigation

At the date of writing this progress report (1959), the investigation of the ground-water resources of Sussex County compares favorably, in the intensity of study, with other rural areas in the United States of comparable size ( 1,000 square miles). Nevertheless, the data on ground water leave much to be desired, both geologically and hydrologically.

Geologically, the understanding of the stratigraphy of the Pleistocene series, the Pliocene(?) series, and the Miocene series, is still incomplete. Much more precise data on the lithology, mineralogy, and paleontology must be collected before the strata of the uppermost 500 feet beneath Sussex County can be adequately described and correlated. Such a detailed geologic investigation would have significant economic implications: development of the large-capacity wells is generally limited to areas of Pleistocene and Pliocene(?) channel deposits, and the proper mapping of these deposits is necessary to derive optimum yield and to limit the number of inadequate wells; the protection of coastal aquifers from salt-water intrusion depends upon detailed knowledge of the aquicludes, or confining beds.

Hydrologically, much more quantitative data on the coefficients of transmissibility and storage, and much more geochemical data on the quality of waters, is needed for adequate planning of new supplies. The hydraulic phase involves more controlled well-field testa and aquifer analysis. The quality of watex phase involves more water sampling at critical sites, and chemical analyses.

A detailed investigation of the geology and hydrology of the coastal area along Delaware Bay and the Atlantic shore is the most pressing need -particularly with a view to evaluating the relationships of fresh and salty water in aquifers of the coastal zone and to locating by means of test dxilling the positions of the fresh-water-salt-water interfaces in these aquifers.

## Development

A rough approximation of the total amount of ground water available from an optimum number of properly spaced wells in Sussex County may be obtained by considering the hydrologic factors involved (Rasmussen, 1955).

A hydrologic study of the Beaverdam Creek drainage basin (Rasmussen and Andreasen, 1959), about 7 miles south of the Sussex County line, showed that 10 percent of the precipitation was lost immediately by overland runoff, about 50 percent filtered into the ground and reached the water table, and about 40 percent was evaporated or transpired from the surface soils and ponds. Sussex County has soils, topography, and climate that are similar in almost all respects to those of the Beaverdam Creek drainage basin. The average annual rainfall, 45 inches a year, taken on an areal basis indicates an average precipitation of 2.1 mgd per square mile. From the hydrologic study (Rasmussen and Andreasen, 1959, p. 98) it was demonstrated that infiltration was 51.5 percent of precipitation. Thus, the average recharge to the ground water was about 1 mgd per square mile. Considering the land area of Sussex County, $946 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mi}$; this means that the average available recharge is about 950 mgd .

The ultimate long-term rate of withdrawal of ground water cannot exceed the average recharge, without dewatering the reservoirs, although some withdrawal from storage is unavoidable, and even desirable, to induce water to move toward points of withdrawal. This perennial yield will be competitive with the discharge of 670 mgd calculated for the average surface-water
runoff. In fact the two must be considered a single hydrologic system, except that through the process of dewatering the ground-water reservoirs, some small additional increment may be added to temporary storage that would normally have escaped by flash runoff, and some water may be salvaged that otherwise would have been lost to transpiration.

Therefore the perennial yield of both ground and surface water in Sussex County is of the order of magnitude of 950 mgd , or nearly 1 billion gallons a day. It is, of course, highly unlikely that this quantity of water ever will be utilized, but in some localities in Sussex County such as Seaford, the rate of 1 mgd per sq. mi. has already been achieved without adverse effects.

The total use of water for high value purposes in 1957, 19.1 mg from ground-water sources and about 10 mgd from surface-water sources, was only about 3 percent of the estimated safe withdrawal of 950 mgd . It may be concluded, therefore, that large quantities of water are available for development in many parts of Sussex County, but efficient development of these supplies will require considerable expansion of our present knowledge of local ground-water conditions.

## QUALITY OF WATER

The quality of water now in use in Sussex County is, in general, suitable for most purposes. The quality of water from the same sources is not expected to change materially throughout the county-at-large. However, there are four important limitations to be considered.

The first of these is the rather extensive margin bordered by saline waters along the Atlantic Ocean, Delaware Bay, Indian River Bay, and the many smaller bays and brackish marshes. As pumping increases, the threat of salt-water intrusion in these areas becomes increasingly important. It is for this reason that further investigation of the coastal area is recommended.

The second limitation concerns pollution. As the activities of man increase, the waste products will also increase and must be disposed of. With continued vigilance and adequate protective measures for sewage treatment and pollution abatement, this problem is being solved by the State Board of Health and the Water Pollution Commission.

The third limitation involves the development of new sources, untapped reservoirs of ground water lying at greater depths than those in use. Although some of this water will be suitable for most purposes, and much of it may be suitable for washing, irrigation, cooling, or other specified limited purposes, experience has indicated that in general, deeper ground waters are higher in dissolved-solid content, and may require more treatment than the shallower waters.

Finally, the surface and the shallow ground waters of Sussex County are vulnerable to radioactive fallout. Any extensive fallout would render most water supplies unusable for almostall purposes. Almost every city and town would have to prospect for deeper sources immediately, in the event of such a catastrophe.

In summary: the waters of Sussex County are usable for most purposes. Such local problems as occur are the result of human activities and can be solved by treatment, or by wise management. Should a fallout catastrophe occur, deeper sources must be sought at once.
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Table 23,--Record of wells
AP, Artesian Well Drilling Co., Phila.; B, G. Bradshaw; CO, Continental Oil Co.; D, Delaware Geological
Survey; E, Ennis Bros.; HH, Harrig-Harmon Well Co.; Ke, Kelly Well Co., Inc.; L, Layne-New York Co., Inc.; Survey; E, Ennis Bros.; HH, Harris-Harmon Well Co.; Ke, Kelly Well Co., Inc.; L, Layne-New York Co., Inc.
La, C. W. Lauman \& Co.; Ld, Local well diggers and drivers; M\&P, McDaniels and Pentz; MWD, Middletown Well Drilling Co.; PC, C. Pentz; P\&JC, P. F. \& J. Conlan; PM, M. A. Pentz; Po, Porter; R, J. H. Rulan; RCP, Raymond Concrete Pile Co. : S, Sydnor Purnp \& Well Co.; SAW, Shannahan Artesian Well Co.; SCS, Soil Conservation Service; Sh, A. C. Schultes \& Son; SO, Sun Oil Co.; S\&R, Slater \& Rogers; SWD, Shannahan Well
Drilling Co.; UEC, United Engineers \& Constructors, Inc.; W, P. E. White; WD, Well Drillerg, Inc.; USGS, indicates test hole drilled under supervision of the U. S. Geological Survey.
B, bored; Ct, cable tool-drilled; Dg, dug; DgP, dug pond; Dv, driven; Hr, hydraulic rotary-drilled; J,
jetted; P, pit; St, Btream storage area.
The depth given is the producing depth except for screened wells where screen setting is given,
Qr, Recent series; Qp, Pleistocene series; TP(?), Pliocene(?) series; Tm, Miocene series; Tpo, Pocomoke
aquifer; Tma, Manokin aquifer; Tsm, St. Mary formation; Tch, Choptank formation; Tf, Frederica aquifer; Tca,
Calvert formation; Tcw, Cheswold aquifer; Te, Eocene eeries; Kr, Raritan formation. A combination of these symbole, such an $\mathrm{Qp}-\mathrm{TP}($ ? ), is used to indicate aquifers comprising more than one geologic unit.
A, abandoned; C, commercial; $D$, domestic; E, emergency; F, farm and stock; $I$, industrial; Ir, irrigation;
N, not used; $O$, observation; $P$, public supply; $R$, recreation; $S h$, school; T, test hole; W, national defense.
C. A., chemical analysis; D. D., drilled depth if different from finished depth reported in table.
Method of construction:
Total depth:
Aquifer name:
Remarks:
Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

| Well number | Owner or name | Drincer | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}$ | Altitude above sea level (feet) | Methodofcon-Btruct-ion | Diameterofcaping(inches) | Total depth (feet) | Screen setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water Ievel } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{gathered}$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> purface <br> (feet) | Date meatured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Lf32-1 | J. L. Davis | Ld | 1951 | 20 | Dv | 1.5 | 65 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | --. | $F$ |  |
| Lf43-1 | G. Read | Ld | 1950 | 25 | Dv | 1.5 | 35 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Lf44-1 | F. D. Wetaon | Ld | 1947 | 12 | Dv | 1.5 | 48 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Lf52-1 | J. Hayer | Id | 1950 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 34 | - - | Qp | Sand | 1950 | 9 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Lf52-2 | W, Brereton | Ld | -- | 22 | Dv | -- | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-6-51 | 17.4 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Lf53-1 | G. W. Sheckley | Le | 1941 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 40 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Lg 41-1 | Zigmund Kendzierski | E | 1941 | 5 | J | 8 | 244 | - - | Tf | Sand | -- | - | $\cdots$ | - | -- | D | See log. |
| Lg $41-2$ | J. C. Watson | PM ? | 1949 | 5 | J | 3 | 165 | - - | Tm | Sand | 1949 | Flow | --- | -- | - | $F$ |  |
| Lg42-1 | R. Pailley | W | 1948 | 2 | J | 3 | 269 | - - | Ti | Sand | 11-5-51 | 0.5 | 12-1-48 | 10 | - | D | D. D. $=292 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Lg52-1 | E. Bennet! | Ld | 1939 | 5 | Dv | 1.25 | 14 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-5-51 | 4.7 | -- | - | -- | D |  |
| Md44-2 | J. H. Annett | Scs | 1953 | 57 | Dg $\quad$ | -- | 15 | - | $\sigma^{p}$ | Sand | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ** | Ir | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area 16,000 } \\ & \text { sq. ft. } \end{aligned}$ |
| MdS4-1 | Do | SCS | 1953 | 55 | Dg $P$ | -- | 15 | - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Ir | Area 16, 250 sq. ft, |
| Md55-1 | Do | SCS | 1953 | 55 | Dg ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | -* | 15 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 r | Area 6,000 sq. ft. |
| Me13-1 | S. W. Hammond | Ld | 1947 | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | 55 | - - | $O_{p}$ | Sand | 11--51 | 18 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Mel4-1 | L. D. Caulk Co. | PC | 1924 | 25 | J | 6 | 35 | - - | $\omega_{p}$ | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | 80 | - | I | C. A. |
| Me14-2 | Do | PC | 1924 | 25 | $J$ | 6 | 35 | - | Gp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Me14-3 | Do | PC | 1924 | 25 | J | 6 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Me14-4 | J. K. Lambert | Ld | -- | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | 38 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Mel4-5 | M. Wilkens | W | 1949 | 30 | J | 3 | 35 | - - | ©p | Sand | 11-16-4 | 19 | 11-16-49 | 12 | - | D |  |
| Me14-6 | Milford Memorial Hospital | w | 1954 | 25 | J | 3 | 21 | - - | Tp( ? ) | Coarsesand | 8-1-54 | 11 | 8-1-54 | 15 | - | C | D. D. $=50 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Me15-1 | Mullholland Co, | -- | $\begin{array}{r} \text { About } \\ 1920 \end{array}$ | 10 | -- | 2 | 154 | - | Tm | Sand | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 5-15-50 \\ 1-1-52 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \\ & 6.7 \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Me15-2 | Draper Canning Co. | $P C$ | 1935 | 10 | J | 6 | 232 | - - | Tf | Sand | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5-15-50 } \\ 9-7-51\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Me15-3 | Town of Milford | -- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1896 \end{gathered}$ | 10 | -* | 8 | 242 | - - | Tf | Sand | 3-10-39 | 92 | -* | -- | -- | P | C. A. |
| Me15-4 | Torsch Canning Co. | WD | 1949 | 15 | Ct |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  | 5-17-50 | 55 | 0 | A |  |
| Me15-5 | Schine-Milford Theatre | La | -- | 20 | -- | 10 | 225 | - . | Tf | Sand | -- | 48.5 | -- | 400 | 90 | C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. A.; D, D. }= \\ & 300 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |

Table 23 -record of wela.

Table 23 - RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or name | Driller | Yearcompleted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { le } \\ & \text { (feet } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mothod } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { conn } \\ \text { neruct } \\ \text { ion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { finchen) } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { deph } \\ & \text { (eset) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screen } \\ & \text { setting } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { nurnber } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Comporition | $\left\|\begin{array}{c\|} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Deph } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { Land } \\ \text { narface } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { measured }}{\text { Date }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yiold } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drawn } \\ & \text { down } \end{aligned}$ (feet) |  |  |
| Me23-1 | w. A, Eencak | Led | -- | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 35 | - - | OpTpi? | Coarae mand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Me23-2 | A. D. Dickerson | L.d | -" | 42 | Dv | 1.5 | 49 | - - | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}-}(?)$ | Coarse sand | 11-28-5 | 8.4 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Me24-1 | T. Rodgers | Ld | 1951 | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 40 |  | Qp$T p(7)$ | Coarse sand | -* | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Me24-2 | W. E. Bernett | Ld | -- | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}-1$ | Coarse sand | 5--50 | 13 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Me25-1 | W. D. Williams | w | 1948 | 28 | Dv | 1.25 | 36 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Me25-2 | O. D. Houston | Ld | 1949 | 35 | Dv | - | 37 | - - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(p) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Coarse sand | 11-27-51 | 11.2 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Me25-3 | Do | Ld | -- | 35 | Dv | - | 32 | - - | Op- | Coarse sand | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Me31-1 | G. E. Sharp | Ld | 1948 | 50 | Dv | 1.5 | 21 | - - | Op | Sand | 11--51 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Me32-1 | C. Jester | Ld | 1951 | 45 | Dv | 1 | 44 | - - | Op- $\mathrm{Tp}(\%$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Me33-1 | H. E. Ringgold | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}-}(\eta)$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -n | D |  |
| Me33-2 | T. Collett | w | 1949 | 48 | $\pm$ | 3 | 88 | - - | $Q_{\mathrm{p}-}$ | Coarse sand | 10-24-49 | 11 | 10-24-49 | 20 | 18 | D |  |
| Me34-1 | J. Morgan | Ld | 1946 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | $\begin{gathered} Q_{p} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(7) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | -- | - | -- | - | -- | $F$ |  |
| Me34-2 | W. M. Robinson | Ld | 1951 | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 65 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}(?)$ | Coarge sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Me35-1 | W. H. Davidson | Ld | $\cdots$ | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 33 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 11-9-51 | 12.6 | -* | -- | - | N | Temp. $62 \cdot \mathrm{~F}$. |
| Me41-1 | E.F. Isazes \& Soms | Ld | 1951 | 50 | Dv | 1.5 | 35 | - - | $\operatorname{Spw}$ | Coarse sand | 12-51 | 10 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Me42-1. | A. Poatles | Ld | 1951 | 50 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{T}(7)}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | D |  |
| Me42-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 50 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(\mathrm{P} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Coarse tand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Me42-3 | E. F. Matacs \& Sone | Ld | 1953 | 45 | Dv | 2 | 32 |  | $\Omega \mathrm{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Me42-4 | Do | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | -- | 45 | Dv | 2 | 32 | - - | $\bigcirc \mathrm{p}$ | Sand | --- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | I |  |
| Me42-5 | H. $\stackrel{\text { Do }}{\text { Melvin }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Ld }} \mathrm{Ld}$ | 1936 | 45 50 | Dv | ${ }_{1}^{2} 2.25$ | 63 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\text {Qp- }}$ | Sand Coarse sand | $\xrightarrow{11-23-55}$ | 4.4 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | ${ }_{\text {I }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | 1936 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 |  |  | $\mathrm{Tp}^{\text {ap }}$ ( $)$ | Coarse sand | - | - | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Me44-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | Qp- | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Stati } \\ \text { water } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { abea } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { leve! } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { siruct- } \\ \text { ion } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { caving } \\ \text { (inchene }) \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (fret) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { setting } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Name | Comporition | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { meavured } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> nurface <br> (feet) | $\underset{\text { measured }}{\text { Daste }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (foet) } \end{array}$ | Use | Hemarks |
| Me45-1 | Delmarva Poultry Corp. | w | -- | 38 | J | 4 | 92 | - - | Tma | Sand | 1949 | 17 | -- | 100 | -* | D |  |
| Me 45-2 | Joe May | Ld | 1949 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | OpTp $<$ ? | Coarse sand | 11-27-5 | 11.2 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Me45-3 | M. C. Whitehead | Ld | 1949 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 |  | Qp- <br> Tp) ? | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Me53-1 | J. Daputy | Ld | 1949 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 |  | QpTp( 7 | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Me54-1 | Del-Mar-Va Nurseries | Let | 1948 | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 45 | - - | Qp- <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}($ ? | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -" | c |  |
| Me54-2 | E. L. Lynch | Ld | -- | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 65 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{\mathrm{P}}- \\ & \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(\eta \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Me54-3 | Dei-Mar-Va Nurseries | SCS | 1955 | 50 | $\mathrm{Dg}^{\mathrm{P}}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | 7 |  | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{1 r}{\text { r }}$ | Area 4,000 日q. ft. |
| Me55-1 | A. King | Ld | 1948 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | Qp | 5and | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Mf11-1 | Modern Services Inc. | E | 1945 | 25 | J |  | 47 |  | Qp | Sand | 8-4-45 | 18.8 | 8-4-45 | 65 | -- | $c$ |  |
| Mf11-2 | Do | E | 1947 | 25 | 5 | 6 | 43 | - - | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 3-24-47 | 20.8 | 3-24-47 | 50 | -- | $c$ |  |
| Mf11-3 | E. Mortis | w | 1947 | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | 42 | - - | $\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 6-2.52 | -- | -- | ${ }_{\text {T, }}^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Mf11-4 | City of Milford | L | 1952 | 15 | Hr | - | 302 | - - | Tm | Sand | -- | -- | 6-2-52 | 100 | -- | T, A |  |
| Mf13-1 | Lymn and Jacobs | Ld | 1951 | 23 | Dv | 1.5 | 57 |  | Qp. $T_{p}($ ? $)$ | Coarse sand | 10--51 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Mfl 3-2 | T. Mille | Ld | 1948 | 20 | Dv | - | 26 |  | $\bigcirc$ | Sand | 11-6-51 | 8.8 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ | Temp. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Mf13-3 | W. Higman | PM | 1949 | 31 | J | 3 | 68 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}(\hat{\mathrm{P}} \\ \mathrm{Tm} \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse eand | 1949 | 22 | -- | -. | -- | F |  |
| Mf21-1 | Diamond State Nurseries | w | 1949 | 28 | Ct | 8 | 63 |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}($ ? | Coarse sand | 12--49 | 13.5 | 1949 | 180 | 12 | Ir |  |
| Mf21-2 | Do | Ld | - | 28 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 |  | Tpl ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Coarse band | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Mf21-3 | Do | Ld | $\sim$ | 28 | Dv | 1.5 | 31 | - - | Tp(p) | Coarse sand | 11-7-51 | 12.5 | -- | -- | -- | ${ }_{\text {If }}$ | Temp. $62 \cdot \mathrm{~F}$. |
| Mf21 4 | L. C. Lovett | Ld | -- | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 35 | -- | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Mf22-1 | B. P. Thawley State Highway Dept. | uses | 1950 | 40 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Dv}}{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Dv}}$ | 1 | 35 27 |  | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand Sand | --3-56 | $\stackrel{-}{22.6}$ | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Mf22-2 | State Highway Dept, | usgs | 1950 | 40 | B, Dv | 1 | 27 | - - | Qp | Sand | 8-3-56 | 22.6 13.5 | -- | -- | -- | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Mf22-3 | Do | usgs | 1958 | 38 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 2 | 23 |  | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 9-8-58 | 13.1 | 9-8-58 | 1 | -- |  |  |
| Mf23-1 | H. Short | w | 1949 | 30 | $\mathrm{Dv}^{\mathrm{Dv}}$ | ${ }^{2} 5$ | 45 45 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | --- |  | -- | $\begin{aligned} & F \\ & F \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Mf23-2 | L. Bennett | ${ }_{\text {w }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -- 1948 | 30 35 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Dv}}{\mathrm{Ct}}$ | 1.5 3 | 45 82 82 | - - |  | Sand Coarse sand | 9--48 | $21^{--}$ | 9--48 | 20 | $\stackrel{-}{9}$ | $\underset{F}{F}$ |  |
| Mf23-3 | R. Sharp | w | 1948 | 35 | Ct | 3 | 82 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | 9- 48 | 21 | 9.-48 | 20 | 9 | F |  |

Table 23 - RECORD OF wELLS

Table 23 --RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | Stati water | ic | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Ownct or name | Driller | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { leven } \\ & \text { (feret) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { ctruct- } \\ \text { ion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { caning } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (foet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scroen } \\ & \text { sefting } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Composition | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Date } \\ \text { mea eured } \end{array}\right\|$ | Depth <br> below <br> Lland <br> surfface <br> (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (ifeet) } \end{aligned}$ | Vee | Remark: |
| Mg43-1 | c. Clifton | w | 1949 | 8 | Ct | 3 | 117 | - - | Tm | Sand | 9-19-49 | 11 | 9--49 | 60 | 19 | $F$ |  |
| Mg51-1 | Do | w | -- | 18 | Ct | 3 | 62 | - - | Op- | Coarse sand | -- | 6 | -- | 80 | -- | F |  |
| Mg52-1 | W. H. Draper | USGS | 1957 | 16 | B | 3.5 | 38 |  | $\mathrm{Op}^{\text {p }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-2 | T. R. Wilson | usGs | 1957 | 7.2 | B | 3.5 | 102 | - - | Op- | Coarse sand | 10-8-57 | 0.2 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Mg52-3 | W. H. Draper | uscs | 1957 | 13.6 | B | 3.5 | 101 |  | OpTra | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-4 | T. R. Wilson | uscis | 1957 | 7.5 | B | 4 | 9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{r}- \\ & Q_{p} \end{aligned}$ | Sand | 8-28-57 | 2.4 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | C. A.; Temp. $65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Mg52-5 | Do | usgs | 1957 | 7.2 | B | 4 | 7 |  | $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Qp }}$ | Sand | 8-28-57 | 2.9 | -- | -- | -- | $\bigcirc$ | C. A. ; Temp. $67^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Mg52-6 | R. Jones | uscs | 1957 | 7.6 | B | 4 | 10 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qr}- \\ & \mathrm{Qp} \end{aligned}$ | Sand | 8-29-57 | 2.3 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | C.A.; Temp. $66^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| M852-7 | W. H. Draper | uscs | 1957. | 13.4 | B | 4 | 19 | - - | $\Omega_{\text {p }}$ | Sand | 8-29-57 | 8.2 | - | -- | -- | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. A.; Temp. } \\ & 62^{*} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mg52-8 | T. R. Wilson | usgs | 1957 | 7 | P | 30 | ${ }^{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{O}_{0}$ | Sand | --- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-9 | W. H. Draper | uscs | 1957 | 16.1 | B | 4 | 13 | - - | \%p | Sand | 8-29-57 | 10.4 | -- | -- | -- | - | C. A.; Temp. $64^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Mg52-10 | T. R. Wilson | usgs | 1957 | 7.5 | B | 4 | 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qr}_{\mathrm{r}} \\ & \mathrm{Qp} \end{aligned}$ | Sand | 8-29-57 | 2.6 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. A. ; Temp. } \\ & 65^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mg52-11 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 8 | P | 30 |  | - - | $0 \times$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-12 | Do | uscs | 1957 | 8 | P | 30 | 3 | - - | Or | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-13 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 8.9 | P | 30 | 3 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -. | $\cdots$ | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-14 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 7.5 | P | 30 | 3 |  | Or | Sand | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg 52-15 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 7.2 | P | 30 | 3 3 3 | -- | $\mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-16 | R. Jones | USGS | $\begin{array}{r}1957 \\ 1957 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7.6 13.4 | P | 30 30 | 3 3 3 |  | $\mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg $52-17$ $M g 52-18$ | W. H. Draper T. R. Wilson | USGS USGS | $\begin{array}{r}1957 \\ 1957 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13.4 8 | P | 30 30 | 3 3 3 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-19 Mg 52 | w. H. Draper | uscs | 1957 | 16.1 | P | 30 | 3 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg52-20 | T. R. Wilson | USGS | 1957 | 7.5 | P | 30 | 3 | - | 0 O | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mg53-1 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 6.9 | B | 4 | 7 | - - | Op | Sand | 7-17-57 | 1.3 | -- | -- | -- | 0 | C. A.; Temp. $71^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Mg53-2 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 11.7 | B | 4 | 9 |  | Op | Sand | 8-28-57 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | $\bigcirc$ | Temp, $63 \cdot \mathrm{~F}$, |
| Mg53-3 | Do | uscs | 1957 | 8.9 | B | 4 | 9 | - - | Op | Sand | 8-28-57 | 3.7 | -- | -- | -- | - | C. A.: Tomp. $64^{\circ} F .$ |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | -5atart | Ievel | W.ll | capacit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Owner or name | Driller | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { tude } \\ \text { ebeve } \\ \text { sea } \\ \text { level } \\ \text { feet } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Methed } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { etruet- } \\ & \text { ion } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { (inehes) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { dept } \\ & \text { (efeat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scrzen } \\ \text { setting } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Dete } \\ \text { meatured } \end{array}$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> runferee <br> (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { max gured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Yield } \\ \text { ( } \mathrm{ypm}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (feati) } \end{array}$ | Une | Remarkz |
| Mg 53-4 | T. R. Wilson | Ld | 1948 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 70 | - - | Op- | Coarse sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Mg53-5 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 21 | B | 3.5 | 43 |  |  | Sand | 10-10-57 | 14.5 | -- | -- |  | T, A |  |
| Mg53-6 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 20.5 | B | 3.5 | 38 | - - | Op | Sand | 10-10-57 | -. | - | - | -- | T, A | See log, |
| Mg 53-7 | Do | uSGS | 1957 | 23 | B | 3.5 | 103 | - - | Op- | Coarte tana | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Mg 53-8 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 20.5 | B | 4 | 19 |  | ${ }_{\text {Qp }}$ | Sand | 8-29-57 | 14,9 | - | -- | -- | 0 | C. A.; See log. |
| Mg53-9 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 20 | P | 30 | 3 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | --- | - | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| M853-10 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 69. | P | 30 | 3 | - - | 0 O | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Mg53-11 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 11.7 | F | 30 | 3 | - - | Sp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | T, A |  |
| Mg53-12 | Do | USGS | 1957 | 20.5 | P | 30 | 3 | - - | 0 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Mh41-1 | J. D. Short | w | 1950 | 5 | Ct | 5 | 335 | - - | Tm | Sand | 4-1-50 | Flow | 4-1-50 | 15 | 28 | D | Sase log. |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}{ }^{\text {Nb15-1 }}$ | T. A. Johnton | Ld | 1950 | 51 | Dv | 1.5 | 20 |  | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| ${ }_{\sim} \mathrm{Nb} 23-1$ | J. E. Bailey | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1954 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 |  | Op | Sand | 11-4-55 | 3.0 | -- | -- | -. | F |  |
| $\mathrm{Nb24-1}$ | Unknown | Ld | -- | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 |  | $\mathrm{OP}_{1}$ | Sand | 11-4-55 | 2.5 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Nb33-1 | C. W. Adam: | Ld | 1915 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 22 |  | 9 P | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nb34-1 | H. Short | Ld | 1954 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 |  | 0 p | Sand | -- | -. | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nb44-1 | R. Arner | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 40 | - - | 8 pr | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nb53-1 | W. Steward | Le | 1948 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 48 | - - | 0 pp | Sand | -- | -. | -. | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nb55-1 | Matilda Hastings | Ld | 1985 | 55 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | 0 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ncl1-1 | G. S. Brown L. L. Swartzentruber | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1920 | 51 62 | Dv Dv | 1.25 1.25 | 20 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{p}$ | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ne13-1 | Standard Bithulithic Co. | ${ }_{\text {L }}$ | 1941 | 62 55 | ${ }^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.25 2.5 | 60 38 | - | $\mathrm{Op}_{2}$ | Sand | 1--41. | 2 | 1941 | 45 | $\cdots$ | ${ }_{\text {D }}$ | See log. |
| Nc22-1 | R. Howlett. | Ld | 1953 | 63 | Dv | 1.5 | 32 | - - | 0 p | Sand | .- | -- | -- | 45 | 21 | F | See log- |
| Nc25-1 | Town of Greenwood | SAW | 1924 | 50 | 3 | 8 | 70 | - - | Op- | Coarse sand | 1929 | 6 | 1924 | 100 | -- | P |  |
| $\mathrm{Nc} 25-2$ | Do | SAW | 1950 | 50 | 5 | 8 | 61 | - - | Tpl | Coarse sand | 5-2-50 | 5 | 1950 | 690 | -- | $P$ | C. A. ; Temp. |
| Ne25-3 | The J. L. Strickland Co. | $\Sigma$ | 1941 | 45 | J | 4 | 38 | - - | $\underset{\mathrm{TP}}{ }$ | Sand | 12-4-51 | 4.0 | -- | -- | -- | N | See log. |
| Nc25-4 | Do | PC | 1918 | 45 | J | 3 | 125 | - - | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}($ ? $)$ | Coarse sand | 6-13-55 | 3.5 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Nc25-5 | Do | PC | 1918 | 45 | J | 8 | 125 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}(\mathrm{l})$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Ne25-6 | Do | ${ }^{\text {PC }}$ | 1918 | 45 | J | 3 | 125 | - - | TP( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ( | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| $\mathrm{Ne} 25-7$ $\mathrm{Nc} 25-8$ | Do | ${ }_{\text {E }}$ | 1918 | 45 45 | J | 8 | 125 51 | -- | Tm | Sand | -- | -- | --7 | $\cdots$ | -- | A |  |
| Nc25-8 | Do | E | 1943 | 45 | J | 6 | 51 | - - | Qp | Sand | 6-13-55 | 5.0 | 8-1-43 | -- | 40 | A | See log. D.D. $=5$ |

Table 23 --record of wells

|  | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { level } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method <br> of <br> construct |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (efoet } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scroan } \\ \text { netiting } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Aquifer |  | Staticwater level |  | Well capacity |  |  | Uee | Remayk* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Woll } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composilion | Dete <br> mesaured | Dopth <br> below <br> liond <br> anface <br> (fieet)$\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { meatured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { yield } \\ (\mathrm{gppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { dieet } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Nc25-9 | Eastern Shore Product Co. | - | 1950 | 45 | J | 6 | 45 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 1 |  |
| Ne25-10 | Do | E | 1952 | 45 | J | 6 | 45 | - - | Op | Sand | -" | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{Nc} 31-1$ | J. Vannicola | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1917 | 52 | Dv | 1.25 | 32 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathbf{p}}$ | Sand | 11-9-55 | 2.5 | -- | - | $\cdots$ | F |  |
| Nc32-1 | L. W, Richards | PC | 1925 | 60 | J | 4 | 75 | - - | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}\left({ }^{\text {P }}\right.$ ) | Coarte eand | -- | -- | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | F |  |
| Ne41-1 | J. Melron | La | -- | 54 | Dv | 1.25 | 23 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 11-9-55 | 4.3 | -- | -- | -. | F |  |
| Nc43-1 | R. Zehr | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}$ | 1955 | 49 | $\mathrm{Dv}^{\text {d }}$ | 1.25 | 45 |  | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 400 | -- | $\underset{\text { F }}{\text { F }}$ |  |
| Nc44-1 | H. P. Camnon \& Son | Scs | 1953 | 40 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | - | 12 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 400 |  | ${ }_{\text {Ir }}$ | Area 12, 000 sq. ft. |
| N 4 45-1 | P. H, Cannon | USGS | 1950 | 43 | Dv | 1 | 16 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1-30-52 \\ 8-31-54 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.7 \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | -- | -* | -- | 0 |  |
| N $\mathrm{C} 45-2$ | O.A. Newton \& Son Co. | scs | 1950 | 35 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{P}$ | - | 8 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 400 | ** | Ir | Area 22, 500 : <br> ft . |
| Nc45-3 | H. Lyone | S\&R | 1955 | 48 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P}$ | - | 7 | - - | Op | Sand | 11-16-55 | 1.1 | -- | -- | -* | $\mathrm{Ir}^{\text {r }}$ | Aren 6,000 eq. ft. |
| Nc53-1 | O. A. Newton \& Son Co. | SAW | 1949 | 50 | J | 8 | 68 |  | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p-} \\ & T_{p}(\eta) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 5-2-50 | 32 | 1949 | 220 | -- | C.F | PH 4.3. |
| Ne53-2 | Do | SAW | 1950 | 50 | J | 4 | 60 | - - | $\begin{gathered} Q_{P} \\ T_{P}(?) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | -" | -" | -- | -- | -- | E |  |
| Ne54-1 | G. B. Ruos \& Son | PM | 1949 | 50 | ${ }^{5}$ | 4 | 87 |  | $\mathrm{Tp}(?)$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | - | "- | -- | ${ }_{F}^{F}$ |  |
| Ne54-2 | Do | PM | 1949 | 50 | J | 4 | 877 | - | $\mathrm{Tp}^{\text {Tp }}$ ( $?$ | Coarsesand | -- | -- | 1955 | 600 | $\because$ | $\underset{\mathrm{Ir}}{\mathrm{F}}$ |  |
| Ne54-3 | Do | scs | 1954 | 40 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | - | 7 | - | Qp | Sand | -* | -- | 1955 | 600 |  |  | Area 30, 000 eq. <br> ft. |
| Ne54-4 | Do | SCs | 1950 | 45 | Dg P | - | 8-9 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 600 | -- | Ir | Area 38. 700 8q. ft. |
| Nc55-1 | State Highway Dept. | usgs | 1955 | 40 | B | 3.5 | 64 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \Omega p- \\ & \mathbf{T p}(7) \end{aligned}$ | Contae sand | 5-25-55 | 9 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Nd12-1 | N. Bender | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1945 \end{gathered}$ | 58 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Nd13-1 | Miss L. V. Clark | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1940 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nd22-1 $\mathrm{Nd} 24-1$ | R. Howlett F. Schlabach | ${ }_{\text {SCS }}$ | 1953 1955 | 63 55 | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{Dg} \mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{DV}}$ | 1.5 | 32 8 | -- | Op $Q_{p}$ | Sand Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | --- | ${ }_{\text {I }} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| Nd24-1 | F. Schlabach | scs | 1955 | 55 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | - | 8 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -" | -" | 18 | f. |
| Nd25-1 | C. H, Banning | Ld | 1950 | 50 53 | $\mathrm{Dv}_{\mathrm{Dv}}$ | 1.25 | 25 | -: | Op | Sand Sand | --7-55 | -- 4.6 | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{N}}$ |  |
| Nd25-2 | O. R. Tatman | Ld | 1928 | 53 | Dv | 1.5 | 24 |  | ¢p |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ic } \\ & \text { ieval } \end{aligned}$ | Wel! | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \text { Year } \\ \text { Completed } \end{array}\right.$ | tude <br> above <br> Sea <br> Sevel <br> leven) <br> (five | Method of con- struct- ions | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { caning } \\ \text { (inches }) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screen } \\ & \text { setting } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Name | Composition | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|} \text { Date } \\ \text { measuren } \end{array}\right.$ | Depth <br> below <br> Land <br> kurface <br> (feat)$\|$ | $\underset{\text { Date }}{\text { measured }} \mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gPm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Use | Remark: |
| Na34-1 | Mrs. H. E. Willy | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Dv | 1.5 | 18 | - - | Op | Sand | 11-16-55 | 5.8 | -- | $\cdots$ | - | $F$ |  |
| Nd41-1 | H. \& L. Lyons | w | 1951 | 45 | Cl | 3 | 91 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qp- } \\ & T p(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 2-13-51 | 14 | 2-13-51 | 70 | 16 | $F$ | See log. |
| Nd41-2 | L. Richards | Ld | 1954 | 44 | Dv | 1.25 | 52 |  | Op | Sand | -- | - 7 | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nd41-3 | Do | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1936 \end{gathered}$ | 44 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | Sp | Sand | 11-16-55 | 7.8 | -- | -- | - | F |  |
| Nd43-1 | S. B. Mcllvaine | Ld | -- | 43 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 |  | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Nd44-1 | F. H. Webb | Ld | Before 1944 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -. | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nd51-1 | F. Swain | Ld | 1950 | 41 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 |  | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | F |  |
| Na51-2 | Do | Ld | $\cdots$ | 41 | Dv | 1.25 | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Nd55-1 | A. Kosegi | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1947 | 46 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Na55-2 | Do | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1945 \end{gathered}$ | 46 | Dv | - | 60 | - - | Qp | 5and | -- | -- | -" | -- | -- | A | Low yield. |
| Ne13-1 | B. B. Mullett | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | -- | 55 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 |  | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | $F$ |  |
| Nell-2 | Do | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | -- | 55 | Dv | 1.25 | 32 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-23-55 | 3.6 | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  |
| Ne ${ }^{13-1}$ | R. Morgan | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}$ | -- | 51 | Dv | 1.25 | 38 | -- | $Q_{\text {Pp }}$ | Sand | 11-23-55 | 5.6 -- | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{N}{T}$ |  |
| Ne14-1 | State Highway Dept. | usgs | 1955 | 50 | B | 3.25 | 89 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(\eta) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- |  | T, A | See log. |
| $\mathrm{Ne} 24-1$ | H. Coleman | Ld | 1938 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 56 |  | Qp | Sand | --7 | -7 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ne $25-1$ | Ellendale Excelsior Corp. | w | 1954 | 52 | Ct | 3 | 67 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ & \mathrm{T}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse and | 8-17-54 | 5 | 8-17-54 | 100 | -- | D | See log. |
| Ne32-1 | w. Coverdale | Ld | 1949 | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | 5 and | "- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ |  |
| Ne33-1 | C. Wilson | Ld | 1930 | 51 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | Qp | Sand | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ne34-1 | State Highway Dept. | usgs | 1950 | 48 | B, Dv | 1 | 14 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 10-31-50 \\ 11-2-56 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \hline 0.2 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | -- | -- | -- | - | See log. |
| Ne34-2 | Do | usgs | 1955 | 45 | B | 3.5 | 94 | - - | $Q_{\mathrm{p}-}(\eta)$ | Coarse sand | 8-9-55 | 8 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ne44-1 | C. Abbott, Jr. | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | -" | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 26 | - - | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 11-22-55 | 2.2 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ne51-1 | mra. A. Williama | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 26 | - - | 0 p | Sand | 11-22-55 | 6.6 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ne52-1 | J, Merrider | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 9 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-22-55 | 5.5 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  |
| Ne52-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-22-55 | 4.9 6.8 | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  |
| Ne53-1 | R. Smith | Ld | 955 | 45 | ${ }_{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.25 | 16 | -- | Qp | Sand | 11-22-55 | ${ }_{10}^{6.8}$ | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{F}}$ |  |
| Ne54-1 | State Highway Dept. | UsGs | 1955 | 45 | B | 3.5 | 94 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qp}- \\ & \mathrm{Tp}\{\mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse amd | 8-9-55 |  | -- | -- |  | T, A | See log. |
| Ne55-1 | J. Millman | Id | 1944 | 47 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { lea } \\ & \text { le } \\ & \text { feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { struct- } \\ \text { ion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Diametcr } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { asaing } \\ (\text { (incheos } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Septh } \\ \text { (efar) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screen } \\ & \text { settixg } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Une | Remarkı |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Date } \\ \text { meakured } \end{array}$ | Depith <br> bonow <br> sland <br> surface <br> (foet)$\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { meatured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Nf12-1 | E. Parker | -- | 1954 | 40 | St | -- | 4 |  | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 1720 | -- | Ir | Area 50,000 \&q. |
| Nf15-1 | Isaace Canning Co. | PM | 1945 | 30 | J | 8 | 100 |  | $\mathbf{T p}(?)$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Nf15-2 | Do | PM ? | 1945? | 30 | J | 8 | 100 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \\ & -\mathrm{Tm} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -" | -- | -- | -. | -- | 1 |  |
| Nf15-3 | Do | Ld | -- | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | - |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} Q_{p} \\ \mathbf{T}_{p}(p) \end{array}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Nf22-1 | C. R. Appel | w | 1949 | 43 | Dv | 1.5 | 65 |  | Op- <br> Tp (?) | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Nf22-2 | Do | Ld | 1923 | 43 | Dv | 1.5 | 23 |  | Op | Sand | 10-27-54 | 10.3 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Nf23-1 | R. E. Tucker | L.d | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | - | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p-} \\ & T P^{\prime}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -" | F |  |
| Nf23-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | - | - - | Op- $T p(?)$ | Coarse and | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Nf25-1 | F. Rust | w | 1947 | 33 | Dv | 1.5 | 65 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p}(7) \\ & T_{p} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| N $\mathrm{f} 25-2$ | R. Holmes | Ld | 1947 | 35 | Dv | 1.5 | 90 | -. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & Q_{p-} \\ & T p(?) \\ & T p \end{aligned}\right.$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Na25-3 | Do | Ld | 1947 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 60 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p}- \\ & T_{p}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| N531-1 | J. G. Winson | Ld | 1905 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 36 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -* | -- | -- | -- | $F$ | D. D. - 100 ft . |
| Nf33-1 | E. J. Clendaniel | Ld | 1930 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 55 | - - | $\mathrm{Q}^{\text {p }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | F |  |
| Nf41-1 | T. H. Cordrey | Ld | 1950 | 50 42 | Dv | 1.25 1.25 | 32 20 |  | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 12-7-55 | 5.8 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nf43-1 $\mathrm{Nf43-2}$ | Unknown | -- | 1950? | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 40 | -- | $\Omega_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -* | F |  |
| Nf43-3 | Do | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1945 \end{gathered}$ | 42 | Dvo | 1.25 | 44 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 12-8-55 | 10.1 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Nf45-1 | Brookman Lumber Co. | Ld | 1953 | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | 8 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
| Ni45-2 | Do | Ld | 1953 | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nf51-1 | H. V. Donovan | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1935 \end{gathered}$ | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 | - - | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Nf54-1 | C. Donovan | Ld | 1953 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 61 | - - | QpTp( ?) | Coarse sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Ng12-1 | c. Clifton | w | 1949 | 10 | Ct | 6 | 61 |  | Qp | Sand | 4-20-49 | 7 | 4-20-49 | 300 | 18 | I | See log. |
| Ng12-2 | Do | L | 948 | 10 | Dv | ${ }^{3}$ | 48 |  | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{1}{\text { I }}$ |  |
| Ng ${ }^{24-1}$ | R. Lank | Ld | 1948 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 63 |  | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |

Table ---RECORD of wells

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifor | Stari water | level | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}\right\|$ | tude atove kea hevel (feet) (fin | of con- atruct- $\qquad$ | Diamneter of caning (inches) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { Tepth } \\ & \text { (efect) } \\ & \text { (fe } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { motting } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Name | Composition | Date | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Depth } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { land } \\ \text { surface } \\ \text { (feot) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpman}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { down } \\ \text { (eet } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Une | Remark: |
| Ng24-2 | C. Betts | W | 1952 | 17 | Ct | 6 | 85 | - - | Tp( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Coarse sand | 7-17-52 | 20 | 7-17-52 | 40 | 10 | Ir | See log. D. D. = |
| Ng35-1 | R. Lank | w | 1949 | 12 | Ct | 3 | 80 | - - | Tma | Sand | 9-2-49 | 16 | 8-2-49 | 15 | $\cdots$ | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See log. D. D. }= \\ & 122 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Ng35-2 | W. Lank | W | 1951 | 10 | Ct | 4 | 47 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-26-51 | 12 | 9-26-51 | 40 | $\cdots$ | F |  |
| Ng41-1 | C. Draper | w | 1949 | 30 | Ct | 3 | 94 | - - | Tma | Sand | 6-29-49 | 20 | 6-29-49 | 75 | -- | D |  |
| $\mathrm{Ng}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{2 - 1}$ | Town of Milton | PM | 1945 | 10 | J | 6 | 68 | - - | Op | Sand | 3-23-50 | 3.0 | 1945 | 200 | -- | P | Sp. cap. 31. Dril- <br> ler reported low |
| Ng42-2 | Do | PM | 1945 | 10 | J | 6 | 68 | - - | Qp | Sand | 3-23-50 | 3.0 | 1945 | 200 | 6.5 | P | pH . <br> C. A., Temp. $56^{\circ} \mathrm{F} .$ |
| Ng42-3 | Draper Frozen Products, Inc. | PM | 1953 | 26 | J | 6 | 79 |  | Tma | Sand | 5-4-55 | 18 | 1955 | 250 | 12 | I |  |
| Ng42-4 | Do | PM | 1947 | 26 | J | 6 | 60 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ & \mathrm{TP}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 250 | - | I |  |
| Ng42-5 | Do | PM ? | 1943 | 25 | J | 6 | 60 |  | Qpp | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Ng42-6 | Do | PM | 1952 | 25 | J | 6 | 78 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 250 | 18 | I |  |
| Ng42-7 | Do | PM | 1952 | 25 | J | 6 | 79 | - - | Tma | Sand | 5-4-55 | 17 | 1955 | 250 | 19 | I |  |
| Ng42-8 | Do | PM? | 1943 | 25 | J | 6 | 60 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(7) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 250 | -- | 1 |  |
| Ng42-9 | Milton Poultry Co. | w | 1952 | 10 | Ct | 4 | 32 |  | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 6--52 | 6 | 6--52 | 40 | -- | 1 |  |
| Ng42-10 | Do | w | 1952 | 10 | Ct | 4 | 165 |  | Tm | Sand | -- | -- | 6--52 | 50 | -- | A |  |
| Ng42-11 | J. H. Dulaney \& Son, Inc. | SwD | 1955 | 9 | J | 10 | 60 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -. | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Ng42-12 | Do | SAW | 1950 | 9 | 5 | , | 70 | - - | as | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | , |  |
| N842-13 | Do | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1955 | 9 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 |  | Op | Sand | -- | -- | - | - | -- | D |  |
| Ng42-14 | Do | ${ }_{W}^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1953 | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{\text {DV }}$ | 1.25 | 20 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | 30 | - | -- | -- | $\stackrel{N}{\text { N }}$ |  |
| Ng43-1 | F. Leithrnarn | w | 1952 | 35 | Ct | 3 | 41 | - - | Tma | Sand | 8-15-52 |  |  | 15 | -- | F |  |
| Ng52-1 | C. Draper | w | 1949 | 35 | ${ }_{\text {Ct }}$ | 4 | 56 | - - | Op | Sand | 4-28-49 | 20 | $4-28-49$ $7-22-49$ | 15 20 | -- | D |  |
|  | H. Draper C. Stucklik, Jr. | w | 1949 | 35 32 | Ct Ct | 4 | $\begin{array}{r}70 \\ 52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - - | Tp( ) | Coarse and | 7-22-49 | 23 32 | 7-22-49 $8-11-49$ | 20 6 | -- | D | See log. |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 53-1$ $\mathrm{Ng} 55-1$ | C. Stucklik, Jr. C. Draper | w | 1949 | 32 28 | ct Ct | 3 | 52 75 | - | Qp | Sand | 7-14-49 | 19 | 7-14-49 | 100 | . | $F$ | See log. |
| Nh13-1 | H. P. Layton | PM | 1940 ? | 10 | J | 5.5 | 387 | - - | Tf( 7 ) | Sand | 2--54 | 0 | -- | -- | - | N |  |
| Nh13-2 | Dr. W. P. Hearn | Ld | 1946 | 8 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | $\bigcirc$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -* | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Nh13-3 | Do | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ d | 1946 | 8 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Nh13-4 | R. Hughes | Ld | 1953 | 8 | Dv | 3 | 6 | - - | Qr-Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |

Tabie 23 - RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} Y_{\text {ear }} \\ \text { completed } \end{array}\right\|$ | Alti- <br> tude <br> above <br> sea <br> level <br> (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Method } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { struct } \\ & \text { ian } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Diameterofcasing(inches) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Tept } \\ \text { (feet } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { seting } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | Aquiter |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Remazkı |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wel! } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition |  | Depth below Land surface (feet) | $\underset{\text { measured }}{\text { Date }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Nh35-1 | Doxsee Company | PM | 1952 | 7 | J | 4 | 62 |  | Qp | Sand | 8. -52 | 17 | -- | -- | -- | $\dot{c}$, P | C. A. |
| Nh42-1 | R. White | w | 1948 | 24 | Ct | ${ }^{3}$ | 87 | - - | Tma | Sand | 9-27-48 | 17.5 | 9-27-48 | 100 | 17.5 | 1 | See log. |
| Nh52-1 | J. Spevak | w | 1948 | 21 | Ct | 4 | 115 | - - | Tma | Sand | 1948 | 14 | 1948 | 105 | -- | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See log. © D. D. }= \\ & 1 \not \approx 2 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Ni31-1 | Lewes Dairy | PM | 1938 | 18 | J | 4 | 100 | - - | Tma (?) | Sand | 6- -53 | 14 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | C, B | C. A. |
| N:31-2 | Do | SAw | 1946 | 18 | J | 6 | 29 |  | Qp | Sand | 8-5-55 | 14.5 | -- | -- | $\because$ | N | C. A. |
| Ni31-3 | Do | SAW | 1949 | 18 | J | 6 | 60 | - - | $\bigcirc p$ | Sand | 1--55 | 16 | -- | 100 | 7 |  |  |
| Ni31-4 | Lewes Yacht Club | D | 1953 | 8 | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 3.5 | 84 | - - | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | --8 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | T, A | See log. |
| Ni34-1 | Sea Coast Producta. Inc. | SAW | 1898 | 5 | J | - | 1,080 | - - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tca } \\ \text { or } \end{gathered}$ | Sand | 1898 | Flow | 1898 | 10 | -- | N | See log. |
| Ni35-1 | U. S. Quarantine Sta. | P\&JC | 1892 | 7 | - | 6 | 400 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Te}(?) \\ \mathrm{Tm} \end{array}\right\|$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | 15 | -- | w | See log. |
| Ni41-1 | U.S. Army, Ft. Miles | SAW | 1944 | 15 | J | 8 | 110 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Qp}^{-} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | 5-5-55 | 11 | 6-14-44 | 339 | -- | w | c. A. |
| Ni42-1 | Town of Lewes | Ke | 1934 | 15 | Dg | 16 ? | 65 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ \mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}(?) \\ \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | 3-25-55 | 6,4 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Ni42-2 | Do | SAW | 1936 | 15 | J | 6 | 65 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}( }(\eta) \end{array}\right\|$ | Cozrse sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | P, E | c. A. |
| N142-3 | Do | PM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1934 \end{gathered}$ | 15 | J | 6 | -- | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} Q_{p} \\ \operatorname{Tp}\} \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N | No screen. |
| Ni42-4 | Do | -- | Before 1934 | 15 | J | 3 | 70 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1 \mathrm{P}(f) \\ Q_{\mathrm{P}}(?) \\ \mathrm{T}(9) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Ni42-5 | Do | -- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1934 \end{gathered}$ | 13 | J | 3 | 70 | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse eand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Ni42-6 | Do | SAW | 1942 | 12 | J | 6 | 67 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(7) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse mand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | P |  |
| N:42-7 | Do | SAW | 1936 | 15 | J | 6 | -- | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} Q_{p} \\ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{p}}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -* | -- | A |  |
| Ni42-8 | Do | SAW | 1942 | 17 | J | 10 | 65 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} Q_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -* | -- | -- | -- | -- | A | C. A. |
| Ni42-9 | Do | SAW | 1942 | 15 | J | 8 | 65 | - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cp} \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Coarse mand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | P, E | c. A. |
| Ni42-10 | Do | SAW | 1942 | 14 | J | 10 | 64 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} Q_{p}- \\ T_{p}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse and | 3-28-55 | 11.6 | 1942 | 300 | -- | A | c. A. |
| Ni42-11 | Do | Ld | 1944 | 15 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |

Table 23. --RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or mate | Draller | Year Korrpleted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { Jevel } \\ & \text { (fes-1) } \end{aligned}$ | Method of construct ton | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { (inches) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total depth (feet) | Screen setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | Static water level |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | Date measured | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> surface <br> (feet) | Date measured | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (gpm }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Drawdownt (feet) |  |  |
| Ni51-1 | Town of Lewes | SAW | 1944 | 22 | J | 2 | 84 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{\mathrm{P}} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{P}}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T; O |  |
| Ni51-2 | Do | SAW | 1944 | 22 | J | 2 | 66 | - - | Qp- $T p(\imath)$ | Coarse sand | -" | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, O |  |
| Ni51-3 | Do | SAW ? | 1944 | 22 | J . | 6 | 84 | - " | $T p(?)$ | Coarse sand | $9-25-47$ $5-1-53$ | 21.7 13.9 | -- | "* | -- | $\bigcirc$ | C. A. |
| Ni51-4 | Do | SAW | 1944 | 22 | J | 2 | 21 | - - | Qp | Sand | 8-5-48 | 5.7 | -- | -- | -- | T, 0 |  |
| Ni51-5 | Do | SAW | 1945 | 22 | J | 2 | 83 | - - | Tp( ? ) | Coarse sand | 3-24-55 | 16.6 | -- | -- | -- | T, 0 |  |
| NiS1-6 | Do | SAW | 1945 | 20 | J | 2 | 164 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}(\mathrm{l})$ -Tm | Coarse sand | 4-10-48 | 13.4 | -- | - | -- | T, O | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See log. D. D. }= \\ & 188 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Ni51-7 | Do | SAW | 1945 | 19 | $J$ | 2 | 80 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q p- \\ & T p(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 6-5-48 | 12.5 | -- | -- | "* | T, O | T. D. $=87 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Ni51-8 | Do | SAW | 1945 | 19 | J | 2 | 71 | - - | Qp- <br> Tp(?) | Coarse sand | 6-5-48 | 11.3 | -- | -- | -- | T, O | T. D. $=89 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Ni51-9 | Do | 5 | 1954 | 17 | Hr | 4 | 160 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \\ & -\mathrm{Tm} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 11-18-54 | 6.0 | 11-18-54 | 30 | 30 | T, O | ```See log. D.D. = 170 ft. Temp. 57``` |
| Ni51-10 | Do | \$ | 1954 | 11 | Hr | 4 | 144 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \\ & -\mathrm{Tm} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 11-30-54 | 5. 4 | 11-30-54 | 157 | -- | T, O | T, D. $=170 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Ni51-11 | Do | 5 | 1954 | 11 | Hr | 1.25 | 69 | - - | Op | Sand | 11-30-54 | 5.5 | -. | -- | -- | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Ni51-12 | E. L. Wescoat | w | 195 | 26 | Ct | -- | 92 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | - | 35 | - | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Ni51-13 | F. Thorpe | w | 1950 | 26 | Ct | 3 | 87 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qp}- \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 8-29.50 | 22 | 8-29-50 | 35 | 10 | F |  |
| Ni51-14 | J. W. Webb | w | 1950 | 26 | Ct | 4 | 87 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Op} \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(\mathrm{p}) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 5-12-50 | 17 | 5-12-50 | 30 | 12 | $F$ |  |
| Ni51-15 | R. Martin | ${ }^{\text {L }}$ d | -- | 23 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-29-54 | 15.3 | 11-29-54 | 510 | 41 | $\underset{\text { F }}{ }$ | C. A. Temp. |
| Ni51-16 | Town of Lewes | SAW | 1945 | 23 | J | 10 | 97 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Qp}- \\ \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | $11-29.54$ 1946 | 15.3 14 | 11-29-54 | 510 480 | 41 30 | P | C. A. ; Temp. $57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Ni51-17 | Do | SAW | 1945 | 22 | J | 10 | 157 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pp- } \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | $2-45$ 1954 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 17.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1945 | 500 | 44 | P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.A.; D.D. }= \\ & 188 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Ni51-18 | Do | SAW | 1945 | 19 | J | 10 | 89 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qp- } \\ & \operatorname{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 1946 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1945 | 400 | 36 | P | Temp. $57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Ni51-19 | Do | S | 1955 | 12 | Hr | 10 | 151 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pp} \\ & \mathrm{TP}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 3-29-55 | 12 | 11-29-55 | 975 | -- | P |  |
| Ni51-20 | Do | S | 1955 | 12 | Hr | 10 | 146 | - - | Qp- <br> Tp (?) | Coarse sand | 4-2-55 | 12 | 4-2-55 | 895 | 35 | P |  |
| Ni51-2! | R. Martin, Tr . | w | 1952 | 19 | Ct | 3 | 105 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1 p(? \\ & Q p(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 1-3152 | 12 | 1-3.52 | 75 | 18 | $F$ | T. D. $=120 \mathrm{ft}$. |

Table 23-RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Static } \\ \text { water } 10 \end{array}$ | $c$ <br> cvel | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner or nam' | Drither | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ \text { completect } \end{array}\right.$ | tude <br> above <br> sea <br> level <br> (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Method } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { struct- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { (inches) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Tutal Aepth (feet) | Screen setting (feet) | Name | Composition | Date measured | Depth below land surface (feet) | Date measured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (kpm) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Drawdown (feet) | Uve | Remarks |
| Ni51-22 | W. A. Beauchamp | -- | 1952 | 10 | J | - | -- | - - | $Q^{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Ni52-1 | Diamond State Poultry Co. | SAW | 1950 | 20 | Hr | 8 | 94 | - - | $\begin{gathered} Q_{p}= \\ T \mathrm{P}(?) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | 12-28-50 | 10.5 | 12-28-50 | 100 | 5 | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. A. :D.D. }= \\ & 160 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Ni52-2 | Do | -- | 1949? | 15 | Ct | 4 | 80 | - - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Qp- } \\ T \mathrm{P}(?) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | 2--53 | 10 | -- | - | -- | I |  |
| Ni52-3 | V. L. Dennis | Ld | 1939 | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Op | Sand | "* | - | -- | -- | -- | D | C. A. |
| Ni52-4 | F. C. Marshall | La | 1948 | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | Op | Sand | 4-18-52 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | D | c. A. |
| Ni52-5 | E. H. Maul | Ld | 1949 | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{P}}$ | Sand | 4-18-52 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | D | C. A. |
| Ni52-6 | L. Mitchell | LO | 1946 | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | 70 | - | Qp- <br> Tp(?) | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D | C. A. |
| Ni52-7 | Do | Ld | -- | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ni52-8 | O. H. Warrington | Ld | 1950 | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | 72 | - - | $\begin{gathered} C p- \\ \operatorname{Tp}(?) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | 4-18-52 | 20 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ | C. A. |
| Ni52-9 | Do | Ld | -- | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | 72 | - - | Qp- | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Ni53-1 | U.S. Army, Ft. Miles | SAW | 1944 | 12 | J | 6 | 85 | - - | $\left.\begin{gathered} T p(?) \\ Q p- \\ T p(?) \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | Coarse sand | 4-18-52 | 18.2 | -- | * | -- | T, O |  |
| Ni53-2 | Do | SAW | 1943 ? | 12 | J | 3 | 34 | - | Qp | Sand | 4-18-52 | 17.7 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Ni53-3 | Do | SAW | 1944 | 10 | J | 8 | 110 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \\ & -\mathrm{Tm} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 6-13-44 | 43 | 6-13-44 | 461 | -- | w | C. A. |
| N153-4 | Do | SAW | 1944 | 10 | J | 8 | 103 | - - | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}(?)$ $-\mathrm{Tm}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | Coarse sand | 6-12-44 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.5 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | 6-12-44 | 320 | 30 | W | C. A. |
| Ob13-1 | Mrs. M. Jones | L.d | 1925 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 13 | * - | Op | Sand | 11-4-55 | 3.3 | -- | -- | -- | D | Temp. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Ob14-1 | S. E. Melson | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1940 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | -n | -- | -- | -- | -- | D | Temp. $58^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Ob23-1 | C. Johnson | Ld | 1950 | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 75 | - - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Qp- } \\ T p(?) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Ob25-1 | G. Hartzel | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1944 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - | Qp | Sand | 11-4-55 | 4. 2 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Ob33-1 | D. Calloway | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1943 \end{gathered}$ | 48 | Dv | 1.5 | 80 | - - | $\begin{gathered} Q p- \\ T p(?) \end{gathered}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $F$ |  |
| Ob43-1 | C. Coulbourn | Ld | 1954 | 52 | Dv | 1.5 | 45 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Ob45-1 | E. Jester | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-3-55 | 1.0 | -- | -- | -* | N |  |
| Ob54-1 | L. O'Bier | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1907 \end{gathered}$ | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 11-3-55 | 4.8 | "* | -- | -- | E |  |
| Ob55-1 | L. Ward | Ld | 1947 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |

Table 23. - RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Statif } \\ & \text { water } \end{aligned}$ | evel | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner or name | Driller | Ycar <br> Completed | tude <br> above <br> sea <br> level <br> (fnet) | Method of con- struct- ion | Diameter <br> of <br> caring <br> (inches) | Total depth (feet) | Screen aetting (feet) | Name | Comporition | Date measured | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> surface <br> (feat) | Date meagured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yiold } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Use | Ramarks |
| Ob55-2 | L. Ward | Ld | 1942 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -* | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oc12-1 | C. Walston | Ld | 1951 | 51 | Dv | 1.25 | 36 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -* | F |  |
| Oc14-1 | Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co. | AP | 1940 | 48 | J | 8 | 108 | - - | Tp( ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | Coarse sand | -* | -- | 1950 | 300 | -- | N |  |
| Oc14-2 | J. H. Dulaney \& Son, Inc. | SAW | 1949 | 45 | J | 4 | 94 | - - | Tp(?) | Coarse sand | 1949 | 24 | 1949 | 200 | -* | I |  |
| Oc14-3 | Atlantic lee Mfg. Co, | PM | 1951 | 45 | $J$ | 8 | 110 |  | Tp( ? ) | Coarse sand | 4-21-53 | 12 | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | I |  |
| Oc14-4 | H. P. Cannon \& Son, inc. | AP | 1943 | 47 | Ct | 8 | 109 | - * | Tp( ? ) | Coarse sand | 9- -43 | 11 | 9- -43 | 600 | 28 | I |  |
| Oc14-5 | Do | AP | 1940 | 47 | Ct | 10 | 116 | - - | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(\hat{\text { P }}$ ) | Coarse sand | 4- -40 | 9 | 4- 40 | 800 | 38 | I |  |
| Oc14-6 | Do | PM | 1943 | 47 | J | 8 | 98 |  | Tp( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Coarse sand | 2-3-43 | 12 | 2-3-43 | 500 | 33 | I |  |
| Oc14-7 | Sidney Theatre | w | 1947 | 45 | Ct | 4 | 111 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}(?)$ | Coarse sand | 1947 | 6,5 | 1947 | 90 | 22 | C |  |
| Oc14-8 | Town of Bridgeville | -- | 1930 | 45 | J | 12 | 119 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}(?\} \\ & -\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{m}} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 1930 | 16 | 1930 | 470 | -- | P | C. A.; temp. $56^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Oc14-9 | Do | PC | 1910 | 45 | J | 4 | -* | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} T p(\imath\} \\ -T m \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | P, E |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ Oc14-10 | Do | SWD | 1955 | 45 | J | 8 | 96 | - - |  | Coarse sand | -- | -- | 11--55 | 850 | -- | P |  |
| Oc14-11 | Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co. | SWD | 1954 | 45 | J | 8 | 110 |  | Tp( ? ) | Coarte sand | --- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Oc21-1 | C. Wright | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1935 \end{gathered}$ | 52 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | Op | Sand | 11-7-55 | 5.4 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oc23-1 | S. W. Allen | Ld | 1950 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -* | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oc25-1 | M. Adams | PM | 1953 | 44 | J | -- | -" | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Tp}(?) \\ -\mathrm{Tm} \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | $\ldots$ | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oc25-2 | Do | PM | -- | 44 | J | 4 | -- | - | $T p(?)$ $-\mathrm{Tm}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oc32-1 | C. Messick | Ld | 1946 | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 29 |  | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oc34-1 | L. W. Noble Cannery | PM | 1945 | 41 | J | 3 | 70 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}-} \\ & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 4- -52 | 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | D. D. $=120 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Oc34-2 | Do | Ld | "- | 41 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 |  | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | --- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Oc35-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1955 | 40 | B | 3.5 | 54 | - | Tp( ? | Coarse sand | 5-24-55 | 14 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Oc35-2 | Do | USGS | 1955 | 45 | B | 3.5 | 84 | - - | Tp( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Coarse sand | 5-26-55 | 12 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See tog. |
| Oc41-1 | R. V. Stallard | Ld | 1943 | 46 | Dv | 1.25 | 40 | - - | ©p | Sand | --7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oc42-1 | Wesley Methodist Church | Ld | -- | 43 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 11-7-55 | 4.3 | - | -- | -- | $P$ |  |
| Oc42-2 | C. C. Allen, Jr, | -- | -- | 31 | St | -- | -- |  | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 400 | -- | Ir |  |
| Oc52-1 | W. Miller | Le | 1952 | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 |  | Qp | Sand | 11-7-55 | 7.1 | - | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oc53-1 | C. H. Isaacs | Ld | 1951 | 34 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -* | -- | -- | D |  |

Tahle 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Static } \\ \text { water le } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cel } \\ & \text { level } \end{aligned}$ | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner mon naw | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { conipleted } \end{gathered}\right.$ | tude above sea Ievel (feel) | Method of con-struction | Diameter of casing (inches) | Total depth (feet) | Screen vetting (feet) | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | Depth below land surface (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gPm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & (\text { feel }) \end{aligned}$ | Use | Remarks |
| Oc55-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1955 | 30 | B | 3.5 | 54 | - - | TP( ? ) | Coarse sand | 5c23-55 | 8 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See iog. |
| Od11-1 | T.S. Smith \& Son | SCS | -- | 30 | St | -- | 8 ? | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 1,000 | -- | ir | Area 5, 250 |
| Od12-1 | Do | ScS | 1955 | 20 | St | -- | 8 ? | - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 1955 | 2,000 | -- | Ir | sq. ft. <br> Area 10,000 |
| Od14-1 | Mrs. M. Moore | Ld | 1954 | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 40 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F | sq. ft |
| Od15-1 | R. Macklin | Ld | , | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 70 | - - | Qp- | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Od2i-1 | A. Corey | Ld | 1928 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 | - - | Tp( ? ${ }_{\text {Qp }}$ | Sand | -* | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Od23-1 | Townsend, Inc. | So | 1939 | 43 | Hr ? | 4 | 2,600 | - - | Kr | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Oil exploration. See log. |
| Od23-2 | Do | CO | 1935 ? | 42 | $\mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Hr}$ | 8 ? | 3.012 | - - | Kr | Sand | -- | - | - | -- | -- | T, A | Oil exploration. See log. |
| Od23-3 | Do | SAW | 1942 | 50 | J | 6 | 90 | - - | Qp- | Coarse sand | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -* | $\cdots$ | -- | F |  |
| Od24-1 | R. Russell | SO | 1939 | 36 | Hz ? | 4 | 2,674 | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} T_{p}(?) \\ K r \end{array}\right\|$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Oil exploration. See log. |
| Od32-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1952 | 25 | B | 3.5 | 114 | - - | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & Q_{\mathrm{P}}- \\ & \mathrm{TP}(?) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Coarse asnd | 5-19-52 | 14 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Seelog. |
| Od32-2 | Do | USGS | 1952 | 30 | B | 3.5 | 69 | - - | QpTp (?) | Coarse sand | 5-20-52 | 6.5 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Od32-3 | Do | USGS | 1952 | 28 | B | 3.5 | 114 | - - | QP | Sand | 5-21-52 | 14 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Od33-1 | O. A. Newton \& Son Co. | LA | -- | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 14 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-11-55 | 11.5 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Od35-1 | A. Givens | Ld | 1948 | 35 | Dv | 2 | 48 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -* | - | - | F |  |
| Od35-2 | H. I. Short, Sr. | SO | 1935 | 40 | Hr ? | -- | 550 | - | Tf( ? ) | Sand | -* | - | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | T, A | Oil exploration. See log. |
| Od42-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1952 | 28 | B | 3.5 | 89 | - - | Qp | Sand | 5- -52 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Od42-2 | Do | USGS | 1952 | 30 | B | 3.5 | 99 | - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 5--52 | 36 | -- | -- | * | T, A | See log. |
| Od44-1 | W. C. Fleetwood | Ld | 1925 | 32 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Od53-1 | E. Richard | Ld | 1950 | 32 | Dv | 1.25 | 32 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | - | F |  |
| Od55-1 | Mrs. I, Hudson | Ld | -- | 32 | Dv | 1.5 | 21 | - - | Op | Sand | 10-10-55 | 12.6 | - | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oell-1 | L. J. Isames | Ld | Before 1915 | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 12 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-21-55 | 5.1 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oel4-1 | W. B. Vink | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1935 \end{gathered}$ | 47 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | - | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oel5-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1955 | 47 | B | 3.5 | 94 | - | Tma |  | 8- -55 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~A}$ | See log. |
| Oe23-1 | S. R. Wilson | Ld | -- | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |

Table $23^{--R E C O R D ~ O F ~ W E L L S ~}$

| Wall number | Owner or natme | I) illar | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { kumpleted } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Altitude above sea level (feel) | Methedofcon-gtruct-ion | Diameterofcaring(inehes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (fent) } \end{aligned}$ | Screen setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | Staticwater level |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Remark* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | Date measured | Depth <br> below <br> Land <br> surface <br> (fect) | Date measured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (gPm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Oe25-1 | Unknown | Ld | - | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 40 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-21-55 | 1.9 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oe31-1 | H. 1. Short, 5r, | Ld | 1949 | 40 | Dv | 2 | 73 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oc41-1 | R. Lambdon | Ld | 1952 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 21 | - - | Op | Sand | 1952 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oe43-1 | A. Blake | Ld | Before | 36 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | $\Omega^{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oe45-1 | C. Morris | Ld | 1949 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oe52-1 | Unknown | Ld | -- | 40 | Dr | 1.25 | 9 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-21-55 | 5.4 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Of14-1 | I. Williams | Ld | 1954 | 47 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | D |  |
| Of15-1 | Mrs. I. M. Blizzard | L.d | 1945 | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 79 | - - | Qp- <br> Tp( ?) | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | ** | -- | D |  |
| Of22-1 | A. Short | Ld | 1952 | 52 | Dv | 1.25 | 14 | - - | 0 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Of24-1 | H. R. Wilson | Ld | 1951 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | Qp | Sand | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Of25-1 | E. A. Metz | Ld | 1953 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Of31-1 | State Highway Dept, | USGS | 1955 | 47 | B | 3.5 | 94 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 6-22-55 | 4 | -- | - | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Of35-1 | Mrs. E. W. Reynolds | Ld | 1925 | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | F |  |
| Of42-1 | Water \& Supply Co. | SAW | 1951 | 55 | Hr | 10 | 116 | - | Trna | Sand | 11-16-53 | 11 | 1951 | 1100 | -- | P | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. A.; Temp. } \\ & 59^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Of42-2 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - - | Tma | Sand | -' | -- | -- | - | -- | P, E |  |
| Of42-3 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - - | Trma | Sand | 5-22-50 | 5.5 | " | -- | -- | P, E |  |
| Of42-4 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | $J$ | 3 | 116 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | " | -- | -- | $P, E$ |  |
| Of42-5 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | $P, E$ |  |
| Of42-6 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | P, E |  |
| Of42-7 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - | Tma | Sand | -" | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | P. E |  |
| Of42-8 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - - | Tma | Sand | - | -- | -- | - | -- | P, E |  |
| Of42-9 | Do | PM | 1928 | 55 | J | 3 | 116 | - - | Trma | Sand | " | -- | -- | - | -- | P, E |  |
| Of42-10 | Do | PC ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | J | 3 | 113 | - - | Tma | Sand | ** | -- | -- | - | -- | A |  |
| Of42-11 | Do | PC ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | J | 3 | 113 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Of42-2 | Do | PC ? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Be fore } \\ & 1928 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | J | 3 | 113 | * - | Trma | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Of42-13 | Do | PC ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | J | 3 | 113 | - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | A |  |
| Of42-14 | Do | PC ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | $J$ | 3 | 113 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Of42-15 | Do | Pc ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1928 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | J | 3 | 113 | - - | Tma | Sand | -* | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Of42-16 | Do | SAW | 1955 | 55 | J | 10 | 120 | $\cdots$ | Trna | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | P |  |

Table 23.-RECORD OF WELLS

| Well number | Owner or naime | Driller | $\underset{\text { Year }}{\text { Yoleted }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { level } \\ & \text { ifeet } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Method } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { atruct- } \\ & \text { ion } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Diameterofcasing(inches) | Total depth <br> (feet) | Screen setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Rernsrks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | Date <br> measured | Depth below land surface (feet) | Date measured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (gpm) } \end{aligned}$ | Drawdown (fect) |  |  |
| Of42-17 | Isanacs \& Sons Cold Storage Co. | PM | 1946 | 55 | J | 6 | 112 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | 8 | -* | 300 | - | $\bigcirc$ | High in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. |
| Of42-18 | Do | PM | 1946 | 55 | J | 10 | 90 | - - | Tma | Sand | - | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | 1 |  |
| Of42-19 | Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co. | PM | 1947 | 55 | 5 | 8 | 110 | - | Tma | Sand | 1947 | 9 | -- | 500 | 62 | I | Temp. $56^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Of42-20 | Do | PM | 1951 | 55 | J | 8 | 110 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Of42-21 | Do | PM | 1953 | 55 | 5 | 8 | 110 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | $\cdots$ | I |  |
| Of42-22 | Do | PM | $\vdots 953$ | 55 | J | 8 | 110 | - - | Tma | Sand | "- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Of42-23 | Townsend Canning Co. | L | 1946 | 55 | Hr | 16 | 110 | - - | Tma | Sand | 1948 | 8,5 | 10--46 | 1,005 | 29 | 1 | D. D. $=130 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Of43-1 | Swift \& Co. | PM | 1952 | 55 | J | 8 | 110 | - - | Trna | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 1, E | pH 7.8. |
| Of43-2 | Do | L | 1946 | 55 | Hr | 10 | 110 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | 5 | 1946 | 575 | -- | I | D.-D. $=125 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| O543-3 | Do | PM | 1946 | 50 | J | 4 | 110 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | I, E |  |
| Of44-1 | All American Engineering Co. | Ld | 1944 | 55 | Dv | 1.5 | 55 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qp- } \\ & T \mathrm{p}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Of44-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Of44-3 | Do | Ld | 1955 | 53 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | t |  |
| Of45-1 | J. L. Briggs | $1 . d$ | -- | 45 | Dv | 1. 25 | 17 | - - | Qp | Sand | 12-5-55 | 6.4 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| O551-1 | C. Phelps | id | -- | 52 | Dv | 1.25 | 23 | - - | Qp | Sand | 12-5-55 | 9.3 | -- | - | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| OF51-2 | W. D. Reynoids | Ld | -- | 50 | Dv | 1. 25 | 30 | - - | $Q_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Of52-1 | Arrow Safety Device Co. | w | 1953 | 50 | Ct | 6 | 106 | - - | Tma | Sand | 4n-53 | 4 | 1953 | 80 | -- | I |  |
| Of54-1 | F. Wells \& Son | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1948 \end{gathered}$ | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - | 0 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Og12-1 | H, Prettyjohn | Ld | 1920 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | 0 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Og13-1 | F. Mitchell | Ld | 1951 | 36 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | F. |  |
| Og15-1 | C. T. Pepper | Ld | 1928 | 32 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Qp}- \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Og23-1 | Paramount Poultry Co. | PM | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1946 \end{gathered}$ | 45 | J | 6 | 64 | - - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} Q_{p} \\ T_{P}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarbe sam | 1953 | 8 | 1949 | 150 | 40 | I | D. D. $=79 \mathrm{ft}$, |
| Og23-2 | Do | PM | -- | 45 | J | - | -- | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{P-} \\ & T_{P}\{?\} \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sars | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - | I |  |
| Og23-3 | Do | PM | -- | 45 | J | 8 | 78 | - - | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{~T}(?) \end{array}\right.$ | Coarse sam | ? | 10.7 | 9-2-49 | 336 | 45 | 1 |  |
| Og32-1 | H. G. Graves \& Sons, Inc. | w | 1947 | 45 | Ct | 3 | 88 | - - | Tma | Sand | 10-6-48 | 6 | 10-6-48 | 65 | 23 | I |  |
| Og41-1 | J. West | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | 44 | Dv | 1.25 | 24 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |

Table 23 -record of wells

| Well number | Owner or marue | Driller | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Alti- } \\ \text { tude } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { sca } \\ \text { level } \\ \text { (evect }) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { struct- } \\ \text { ion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { caning } \\ \text { (inchey) } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tota1 } \\ & \text { deph } \\ & \text { (feet } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screcn } \\ \text { etuling } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slatic } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | use | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Dato } \\ \text { meacured } \end{array}\right\|$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> surface <br> (feet) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gpm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { praw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (leet) } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Og43-1 | Mrs. M. E. Dodd | L.d | 1925 | 44 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 |  | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | -- | D |  |
| $\mathrm{Og}_{84-1}$ | Mrs. M. Hellens | Ld | 1940 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 12-14-55 | 7.5 | -- | .. | -- | N |  |
| Og51-5 | C. Thompson | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1912 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Og53-1 | R. R, Johncon | Ld | 1905 | 37 | Dv | 1,25 | 20 |  | $O_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Og55-1 | G. Johnson | Ld | -- | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Og55-2 | o. Smith | Ld | -- | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 5 | - - | Op | Sand | $12-1455$ | 3.9 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Oh11-1 | A. S. Hanby | w | 1950 | 40 | Ct | 3 | 72 | - - | Tp( ? $)$ | Coarse sand | 10.11-50 | 29 | 10-11-50 | 50 | -- | F |  |
| Oh11-2 | M. C. Vaughn | w | 1950 | 40 | Ct | 4 | 84 | - - | Tp( ? ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Coarse fand | 1950 | 26.5 | 1950 | 75 | 8.5 | $F$ |  |
| Oh12-1 | A.S. Hopkins, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}$. | Ld | 1953 | 22 | Dv | 1.25 | 69 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}($ ? $)$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -. | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oh13-1 | R. Jones | Ld | 1954 | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 24 |  | Qp | Sand | 11-5.54 | 16.7 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oh13-2 | R. S. Swisher | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1955 | 26 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 |  | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 11-21-55 | 16.9 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oh15-1 | Graves Block Co. | Ld | 1947 | 25 | Dv | 1.5 | 75 | - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Oh21-1 | Johnson Bros. | Ld | -- | 28 | Dv | 1.5 | 45 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D, C |  |
| Oh22-1 | A. S. Hopkins, Sr. | Ld | Before 1940 | 26 | Dv | 1.25 | $\cdots$ | - - | $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{P}}$ ( ) | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Oh22-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 79 | - - | TP ( ? ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | Coarse sand | 10-26-54 | 19.4 | -- | -- | - | F |  |
| Oh22-3 | Do | Ld | 1942 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 79 | - - | Tp( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ) | Coarse sand | 10-26-54 | 19.4 | -- | -. | -. | N |  |
| Oh22-4 | Do | Ld | 1946 | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 77 | - | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{P})$ | Coarse samil | 10-26-54 | 21.0 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oh24-1 | J. Hall | Ld | 1942 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-9-54 | 10.6 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oh24-2 | Do | Ld | 1953 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oh31-1 | H. C. Smith | $\stackrel{L}{ }$ | 1948 | 35 | ${ }^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.25 | 45 | - - | 0 p | Sand | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- | D |  |
| Oh33-1 | G. Johnson | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}$ | 1953 | 31 | Dv | 1.25 | 34 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Oh41-1 | N. Burton | Ld | 1944 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 33 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 11-10-54 | 13.9 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| On43-1 | G. W. Bennum | Ld | -- | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N | Well reported dry. |
| On43-2 | Do | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 硡 | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Oh44-1 | J. Mcylvain | PM | About | 23 | J | 3 | 72 | - - | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{p}$ ) | Coarbe sam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oh45-1 | Unknown | Ld | 1940 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oh45-1 | Unknown W. Hurdle | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}$ | -- | 27 36 | $\mathrm{Dv}_{\mathrm{Dv}}$ | 1.25 1.25 | -. |  | Op | Sand Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oh51-2 | W. Hurde | Ld | -- | 36 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | $\because$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oill-1 | C. Baker | Ld | .-- | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 80 | - - | Tp( 7 ) | Coarse mand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D | c. A. |
| Oill-z | G. Bloth | Ld | 1951 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | - | - | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Qp}- \\ \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{array}\right\|$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -* | - | -- | D |  |
| 911.3 | Do | Ld | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Before } \\ 1951 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p-} \\ & T_{p}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N | C. A, |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Static } \\ & \text { water le } \end{aligned}$ | evel | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Owner or natm | Driller | Ycar kompletod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { fevel } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Method <br> of <br> con- <br> struct- <br> 1on | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { (inchey) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Total depth (fe, tt) | Screen satting (iect) | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> gurface <br> (fect) | Date measured | Yield (gmm) | Drawdown (feet) | Use | Remarks |
| Oill-4 | W. Carpenter | $\mathrm{PM}^{\text {M }}$ | 1948 | 20 | J | 3 | 90 | - - | Tp(?) | Coarse sand | -- | - | - | $\cdots$ |  | F |  |
| Oill-5 | Do | L.d | Before | 20 | Dv | -- | 90 | - | Op- | Coarse sand | .- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N | C. A. |
| Oil2-1 | C. Nelson, Jr. | W | 1944 | 29 | Ct | 3 | 73 |  | Tp( $\mathrm{T}^{\text {Qp }}$ | Sand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oil2-2 | c. Hill | w | 1954 | 20 | Ct | 3 | 71 | - - | $Q_{p-}$ | Coarse sand | 3-28-53 | 20 | 3-28-53 | 25 40 | 10 | C | B.: D. $=80 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Oil3-1 | E. F. Wolfe | Ld | 1940 | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | 31 | . . | $\mathrm{Tp}^{\text {p }}$ ( $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 11-8-54 | 221 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oi23-1 | Aircrafters, lac. | Ld | 1950 | 27 | Dv | 1.\% | 55 | - - | $0^{2}$ | Sand | 11-8- | -. | - | .. | - | C |  |
| Oi24-1 | Town of Rehoboth | SAW | 1952 | 24 | Hr | 12 | 102 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 1-29-52 | 19.3 | 1-29-52 | 378 | 65 | N | D.D. $=120 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Oi24-2 | A. Waples | Ld | 1943 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | $Q^{\text {p }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | - | 378 | 65 | D | D.D. = 120 K . |
| Oi24-3 | L. Waples | Ld | 1944 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - - | $0_{p}$ | Sand | 11-8-54 | 13.7 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi25-1 | A. P. Hichardson | W | 1948 | 25 | Ct | 4 | 118 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 10-28-48 | 25 | 10-28-48 | 60 | 5 | F | See log. |
| Oi25-2 | Corkran | Ld | -- | 20 | Dg | -- | 19 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 4-17-53 | 17.9 | -- | -- | 5 | N | See log. |
| Oi25-3 | Do | PM | 1939 | 20 | J | 2.5 | 100 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Oi34-1 | Town of Rehobath | SAW | 1952 | 24 | Hr | 12 | 131 | $\begin{aligned} & 69-74 \\ & 86-96 \end{aligned}$ | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 1-29-52 | 18.8 | 1-29-52 | 725 | 32 | P | See log. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $102-112$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126-131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oi34-2 | Do | SAW | 1952 | 24 | J | 12 | 123 | - | Qp | Sand | - | -- | -- | 750 | -- | $p$ |  |
| Oi34-3 | State Highway Dept. | PM | 1946 | 24 | J | 6 | 117 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 1-29-52 | 18.8 | 3-1-46 | 500 | -- | T, N | See log. D. D. $=$ |
| Oi34-4 | Mrs. 3. S. Boyd | Ld | 1948 | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A | 150 ft C. A. |
| Oi34-5 | J. Wolife | w | 1950 | 25 | Ct | 4 | 104 | - - | $Q^{p}$ | Sand | 1950 | 20.5 | 1950 | 85 | 8.5 | D | Sce log. |
| Oi35-1 | Town of Rehoboth | PM | 1943 | 18 | J | 10 | 110 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | . | -- | P, E | C. A. |
| Oi35-2 | Do | PM | 1948 | 18 | J | 10 | 110 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | 20 | -- | -- | -- | P, E |  |
| Oi35-3 | Rehoboth Beach | Ld | 1938 | 15 | Dv | 2 | 40 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | - | -- | -- | -- | * | N | C. A. |
| Oi35-4 | Do | Ld | 1938 | 15 | Dv | 2 | 40 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | N | C. A, |
| Oi35-5 | Stokely Van Camp, Inc. | PM | 1939 | 20 | J | 3 | 128 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Oi35-6 | Do | PM | 1950 | 20 | J | 8 | 128 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Oi35-7 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -. | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-8 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-9 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-10 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-11 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-12 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 |  | $0_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-13 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-14 | Do | PM | 1922 | 20 | J | 3 | 100 | * - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |

Table 23 --RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or name | Driller | $\underset{\substack{\text { Year } \\ \text { completed }}}{ }$ | Atit-tubeabovebeaSevel(feet) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { con- } \\ \text { toruct- } \\ \hline \text { ion } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \text { Totat } \\ \text { depp } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Scranan } \\ \text { cetiting } \\ \text { (teret) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Aquiler |  | ${ }_{\text {mater }}^{\text {shatcelel }}$ |  | Well capecity |  |  | Une | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { Number }}{\substack{\text { wumber }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | ${ }_{\text {Date }}^{\text {Date }}$ maxed |  | Date | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Yiold } \\ \hline(\mathrm{grma}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| O335-15 | Atlantic Ice Mfg. Co. | -- | -- | 25 | -- | ${ }^{3}$ | 136 |  | $\%_{p}$ | Sand | $\because$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | I | c.A. |
| Oi35-16 | Pennaylvania Rall- | -- | -- | 25 | J | -- | -- |  | $\sim_{\text {P }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | 1 |  |
| Oi35-17 | road Co. <br> Do | $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | -- | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 |  | $s^{p}$ | Sand | 8-18-52 | 11.8 |  | -- | -- | N |  |
| Oi35-18 | H. R. Wateon | w | 1949 | 25 | ct | 3 | 119 |  | $\mathrm{PP}_{2}$ | Sund | 12-26-49 | 25 | 26-49 | ${ }^{80}$ | 5 | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Oi35-19 | W. M. Melvin | w | - | 16 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Ct}}^{\mathrm{Ct}}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 110 77 78 |  | ${ }_{\text {Op }}$ | Sand | -- | ${ }_{8}^{19}$ | $\because$ | ${ }_{3}^{40}$ | 5 | D |  |
| Oi35-20 | J. D. Johnton | ${ }_{P M}^{W}$ | $\stackrel{-7}{1946}$ | $\begin{array}{r}20 \\ 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{j}^{\text {ct }}$ | ${ }_{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r}174 \\ 134 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Op }}$ | Sand | -- | -- |  |  |  | T, A |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Oi35-22 }}$ | A. Kirkpatrick Co. Inc. | saw | 1951 | 5 | Dv | 1.5 | 109 |  | 2 P | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
| O135-23 | J. Dick | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1954 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 32 |  | Op | Sand | -- | -. | -- | -- |  | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Oi35-24 | J. S. Truitt | Ld | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Before } \\ 1947 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 15 | Dv | 1.25 | 29 |  | Op | Sand |  | .- |  | -- | -- | D | C.A. |
| 0135-25 | Dr. R. E. Hall | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | -- | 12 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 |  | $\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D | c. A. |
|  | G. Butz | Ld | 1954 | 20 20 | ${ }_{\text {Dv }}^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.25 1.25 | 19 40 |  | ${ }_{0} \mathrm{OP}_{2 p}$ | Sand |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Oj} 21-3^{\text {O }}$ | R. Dodge | L | 1951 | 5 | Dv | 1.5 | 93 |  | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D | c. A . |
| Oj21-4 | Way | $\stackrel{\text { Le }}{ }$ | 1951 | 5 | ${ }^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.5 | 79 |  | ${ }_{\sim}^{2 p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\because$ |  |  |  |
| Oj31-1 | Town of Rehoboth | PC | 1930 | 18 | J | 10 | 110 |  | Qp | Sand | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | P.E | Composite an- <br> alysiz woll. |
|  |  | PC | 1930 | 18 |  |  | 110 |  |  | Sand |  |  |  | -- | -- | P, E | Oj31-1 and 2 , |
| Oj31-3 | Blue Hen Theatre | PC | -- | 15 | J | 6 | 136 | -- | $a_{p}$ | Send | 4--52 | 12 | , | -- |  | c | c. A.; $5 \times 78$ ppm, CI 660. |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{O} 31-4}$ | R. C. Barnard | w | 1951 | 10 | Ct | 3 | 120 |  | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 6-2-51 | 16 | ${ }^{6-2-51}$ | 60 90 | -- |  |  |
| $\mathrm{OH}^{\mathrm{O} 3115}$ | L. Miller | ${ }_{w}^{*}$ | 11953 | 15 | $\mathrm{Ct}_{\mathrm{Ct}}^{\mathrm{Ct}}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{r}113 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 15 | 3-3-2 <br> $3-53$ <br> -53 | 90 50 |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| - $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oj31-6 } \\ & \text { O31-7 }\end{aligned}$ | R. ${ }_{\text {D }}^{\text {Do }}$ Lingenfelter | $\stackrel{\text { L }}{\text { L }}$ | 1953 <br> 1950 <br> 195 | 15 17 | ct Dv | 3 1.5 | 38 <br> 27 |  | $\mathrm{OP}_{8}$ | Sand |  | -- | 3- $\begin{gathered}\text {-53 } \\ --\end{gathered}$ | 50 | -- | c | c. c. A. |
| 0才31-8 | s. Cohan | L |  | 10 | Dr | 1.25 | 35 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | - -7 | -- | -- | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| - $331-9$ | Do | ${ }^{\mathbf{w}}$ | 1955 | 10 | ${ }_{\text {ct }}^{\text {Dv }}$ | ${ }^{3} 12$ | 40 25 | $\because$ | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{Op}}$ | Sand | -- | --- | ${ }^{1-}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | -- | ${ }_{\text {E, }}^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| - $\begin{aligned} & \text { O31-10 } \\ & \text { Oj31-11 }\end{aligned}$ | J. Hemmerich | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1916 <br> 1952 <br> 1 | 20 20 | ${ }_{\text {Dv }}^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.25 1.25 | 25 17 |  | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{Op}}$ | Sand | -- | --- | -- | -- | -- |  | c. A. |
| ${ }_{0}{ }^{\text {j41-1 }}$ | R. Seimes | w | 1948 | 7 | Ct | 3 | 110 |  | ${ }^{\text {ap }}$ | Sand | ${ }^{1948}$ | 2 | -- | -- | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | See log. |
| $\mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{O} 41-2$ | H. Shaud | w | 1947 | 7 | ${ }_{\text {ct }}^{\mathrm{Ct}}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 108 101 |  | ${ }_{8 p}{ }_{8 p}$ | Sand |  | 2.5 | ${ }_{\text {12- }}^{12-47}$ | 80 100 | -- | c | Seo log. Soe log. |
|  | J. Dillon Mc Carty | w | ${ }_{1948}^{1951}$ | 7 | ct |  | 102 |  | ${ }^{2}$ | Sand | 9--48 | 1.5 | 9- 48 | 50 | -- | D | See log. |
| Of41-5 | F. Denmead | ${ }^{*}$ | 1951 | $?$ | $\mathrm{Ct}^{\text {ct }}$ | 3 | 112 |  | $\mathrm{Op}_{8}$ | Sand | 4-18-51 | 3 | 4-18-51 | 40 | 25 | c | Seo log. |
| Oj41-6 | R. Paimer | w | 1948 | 7 | Ct | 3 | 102 | -- | ${ }_{8} 8$ | Sand | 6--48 | 3.5 | 6. -48 | 85 | -- | c |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Static } \\ \text { water le } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ic } \\ & \text { level } \end{aligned}$ | Well | caperity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner or name | Driller | Year completed | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { tude } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { gea } \\ \text { level } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Method } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { struct- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | Diameter <br> of <br> casing <br> (inchos | Total depth (feet) | Screen natting (feet) | Nam: | Compoaition | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dite } \\ \text { menaured } \end{gathered}$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> vurface <br> (feot) | Date meamurad | Yiald ( $\mathrm{g} p \mathrm{~m}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (feat) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Une | Remarka |
| Pb35-1 | W. Riddle | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1949 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | $\Omega^{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -" | -- | - | D |  |
| Pb45-1 | M. R. Spicer | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1927 \end{gathered}$ | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 32 | - - | 09 | Sand | -- | -- | -* | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Pb45-2 | C. Ellis | Ld | -- | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | 11 | - - | $0_{p}$ | Sand | 9-2-55 | 2.9 | -- | -- | -* | D |  |
| Pc11-1 | F. Banning | Ld | 1954 | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | F |  |
| Pc13-1 | Delaware National Guard (Seaford Armory) | W | 1949 | 35 | Ct | 6 | 41 | - | Qp | Sand | 10--49 | 14 | 10--49 | 15 | 15 | w |  |
| Pc21-1 | R. O. Shaffer | Ld | 1953 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 | - - | Qp | Sand | - | -* | -- | -- | -- | C |  |
| Pc22-1 | E. I. duPont \& Co., ine. | Sh | -- | 25 | -- | 6 ? | $60 \%$ | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pc22-2 | Do | Sh | -- | 25 | -- | 6 ? | 60 ? | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -" | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pc23-1 | Town of Seaford | S | 1952 | 20 | Hr | 10 | 87 | - - | Tp( 3 ) | Coarse sand | 2--53 | 18.5 | 3--53 | 307 | 37 | P | C. A. ; See log. <br> Di: $=130 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| ${ }_{\Delta} \mathrm{P}$ c23-2 | Alleng Hatchery | SAW | 1955 | 25 | J | 4 | 55 | - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See log. D. D. } \\ & =110 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Pc23-3 | Town of Seaford | SAW | 1953 | 31 | J | 10 | 95 | - | $\Omega_{P}$ | Sand | -- | "- | 4-23-53 | 800 | 29 | P | C. A.; See log. <br> D. D. $=103 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Pc23-4 | E. I. duPont \& Co., Inc. | Sh | 1955 | 25 | Hr | 8 | 100 | - - | Tp( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Coarse eand | 11--35 | 25 | 1955 | 650 | 32 | I |  |
| Pc23-5 | Do | Sh | 1955 | 25 | -- | 6 | 60 | - - | Clp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | 0 |  |
| Pc23-6 | Do | Sh | -- | 25 | -- | 6 ? | $60 \%$ |  | Op | Sand | -" | -* | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Pe23-7 | Nyion Capital Shopping Center | SAW | 1955 | 32 | J | 6 | 84 | - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$ | Sand | 11-28-55 | 16 | 1955 | 37 | 7 | C | See log. |
| Pc23-8 | Parsons Bros, \& Co. | L.d | 1954 | 20 | Dv | 1.5 | 68 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}- \\ & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{p}) \end{aligned}$ | Coarae and | -- | -- | -- | -- | -" | 1 |  |
| Pc23-9 | Do | PM | 1953 | 20 | J | 3 | 65 | - - | CP- <br> Tp ( 7 ) | Comramenaid | -- | -- | -- | -" | -- | I |  |
| Pce23-10 | Town of Seaford | Sh | 1958 | 29 | -- | 6 | -- | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{OP}^{\text {P }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | "- | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See log. DiD. } \\ & 120 \mathrm{ft.} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Pc23-11 | Do | Sh | 1958 | 31 | - - | 6 | -- | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { See log. D. D. } \\ & 120 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Pc24-1 | Do | Ke | 1901 | 10 | Dg | 18 | 80 | - - | Op | Sand | 9-4-52 | 3.9 | -- | 300 | -- | N | C. A. |
| Pc24-2 | Do | Ke | 1901 | 12 | Dg | 18 | 80 | - - | Op | Sand | 9-4-52 | 6.8 | -- | 300 | -- | N |  |
| Pc24-3 | Do | PM ? | 1938 | 7 | J | 3 | 80 | - - | Op | Sand | 1988 | Flow | 1950 | 350 | -- | A |  |
| Pc24-4 | Do | PC ? | 1938 ? | 7 | J | 3 | 80 | - - | Op | Sand | 1948 | Flow | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pc24-5 | Do | PC ? | 1938? | 7 | J | 3 | 80 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | .- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pc 24.6 | Do | - PC ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | 19387 | 7 | J | 3 | 80 |  | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

| Well number | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Altitude above sea level (ieet) | Method of con-etruction | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered}$ | Total depth (feat) | Scresn cetting (feet) | Aquifer |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Static } \\ & \text { water level } \end{aligned}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Uee | Remarki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { meacured } \end{array}$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> surface <br> (feet) | Date measured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gPm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Drawdown (feet) |  |  |
| Pc24-7 | Town of Seatord | PC ? | 1938? | 7 | J | 3 | 80 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pc24-8 | Do | L | 1946 | 30 | Hr | 24 | 68 | - - | Tima | Sand | 1946 | 19 | 1946 | 420 | 27 | P | C. A.; see log. |
| Pc24-9 | Causeway Motors | Ld | -- | 5 | Dv | 1.25 | 60 | - - | $\Omega_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | C |  |
| Pce24-10 | Nanticoke Cleaners | Ld | -* | 5 | Dv | 2 | 50 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Pc 24-11 | Do | PM | 1957 | 5 | J | 4 | 83 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | C |  |
| Pc24-12 | Nanticoke Memorial Hospital | PM | 1953 | 20 | J | 3. | 89 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | P |  |
| Pc24-13 | Seaford Ice \& Cold Storage | Ld | 1955 | 10 | Dv | 2 | 60 | - - | Sp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | I |  |
| Pc24-14 | Do | $P_{M}$ | 1956 | 10 | J | 4 | 65 | - - | 8 p | Sand | 1956 | 25 | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Pc24-15 | Do | PM | 1954 | 10 | $J$ | 4 | 70 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | 1 |  |
| Pc14-16 | Town of Seaford | Sh | 1958 | 12 | Dv | 6 | -- | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | 0 | D. D, $=140 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Pc25-1 | F. Bernett | W | 1954 | 20 | Ct | 4 | 45 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 6-8-54 | 17 | 6-8-54 | 60 | 11 | R |  |
| Pe32-1 | E. I. duPont \& Co., Inc. | HH | 1939 | 18 | -- | -- | 95 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{P}}- \\ & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{P}}(7) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 1939 | 12 | -- | -- | -- | 0 |  |
| Pe32-2 | Do | L | 1939 | 25 | -- | -- | 263 | - - | Tmm | 5and | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | N |  |
| Pc32-3 | Do | Sh | -- | 25 | -- | 67 | 60 | - - | 0 O | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pc32-4 | Do | Sh | -- | 25 | -- | 6 | 60 | - .. | $Q_{P}$ | 5and | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Pc33-1 | Do | L | 1939 | 23 | Hr | - | 902 | - - - | Te | Sand | -- | -- | - | $\cdots$ | -- | A | Rerromer |
| Pc33-2 | Do | HH | 1939 | 8 | Hr ? | 18 | 87 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10--39 | 5.8 | 10--39 | 1,000 | -- | A | C.A. |
| Pc33-3 | Do | HH | 1939 | 9 | Hr ? | 18 | 83 | - - | TP(7) | Coarse sand | 10--39 | 3.7 | 10--39 | 1,050 | -- | A | C. A. |
| Pc33-4 | Do | H\% | 1939 | 10 | Hr ? | 18 | 87 | - - | Qp | Sand | 12--39 | 3.7 | -- | -- | - | A | C. A. |
| Pc33-5 | Do | Sh | 1952 | 25 | Hr | 10 | 98 | - - | Qp | Sand | 8--52 | 26 | 8--52 | 540 | -- | I | C. A |
| Pc33-6 | Do | HH | 1939 | 9 | Hr ? | 18 | 76 | - - | $\begin{array}{\|l} Q_{P}- \\ T_{P}(\eta) \end{array}$ | Coarse sand | 12--39 | 5.9 | -- | -" | -- | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C.A. D.D. }= \\ & 95 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Pc33-7 | Do | L | 1946 | 8 | Hr | 16.9 | 82 | - - | Qp$\operatorname{Tp}(7)$ | Coarse sand | 4--46 | 10.2 | Apr. 1946 | 1,000 | -- | I |  |
| Pc33-8 | Do | L | 1947 | 8 | Hr | 16 | 90 | - - | $T_{p}(?)$ | Coarse sand | 10--47 | 14.1 | "* | -- | -- | I |  |
| Pc33-9 | Do | Sh | 1949 | 27 | Hr | 10 | 83 | - - | Qp | Sand | 12--49 | 1 | Dec. 1947 | 640 | 27 | I |  |
| Pc33-10 | Do | Sh | 1950 | 25 | Hr | 10 | 78 | $\cdots$ | Qp | Sand | 1--50 | 32 | Jan. 1950 | 600 | 25 | 1 |  |
| Pc33-12 | Do | Sh | 1951 | 27 | Hr | 10 | 101 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}(\mathrm{P})$ | Coarse sand | 4--51 | 28.5 | Apr. 1951 | 625 | -- | I | C. A. |
| Pc33-12 | Do | HH | 1939 | 8 | -- | -- | 90 | - - | Op- <br> Tp( 7) | Coarse sand | 1939 | 3.5 | -. | -- | -- | N |  |
| Pc33-13 | Do | HH | 1939 | 10 | -- | -- | 110 | - - | Op- <br> Tp( ?) | Coarse sand | 1939 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
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Table 23 --RECOHD OF WELLS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ | Owner or nume | Driller | Year completed | Altitude above sea level (feet) | Methed <br> of <br> con- <br> otruct- <br> ion | Diameterofcaring(inchos) | Total depth (feet) | Scremen setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | Staticwater level |  | Wel! capacity |  |  | Use | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}\right\|$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> gurface <br> (feet) | Date meakured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\mathrm{gPm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Pg11-1 | Allen Hatchery | Ld | 1955 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Pg11-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | * | -- | .- | -- | F |  |
| Pg13-1 | J. N, Blizzard | Ld | 1935 | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 52 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 10-17-55 | 7.2 | -- | -- | -- | F | Temp. $59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Pg21-1 | J. W, Smith | LJ | 1951 | 39 | Dv | 1.25 | 26 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D, F |  |
| Pg24-1 | Unknown | Ld | -- | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 22 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-18-55 | 8.1 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Pg31-1 | Del. State Colony | E | 1942 | 33 | J | 6 | 80 | - | Qp | Sand | 2- -42 | 12.6 | 4- -42 | 120 | -- | P |  |
| Pg31-2 | Do | E | 1944 | 33 | J | 6 | 86 | - - | Qp | Sand | 7- -44 | 12 | 7- -44 | 90 | -- | P | See log. |
| Pg31-3 | Do | Ld | -- | 36 | D v | 1.25 | 44 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-18-55 | 13.4 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Pg33-1 | N. King | Ld | 1954 | 34 | Dv | 1.5 | 80 | - - | $Q p$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Pg34-1 | Mrs. E. Lawson | Ld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Before } \\ & 1915 \end{aligned}$ | 30 | Dv | 1. 25 | 46 | - | Qp | Sand | 10-18-55 | 9.8 | -- | ** | -- | E, N |  |
| Pg35-1 | C. J. Miller | Ld | 1953 | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Pg45-1 | Townsend's Inc. | SAW | 1945 | 22 | J | 6 | 150 | - - | Tm | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | N |  |
| $\mathrm{Pg}_{8} \mathrm{~S}^{\text {-2 }}$ | Do | SAW | 1945 | 22 | J | 6 | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Pg45-3 | Do | SAW | 1951 | 22 | . | $\sim$ | 50 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | I | C. A. |
| Pg51-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1950 | 30 | E, Dv | 1 | 22 | - - | Qp | Sand | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 1-30-50 \\ 5-1-53 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.7 \\ & 13.4 \end{aligned}$ | - | -- | -- | 0 | See log. |
| Pg51-2 | Bunting Nurseries | SAW | 1953 | 33 | J | 10-4 | 90 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | 1953 | 1800 | -- | ir |  |
| Pg53-1 | Millsboro Poultry Co. | PM | 1947 | 25 | J | 6 | 70 | $\cdots$ | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A | C. A. |
| Pg53-2 | Houstor- White Lumber Co. | PM | 1948 | 25 | J | 3 | 70 | - - | Op | Sand | 5-4.50 | 15 | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Pg53-3 | Millsboro Poultry Co. | PM | -- | 25 | J | 4 | 64 | - - | 19p | Sand | -* | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pg53-4 | Do | SAW | 1952 | 25 | J | 6 | 35 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | $\cdots$ | 1 |  |
| Pg53-5 | Do | PM | 1953 | 25 | J | 6 | 35 | - | Qp | Sand | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Pg53-6 | Do | PM | 1953 | 25 | J | 6 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | 1 |  |
| Pg53-7 | Do | PM | 1953 | 25 | J | 6 | 35 | - - | Rp | Sand | 1954 | 22 | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Pg53-8 | Town of Millsboro | PM | 1948 | 30 | J | 8 | 87 | - - | Tp(?) | Coarse sand | 1948 | 18 | 1948 | 350 | 35 | $P$ | See log. |
| Pg53-9 | Do | PM | 1953 | 30 | J | 8 | 85 | - - | Tp(?) | Coarse send | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | See log. |
| Pg54-1 | Millabora Poultry Co. | SAW | 1950 | 25 | J | 8 | 105 | - - | Tp(?) | Coarse sand | 5-3-50 | 25.3 | 5-3-50 | 400 | 32 | A | C. A. |
| Pg54-2 | Do | SAW | 1940 | 25 | $J$ | 3 | 34 | - - | Op | Sand | 1940 | 18 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Pg54-3 | Do | PM | 1940 | 25 | $J$ | 3 | 28 | - - | Qp | Sand | 1940 | 14 | -- | -- | -- | I |  |
| Ph11-1 | D. W. Harmon | Ld | 1955 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | D | Temp. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Phl2-1 | L. M. Drain | Ld | 1951 | 28 | Dv | 1.5 | 55 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ph22-1 | W. D. Purton | Ld | -- | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 34 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-1-55 | 4.7 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Ph23-1 | Friendship M. E. Church | Ld | -- | 19 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-1-55 | 5.6 | -- | -- | -- | p |  |
| Ph25-1 | M. E. Stevengon | Ld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Before } \\ & 1947 \end{aligned}$ | 18 | Dv | 1.5 | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { nea } \\ & \text { level } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Method } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { struct- } \\ & \text { ion } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ (\text { incher }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total depth (fext) | Screen setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Use | Remarko |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Well } \\ & \text { number } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{gathered}$ | Depth below land surfach (ieet) | Date measured | Yield (gpm) | Draw- <br> dow's <br> (feel) |  |  |
| Ph31-1 | I. Harmon | Ld | -- | 28 | Dv | 1.25 | 13 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-1-55 | 8.7 | -- | -- |  | N |  |
| Ph32-1 | R. Curdrey | Ld | -- | 22 | Dv | 1. 25 | 19 | - - | Op | Sand | 11-2-55 | 12.0 | -- |  |  | A |  |
| Ph34-1 | Townsend's, Inc. | L.d | 1953 | 23 | Dv | 1.25 | 21 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | 1 | -- |  |  | F |  |
| Ph35-1 | C. E. Lingo | Led | 1938 | 15 | DV | 1.5 | 90 | - - | Qp- | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | F |  |
| Ph41-1 | L. Street | $1 . d$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1945 \end{gathered}$ | 28 | Dv | 1.25 | 44 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-1-55 | 14.5 | - | -- | -- | F | Termp. $61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Ph44-1 | B. Phillips | Ld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Before } \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | 13 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | D | Termp. $59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Ph44-2 | Do | Id | .- | 13 | Dv | 1.25 | 23 | - - | Op | Sand | 11-2-55 | 5.9 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | N |  |
| Ph51-1 | Del. Power \& Light Co. | PM | 1953 | 8 | J | 6 | 71 | - - | Tp(?) | Conarse sand | -- | -. | -- | -- | -. | I | C. A.; See log. |
| Ph51-2 | Do | RCP | 1952 | 10 | B | - | 90 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-3 | Do | RGP | 1952 | 8 | B | - | 60 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-4 | Do | RCP | 1952 | 8 | B | - | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph5 1-5 | Do | RCP | 1952 | 8 | B | - | 90 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-6 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 8 | B | - | 90 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1955 | 5.4 | -- | *- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-7 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 8 | B | - | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | 1955 | 7.4 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Seelog. |
| Ph51-8 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 8 | B | - | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | 1955 | 2.4 | -- | -- | -- | T. A | See log. |
| Ph51-9 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 8 | B | - | 90 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1955 | 8 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-10 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 8 | B | - | 90 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1955 | 5 | -- | -- | -. | T, A | See log. |
| Fh51-11 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 3 | B | - | 93 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1955 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Sec log. |
| Ph5 1-12 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 3 | B | - | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | 1955 | 1.5 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-13 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 7 | B | - | 90 | - - | Qp | Sand | 1955 | . 5 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-14 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 8 | B | - | 123 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1955 | 5.8 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-15 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 9 | B | - | 90 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1955 | 6.5 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Seelog. |
| Ph51-16 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 7 | B | - | 60 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 1955 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | Seelog. |
| Ph51-17 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 9 | B | - | 60 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathbf{p}}$ | Sand | 1955 | 7.5 | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph51-18 | Do | UEC | 1955 | 9 | B | - | 60 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Ph52-1 | H. S. Okie Corp. | Ld | 1915 | 23 | Dv | 1.25 | 23 | - - | Qp | Sand | 11-1-55 | 15.2 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ph53-1 | Rosedale Beach Hotel, Inc. | Ld | 1946 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Ph53-2 | Do | Ld | 1946 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Ph53-3 | Do | Ld | 1946 | 15 | Dv | 1. 5 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Ph53-4 | Do | Ld | 1946 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Ph55-1 | C. Fagan | PM | 1950 | 6 | J | 3 | 80 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q \mathrm{p} \\ & \mathrm{Tp}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | -- | 85 | -- | 1 |  |
| Pj31-1 | U. S. Const GuardStation | w | 1953 | 5 | Ct | 3 | 505 | - - | Tch(?) | Finc sand | b-24-55 | 3.0 | -- | -- | -- | N | See log. |
| Pj31-2 | Do | w | 1953 | 5 | Ct | 3 | 152 | - - | $\mathrm{Tp}(?)$ | Coarse sand | \| -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | A | See log. |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

| Wellnumber | Owner or natne. | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Alti- <br> tude <br> above <br> sea <br> level <br> (feet) | Method ul con-stristion | Diameterofcasing(inahes) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (fect) } \end{aligned}$ | Screan setting (feet) | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Uae | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | Date | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> nurface <br> (feet | Date measured | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { Ispm } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Pj31-3 | U.S. Coast Guard Station | -- | 1949 | 5 | Dv | 1. 25 | -- | - | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | W |  |
| Pj31-4 | Do | -- | -- | 5 | -- | 1.25 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | s-24~55 | 2.1 | -- | -. | -- | N |  |
| Pj41-1 | Inlet Marina Hotel | Po | 1955 | 5 | J | 2 | 200 | - - | Tma | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Pj41-2 | J. Marsh | Po | -- | 6 | J | 1.25 | - | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | C |  |
| Pj42-1 | State Highway Dept. | E | 1947 | 6 | J | 4 | 250 | - - | Tma | Sand | 11- -47 | 18 | 11--47 | 20 | 41 | C | See log. |
| Pj52-1 | Sportsman's Motel | Po | 1955 | 5 | J | 2 | 180 | - | Tma | Sand | 6-24-55 | Flow | -- | -- | - - | c |  |
| Qb14-1 | W, M. Loker | Ld | -- | 18 | Dv | 1. 25 | 20 | - - | Qp | Sand | 8-4-55 | 5.5 | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Qb14-2 | Do | Led | 1925 | 18 | Dv | 1.25 | 21 | - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qb25-1 | I. Hill | Ld | 195] | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 37 | - - | Qp | Sand | 5-11-55 | 3.4 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qb25-2 | Unknown | Ld | -- | 5 | Dv | 1.25 | 11 | - - | Qp | Sand | (5-11-55 | 4.7 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qb34-1 | L. Waller | Ld | -- | 8 | Dy | 1.25 | 15 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 8-10-49 | 12.5 | -- | - | -- | D |  |
| Qb35-1 | C. Brassure | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Before } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | 32 | $J$ | 2 | -- | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Qb35-2 | Do | Ld | - | 32 | Dv | 1.5 | 42 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-6-54 | 15.2 | -- | *- | -- | F |  |
| Qb35-3 | E. Dickerson | Ld | -- | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | 26 | - - | Qp | Sand | 4-26-55 | 8.0 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qb44-1 | E. Jones, Sr. | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1937 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 20 | * - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | C |  |
| Qb44-2 | G. Rider | Ld | -- | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 13 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-6-54 | 9.2 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qb44-3 | C. Shockley | Ld | 1946 | 39 | Dv | 1.5 | 40 |  | Qp | Sand | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qb44-4 | Do | Ld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Before } \\ & 1946 \end{aligned}$ | 39 | Dv | 1.5 | 31 | - - | Qp | Sand | 4-26-55 | 5.2 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qb55-1 | J. W, Smith | Lad | -- | 44 | Dv | 1.5 | 17 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qb55-2 | Do | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1938 \end{gathered}$ | 44 | Dv | 1.5 | 17 | - | Qp | Sand | 4-26-55 | 3.4 | -- | -- | - | D |  |
| Qb55-3 | Do | Ld | , | 44 | Dv | 1.5 | 17 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Qci3-1 | H. M. Spicer | Ld | 1949 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -* | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Qc14-1 | Unknown | Ld | -- | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 5-23-55 | 16.9 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qc14-2 | Eskridge | Ld | -- | 29 | Dv | 1.5 | 25 | - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | - | - | -- | -- | .- | D |  |
| Qc14-3 | Do | Ld | 1953 | 29 | Dv | 1.5 | 25 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qcis-1 | R. Jones | Ld | -- | 30 | $J$ | 2 | 160 | - - | Tm | Sand | -- | -- | -n | -. | -- | F |  |
| Qc22-1 | H. Vickers | Ld | 1946 | 26 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qc22-2 | Do | Ld | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Before } \\ 1930 \end{array}$ | 26 | Dr | 1.25 | 24 | - - | $Q p$ | Sand | 5-12-55 | 13.3 | -- | -- | ** | A |  |
| Qc22-3 | Unk nown | Led | -- | 20 | Dv | 1.5 | 16 | - - | Qp | Sand | 5-12-55 | 8.6 | -- | -- | -- | P |  |
| Oc 24-1 | State Highway Dept, | uscs | 1950 | 15 | Dv | 1 | 6 | - - | Qp | Sand | 6-7-50 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | - | -- | -- | T, A |  |

Table 23 --RECORD OF WEIIIS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Seatil } \\ \text { water } \end{array}$ | cel | Well | capacit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sca } \\ & \text { livel } \\ & \text { (fnet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ofon- } \\ \text { struct } \\ \text { ion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { caning } \\ \text { (inches) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { depeth } \\ & \text { (Sent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screen } \\ & \text { netting } \\ & \text { (feet) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Name | Composition | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> surface <br> (fent) | $\underset{\text { measured }}{\text { Date }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{gpm} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { Down } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Uec | Remarks |
| Qc24-2 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1950 | 14 | B | 1 | 4 | - | Op | Sand | $\begin{aligned} & 6-7-50 \\ & 9-5-50 \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 1.8 | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Qc24-3 | Do | usgs | 1950 | 17 | D | 1 | 4 | - - | Op | Sand | 6-7-50 | 2.1 | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Qc24-4 | Do | USGS | 1950 | 15 | Dv | 1 | 8 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-5-50 $10-2-51$ $4-1-52$ | 2.2 3.0 | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | - |  |
| Qc24-5 | J. Spicer | Ld | -- | 28 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - - | \%p | Sand | 5-12-55 | 14 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Oc25-1 | J. M. Evans | Ld | 1953 | 20 | Dv | 1.5 | 70 | - - | $\frac{Q_{p}(?)}{}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qc25-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 |  | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 5-12-55 | Dry | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qce25-3 | Do | Ld | - | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - | Qp | Sand | 5-12-55 | Dry | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Q<25-4 | w, J. Stnakley | Ld | 1947 | 20 | Dv | 1.5 | 24 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qc25.5 | Do | Ld | 1942 | 20 | Dr | 1.5 | 24 |  | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ |  |
| Qc31-1 | J. N. Entis | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1935 \end{gathered}$ | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | 42 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qc32-1 | Paramount Poultry Co. | $1 d$ | 1954 | 31 | Dv | 1.5 | 40 | - - | Qp | Sand | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qc32-2 | Unknown | Ld | -- | 25 | Dv | 1.5 | 36 | - - | $\bigcirc$ | Sand | 5-4-55 | 11.7 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qc33-1 | L. Hastings | Ld | 1949 | 35 | Dv | 1.5 | 43 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qc35-1 | H. H. Dickerson | Ld | 1952 | 30 | Dv | 1.5 | 45 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- |  | D. $\mathrm{D} .=75 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Qe41-1 | R. Phillips | $1 d$ | 1951 | 39 | Dr | 1.5 | 50 | - - | QP | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | F |  |
| Qc41-2 | Do | Ld | 1955 | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 37 | - - | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 5-4-55 | 8.2 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  |
| Qc42-1 | V. Hasting* | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1954 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qe42-2 | Do | La | - | 48 | D V | 1.5 | 37 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 4-28-55 | 9.6 | -- | -- | -- | A | Pumps sand. |
| Qc43-1 | L. Cordrey | Led | 1925 | 43 | Dr | 1.5 | 56 | - | Op | Sand | 4-29-55 | 6.1 | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | A |  |
| Qc43-2 | M. Ellis | Lid | 1949 | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 | . | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oct4-1 | C. Hill | Ld | 1951 <br> 1935 <br> 185 | 40 40 | Dv Dr | 1.5 1.5 1.5 | 60 21 | $\because$ | Op | Sand | 4--78-55 | $\overline{6.3}$ | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | F | Inadequate. |
| Qc44-3 | W. N. Horsey | Ld | 1954 | 35 | Dv | 1.5 | 35 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | $\ldots$ | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qc45-1 | E. Messick | Ld | 1954 | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -. | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qc45-2 | F. Messick | Ld | 1937 | 38 | DV | 1.5 | 35 | - - | Sp | Sand | . | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qc45-3 | E. Messick | Ld | 1938 | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 31 | - - | Qp | Sand | 4-27-55 | 6.2 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qc51-1 | E. Phillips | Ld | 1953 | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 25 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Qc52-1 | P. F. Henry | Ld | 1952 | 48 | Dv | 2 | 40 | - - | Qp | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | $\because$ | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ |  |
| Qc52-2 | ${ }^{\text {Do }}$ | Ld | 1930 | 48 | $\mathrm{Dv}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | 2 | 40 |  | $Q_{\text {p }}$ |  | $\stackrel{--}{4-29-5}$ |  |  | -- | -- | $\stackrel{F}{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  |
| Qc52-3 | $\underset{\text { Mrs, R. Calloway }}{\substack{\text { Do }}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Ld}}{\mathrm{Ld}}$ | -- | 45 45 | $\mathrm{Dv}_{\mathrm{Dv}}$ | 1.5 1.5 | 32 40 | -- | Qp $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand Sand | 4-29-55 | 4.8 -- | -- | -- | -- | N F | D. D. $=80 \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Qc52-4 | Do | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 40 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | F |  |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Static } \\ & \text { water le } \end{aligned}$ | level | well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner or marne | Driller | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{array}\right\|$ | tude above sea level (fect) | Method of con- hruct- ion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing. } \\ \text { (inches) } \end{gathered}$ | Trotal depth (feet) | Screen setting (feet) | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { measur ed } \end{array}$ | Depth below land kurface (feet) | Date measured | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (gpm) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Drawdown (fect) | Use | Remarks |
| Qc53-1 | J. Dickerson | Ld | 1953 | 47 | Dv | 1.5 | 37 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qc53-2 | Do | Led | 1930 | 47 | Dv | 1.5 | 47 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | F |  |
| Qc53-3 | E. B. Ralph | Ld | -. | 50 | Dv | 1.5 | 33 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 4-29-55 | 5.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Qc54-1 | E. Hasting | Ld | 1952 | 47 | Dv | 1.5 | 70 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q p- \\ & T p(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | -- | +- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qc54-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 47 | Dv | 1.5 | 50 | - - | Qp | Sand | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qdi2-1 | L. Givena | Ld | 1943 | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 24 | - - | Qp | Sand | 5-23-50 | 7.1 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qd21-1 | Valiant Fertilizer Co. | PC | 1924 | 10 | $J$ | 4.5 | 70 | - - | T'p( ? ) | Coarse sand | 1924 | Flow | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qd21-2 | Town of Laurel | Ke | 1925 | 25 | Dg | 18 | 91 | - - | Tma | Sand | 1925 | 20 | 1925 | 500 | 39 | A | C. A. |
| Qd21-3 | Do | Ke | 1934 | 25 | Dg | 25 | 91 | - - | Tma | Sand | 1934 | 20 | 1934 | 540 | 42 | P | C. A, temp. 58 F |
| Qd21-4 | Do | Ke | 1937 | 25 | Dg | 24 | 94 | - - | Trma | Sand | 1937 | 20 | 1937 | 730 | 57 | P | C. A. |
| Qd21-5 | Do | L | 1952 | 25 | Hr | 16 | 103 | - - | Tma | Sand | 7- -52 | 20 | 8-22-53 | 700 | 42 | P | See log. |
| Qd21-6 | Koster's Frozen Fuod \& Locker Plant | SAW | 1946 | 25 | J | 1.5 | 63 | - - | $\begin{aligned} & Q_{p-}- \\ & T \mathrm{p}(?) \end{aligned}$ | Coarse sand | 10--46 | 18 | 10--46 | 50 | -- | c | See log. |
| Qd21-7 | Atlas Plywood Co. | L.d | 1955 | 15 | Dv | 1.5 | 30 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Qd23-1 | C. Lowe | -- | 1947 | 25 | J | 4 | 90 | - - | $\begin{gathered} Q \mathrm{p}- \\ \operatorname{Tp}(?) \end{gathered}$ | Coarse sand | 1947 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Od23-2 | Dos | -- | 1947 | 29 | J | 4 | 90 | - - | QpTp( $?$ | Coarse sand | 1947 | 6 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qd25-1 | Buntings Nurseries | SWD | 1955 | 35 | J | 10 | 100 | - | Tma <br> (?) | Sand | 1955 | 7 | 1955 | 800 | -- | Ir |  |
| Qd31-1 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1955 | 32 | B | 3.5 | 94 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -* | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | T, A | See log. |
| Qd33-1 | L. Smith | Ld | 1940 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | $Q^{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qd33-2 | E. Workman | Lr | 1935 | 32 | Dy | 1.25 | 25 | . - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qd41-1 | Beacon Feed Co. | PM | 1952 | 37 | J | 8 | 100 | - * | Trma | Sand | 8- -52 | 8 | 8--52 | 500 | * | C |  |
| Qd43-1 | G. E. Gordy | Ld | 1952 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 40 | - - | תp | Sand | -. | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qd43-2 | Mrs. F. LeCates | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 24 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qd44-1 | Rrittingham Feed Service | Ld | -- | 38 | Dr | 1.5 | 39 | - - | Qp | Sand | 5-24-55 | 6.2 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qd51-1 | P. Powell | Ld | 1940 | 40 | DV | 1.5 | 52 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | F | See log. |
| Qd51-2 | Do | LAd | 1940 | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | 52 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -. | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qd51-3 | Do | LA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1935 \end{gathered}$ | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 48 | - | Qp | Sand | 5-16-55 | 8.4 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qd51-4 | State Highway Dept. | USGS | 1955 | 41 | B | 3.5 | 83 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | T, A |  |
| Qd51-5 | P. Powell | Ld | 1940 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 |  | Qp | Sand | 5-16-55 | 7.7 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qd52-1 | C. W. Jones | Ld | 1952 | 45 | Dv | 1.5 | 65 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -* | -- | -- | -- | D |  |

Table 23－－RECORD OF WELLS

| $\stackrel{8}{\text { m }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ＊ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i i i ：i i ：i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i ：！：i |  |  |  |
|  | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i ；；i i i i ；i i i i ；i |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 心. } \end{aligned}$ |  | 方 |  |
| 号 | $\stackrel{\Delta}{2}$ |  |  | a |
|  | ， |  |  | ＇＇＇＇＇ |
| 高氝等 | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |  |  | 亿엉NㅇㅇㅇN |
| 苂 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  ーシュュージ・• |  <br>  |  <br> －ニ～～～～ |
|  | 产 |  |  |  |
|  | \％ |  |  | N N ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ \％等采 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 总 | 3 |  |  | 3593 |
|  | 免 |  |  |  |
|  | ＂ |  |  |  |

Table 23 --RECORD OF WELLS

|  | Owner or name | Driller | Vear | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aliti- } \\ & \text { tude } \\ & \text { above } \\ & \text { sea } \\ & \text { sevel } \\ & \text { (feevit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Method } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { stran- } \\ \text { struct } \\ \text { iun } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { cang } \\ \text { finches) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tata! } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (ffeet) } \end{aligned}$ | Screen (fatt) (feet) | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | Une | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Yeld } \\ \text { (gpm) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drow- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (foenet } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Qf14-1 | H. Williams | Ld | 1950 | 43 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | F |  |
| Ofl4-2 | W. E. Timmons | Ld | -- | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 25 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 9-12-55 | 10.6 | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Qf21-1 | Mrs. G. West | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1920 \end{gathered}$ | 53 | Dv | 1.25 | 90 | - - | $\begin{array}{l\|} \mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}} \\ \mathrm{~T}^{\prime} \end{array}$ | Coarse sam | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qf21-2 | Do | Ld | 1940 | 53 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -* | F |  |
| Qf22-1 | Mrs. C. Eriltingham | Ld | -- | 52 | Dv | 1.25 | 26 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 3.3 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qf22-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 52 | Dr | 1.25 | 30 | - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Qi23-1 | M. Mitchell | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1955 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qf23-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 27 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 9-12-55. | 4.3 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Of23-3 | W. H. Hudson | Ld | 1943 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | D |  |
| Of25-1 | G. Dorey | Ld | 1954 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | - | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | Temp. $61^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Of31-1 | J. Williams | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1953 \end{gathered}$ | 52 | Dv | 1.25 | 29 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 7.0 | -- | -. | -- | F |  |
| Of32-1 | I. Puscy | Ld | 1930 | 48 | Dv | 1.25 | 19 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}^{2}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Qi34-1 | J. Tunnel | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | 44 | Dv | 1.25 | 21 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 3.9 | -- | -- | -- | N | Temp. $62.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Of34-2 | B. Mitchell | L.d | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 9 | - - | $\mathrm{OP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 9-12-55 | 3.0 | -* | -- | -- | A |  |
| Qr35-1 | Sen. J. J. Williams | L.d | About 1945 | 45 | Dr | 1.25 | 57 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Of41-1 | W. R. Weat | Ld | 1945 | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qf41-2 | Do | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1947 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 32 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 3.7 | -- | -- | -- | F | Temp. $61{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Qr43-1 | F. Eritingham | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1945 \end{gathered}$ | 47 | Dv | 1.25 | 22 | * - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 4.9 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | E, N |  |
| Qra3-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 47 | Dv | 1.25 | 9 | * | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 7.0 | -- | -- | -- | A |  |
| Or45-1 | J. F. Hitchens | Ld | 1954 | 44 | Dv | 1.25 1.25 | 9 | "* | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Of45-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 44 | Dv | 1.25 | 9 | - - | $\Omega_{\text {p }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Of45-3 | ${ }_{\text {R }}^{\text {Do }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1951 | 44 | $\mathrm{Dv}_{\mathrm{Dr}}$ | 1.25 1.25 | 28 39 |  | Op | Sand | --7 | $-{ }^{-8}$ | -- | -- | -- | $\underset{F}{F}$ |  |
| Qf52-1 | R. Jones | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 9-9-55 | 3.8 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ | Temp. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Qf53-1 | Westwood Methodist Chureh | Ld | -- | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 58 | - - | Op | Sand | 9.8.55 | 5.2 | -- | -- | -- | P |  |
| Qi54-1 | W. Revel | Ld | About $1940$ | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Q854-2 | Do | 1 dd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1917 \end{gathered}$ | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 13 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-8-55 | 3.5 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | F | Water has odor. |

Table 23--RECORD OF WELLS

| Well number | Owner or name | Driller | Ycarcompleted | Altitude above sca level (feet) | Methodofcon-Ntruct-ion | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { casing } \\ \text { (inches) } \end{array}$ | Total depth (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screen } \\ & \text { setting } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Aquifer |  | Staticwater level |  | Well capacity |  |  | Une | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | Date | Depth <br> below <br> isne <br> surface <br> (feet) | Date measured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (gpm) } \end{aligned}$ | Draw down (feet) |  |  |
| Qf54-3 | W. Revel | Ld | 1940 | 42 | DV | 1.25 | 51 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-8-55 | 5,4 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Og11-1 | Williams Hatchery Co. | £d | 1955 | 37 | Dv | 1.25 | 70 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | Slight turbidity.. |
| Qg11-2 | R. N. Lewis | Ld | 1941 | 37 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qg11-3 | Do | Ld | 1930 | 37 | Dv | 1.25 | 43 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-14-55 | 9.2 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qg12-1 | O. R. Fisher | Ld | 1952 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Og13-1 | L. Mitchell | Ld | 1949 | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | 30 | - - | ${ }_{8} \mathrm{P}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qg13-2 | T. R. Taylor | Ld | 1950 | 20 | Dv | 1. 25 | 39 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qg15-1 | G. H. Timmons | Ld | 1949 | 21 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Og15-2 | A. Daisey | Ld | 1953 | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qg15-3 | Do | Ld | 1955 | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 59 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qg21-1 | L. Mumford | Ld | 1947 | 36 | Dv | 1.25 | 23 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-12-55 | 5.1 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qg22-1 | J. Mumford | Ld | 1936 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 36 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-13-55 | 6.3 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qg22-2 | Do | Ld | 1910 | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qg24-1 | Esham's Nurseries | Ld | 1938 | 28 | Dv | 1.25 | 60 | - - | QP | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | Ir |  |
| Qg25-1 | E. C. Timmons | Ld | 1915 | 28 | Dv | 1.25 | 12 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F | Temp. $68.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Og33-1 | J. Hitchens | -- | 1910 | 41 | Dg | 20 | 11 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-13-55 | 2.9 | -- | -- | -- | E | Turbid after rain. |
| Qg34-1 | W. E. Gray | Ld | -- | 38 | Dv | 1.25 | 50 | - - | Qp | Sand | - | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | D | Temp. $58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| $Q_{g} 34-2$ | Do | -- | .- | 38 | Dg | 20 | 7 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 9-13-55 | 4.1 | -- | -- | -- | D | Turbid. |
| Qg35-1 | O. Brumbley | Ld | -* | 33 | Dv | 1.25 | 38 | - - | Qp | Sand | $9-13-55$ | 10.5 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Qg35-2 | H. Vickers | Ld | 1940 | 33 | Dv | 1.25 | 17 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | -. | -- | -- | -- | -- | D, F |  |
| Og35-3 | H. Bunting | Ld | -- | 33 | Dv | 1.25 | 62 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qg35-4 | B. E. Timmone | Ld | -- | 35 | Dv | 1.25 | 32 | - - | $Q_{\mathbf{P}}$ | Sand | -- | --7 | ** | -- | -- | E |  |
| Og35-5 | Do | Ld | 1946 | 35 | Dg | 24 | 7 |  | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 9-14-55 | 3.7 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Og41-1 | G. Holloway | Ld | -- | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 13 | - - | $Q_{\mathbf{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | F | Turbid after rain. |
| Qg45-1 | E. Bunting | Ld | 1951 | 36 | Dv | 1.25 | 35 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Og53-1 | J. W. Vickers | Ld | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \text { About } \\ 1932 \end{array}$ | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 52 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-13-55 | 7.9 | -- | - | -- | F, E | Temp. $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Qg53-2 | Do | Ld | About $1935$ | 40 | Dr | 1.25 | 35 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Qg55-1 | B. Cannon | $\cdots$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | 38 | Dg | 24 | 12 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-12-55 | 5.9 | -- | -- | -- | D | Turbid after rain. |
| Qg55-2 | Do | La | Before 1952 | 38 | Dv | 1. 25 | 18 | - - | Qp | Sand | 9-12-55 | 6.0 | -- | -- | - | A |  |
| Qh11-1 | R. Baker | Ld | 1952 | 20 | Ev | 1.25 | 35 | - - | $Q_{\mathbf{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qh13-1 | L. Hickman | Lat | 1935 | 12 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |

Table 23.-RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Static } \\ \text { water le } \end{array}$ | evel | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { tude } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { sea } \\ \text { level } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Method of con-struction | Diameter of caning (inches) | Total depth (feet) | Screen getting (feet) | Name | Composition | Date | Depth <br> below <br> Land <br> Rurface <br> (fnet) | Date measured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \hline(\mathrm{gpm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & (\text { feet }) \end{aligned}$ | Use | Remarks |
| Qh13-2 | W. Timmons | Ld | 1937 | 13 | Dv | 1.25 | 36 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | 10-6-55 | 13.2 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qh14-1 | Mrs. A. Timmons | Ld | 1941 | 12 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qh15-1 | C. Townsend | Ld | -- | 10 | Dv | 1.25 | 21 | - - | Op | Sand | 10-6-55 | 7.0 | -- | -- | -- | P |  |
| Qh22-1 | O. Massey | Ld | 1945 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qh22-2 | I. T. Cannon | Ld | 1950 | 24 | Dv | 1.25 | 67 | - * | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qh25-1 | Mrs. C. Murphy | Ld | 1953 | 15 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Qh31-1 | Atlantic fae Mfg. Co. | PM | 1948 | 18 | J | 4 | 88 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1948 | 4 | - | -- | -- | ! |  |
| Qh31-2 | Do | Id | 1952 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | $0^{2}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | - | 1 | C. A. |
| Qh31-3 | Do | Ld | 1952 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | $0_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | ** | I | C. A. |
| Qt31-4 | Do | Ld | 1952 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | 1 | C. A. |
| Qh31-5 | Do | Ld | 1952 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - - | Qp | Sand | - | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | 1 | C. A. |
| Ch31-6 | Do | Ld | 1952 | 20 | Dv | 1.25 | 16 | - | Qp | Sand | -- | * | -- | - | -- | I | C. A. |
| Qh31-7 | Dagsboro Vol. Fire Co. | E | 1951 | 25 | J | 3 | 74 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 7-31-51 | 16 | 7-31-51 | 85 | 40 | E | See log. |
| Oh33-1 | R. Townsend | Ld | 1943 | 25 | Dv | 1.25 | 42 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Oh33-2 | H. Bennett | W | 1953 | 22 | J | 4 | 63 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 9-15-53 | 12 | 9-15-53 | 70 | 18 | F | See log. |
| Ch34-1 | W. Scott | Ld | 1940 | 22 | Dv | 1.5 | 60 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Qh41-1 | Delmarva Poultry Co. | W | 1951 | 35 | Ct | 8 | 111 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 5-22-51 | 13.3 | 5-22-51 | 400 | 49 | 1 | See log. |
| Qh43-1 | G. W. Wilson | Li | -- | 15 | Dv | 1.25 | 48 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Qh44-1 | State Highway Dept. | MWD | 1958 | 22 | Hr | 6 | 208 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -* | T, A | See log. |
| Qh51-1 | Town of Frankford | PM | 1934 | 35 | J | 3 | 81 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1934 | 10 | . | - | -- | E, P | See log. |
| Oh51-2 | Do | PC | 1934 | 35 | J | 3 | 81 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -* | - | -- | -- | -- | E, P |  |
| Ch51-3 | Do | PC | 1934 | 35 | $J$ | 3 | 81 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | E, P |  |
| Oh51-4 | Do | $P C$ | 1934 | 35 | $J$ | 3 | 91 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | E, P |  |
| Oh51-5 | Do | PC | 1934 | 35 | J | 3 | 81 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | - | -- | -- | E, P |  |
| Oh5 1-6 | Do | PC | 1934 | 35 | 5 | 3 | 81 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | E, P |  |
| Oh5 1-7 | Do | W | 1954 | 35 | J | 8 | 100 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 5-3-54 | 16 | --- | 65 | -- | $P$ | C. A.; see log. Temp. $59^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Qh51-8 | Delmarva Poultry Co. | E | 1940 | 35 | J | 3 | 92 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | 12- -40 | 65 | -- | A |  |
| Qh51-9 | Do | E | 1940 | 35 | $J$ | 8 | 98 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1940 | 12 | 1940 | 120 | 27 | A | Pumped amand. |
| Qh51-10 | Do | W | 1948 | 35 | Ct | 8 | 105 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 5--48 | 12 | 5- -48 | 240 | 10 | I | See log. |
| Oh51-1: | Hipro Absociates | W | 1949 | 35 | Ct | 8 | 121 | - - | Ipo | Sand | $2-49$ | 10.5 | 2- -49 | 240 | 16.5 | D, I | See log. |
| Qh51-12 | A. Banks | W | 1950 | 35 | J | 4 | 99 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 1950 | 10 | 1950 | 70 | -- | D | See log. |
| Qh52-1 | C. Mitchell | Ld | 1953 | 35 | Dv | 1.5 | 87 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |
| Qh52-2 | Do | Ld | 1915 | 35 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 24 | 18 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 7-15-55 | 7.3 | -- | - | - | E |  |
| Oh53-1 | E. McGee | Ld | 1945 | 33 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| ChS3-2 | F. M. Gum, Jr. | I.d | 1930 | 36 | Dv | 1.5 | 80 | - - | Tpo( ?) | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ch54-1 | A. Phillips | Ld | 1925 | 34 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |

Table 23.-RECORD OF WELLS

|  |  |  |  | Alti- |  |  |  |  |  | Aquifer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Statitic } \\ \text { water } \end{gathered}$ | leve! | Well | capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well number | Owner or narue | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { tude } \\ \text { sbove } \\ \text { sea } \\ \text { sevel } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Method } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { gtruet- } \\ & \text { ion } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { caping } \\ \text { (inches })\end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tota1 } \\ & \text { depth } \\ & \text { (efet }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { setiting } \\ \text { (fretet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Name | Compasition | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Date } \\ \text { meas sured } \end{array}\right\| \text {, }$ | Depth below land nurface (feet) $\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { mearured } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Yield } \\ (\mathrm{g} 日 \mathrm{~m}) \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (feel( } \end{array}$ | Use | Remark: |
| Oh54-2 | H. M. Tingle | Ld | 1915 | 32 | Dv | 1.5 | 70 |  | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | F |  |
| Qh54-3 | Do | Ld | 1940 | 32 | Dv | 1.25 | 39 | - - | Op | Sand | P-15-55 | 13.2 | -- | -- | -- | E |  |
| Qh55-1 | E. Lynch, Jr. | La | 1954 | 23 | Dv | 2.5 | 60 | $\cdots$ | Op | Sand | 1954 | 14 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | $F$ |  |
| Qil2-1 | A. Calhoun | Ld | 1953 | 17 | Dv | 1.5 | 32 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -* | -- | D |  |
| Qil3-1 | E. Hudaon | Ld | 1935 | 12 | Dv | 1. 25 | 35 | - - | Op | Sand | - | 9. | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ |  |
| Oil3-2 | Do | Ld | 1908 | 12 | Dg | 18 | 13 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}^{2}$ | Sand | 10-11-55 | 8.6 | -- | .. | -- | E |  |
| Qi21-1 | K, Marvel | ${ }^{\text {L.d }}$ | 1955 | 11 | ${ }^{\text {Dv }}$ | ${ }_{24}^{1.25}$ | 25 14 | -- | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 10-11-55 | 9. 3 | -- | -- | -- | $\underset{\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}}{\mathbf{F}}$ | Temp. $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |
| Qi21-2 | Do | Ld |  | 11 | Dg | 24 | 14 | - - | $\bigcirc$ | Sand | 10-11-55 | 9. 3 | -- |  | -- | E, F |  |
| Qi21-3 | Mrs. A. Carey | Ld | 1906 | 10 | ${ }^{\text {Dg }}$ | 20 | 8 | - - | $\bigcirc$ | Sand | 10-11-55 | 6.7 | -- |  | -- | ${ }_{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Qi31-1 | Mrs. E. W, Lynch | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1939 \end{gathered}$ | 18 | Dv | 1.25 | 26 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10.10-55 | 7.8 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Qi31-2 | B. L. MeGann | Ld | 1953 | 20 | D ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1.5 | 35 | - - | Op | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | Ir |  |
| Qi34-1 | Millville Fire Co. | E | 1943 | - 12 | $J$ | 6 | 85 | - - | Tpo(?) | Sand | 1953 | 8 | 8-18-43 | 120 | 52 | E |  |
| Qi34-2 | Do | Ld | 1945 | 12 | Dv | 1. 25 | 51 | - - | Op | Sand | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | D |  |
| Qi43-1 | F. Rogers | Ld | -- | 17 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-10-55 |  | 5.2 | -- | -- | A |  |
| Q:51-1 | A. Daisey | Ld | 1954 | 23 | Dv | 1.5 | 96 | - - | Tpo(?) | Sand | -* | -- | -- | -- | -- | ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |  |
| Q:51-2 | 5. Layton | Ld | --7 | 23 | ${ }^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1,25 | 126 | $\because$ | Fpo(?) | Sand | -7\% | - | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
| Qi51-3 | C. M. Tingle | PC | 1937 Before | 24 20 | Dg | 18 | 30 6 |  | Tpo(? | Sand Sand | ${ }_{10}^{1937}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-1.0}$ | $\cdots$ | -- | ${ }_{\text {A }}{ }_{\text {A }}$ |  |
| Qi53-1 | Mrs. L. Evans | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1930 \end{gathered}$ | 20 | Dg | 18 | 6 | - - | ¢P | Sand | 10-10-55 |  | 4.0 | * | -- | A |  |
| Qi54-1 | G. Pitts | Ld | 1934 | 17 | ${ }^{\text {Dv }}$ | 1.25 | 13 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | , | -- | -- | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Qi55-1 | Del. Police Chiefs' Assn | w | 1953 | 5 | J | 4 | 117 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 6-27-53 | 4,5 | 6-27-53 | 100 | -- | R | C. A.; see log. |
| Qi55-2 | Do | Ld | 1947 | 5 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | 1957 | 200 | -- | ${ }_{T}{ }_{\text {P }}^{\text {A }}$ |  |
| Qj 22-1 | Sussex Shores Real Estate | SAW | 1957 | 10 | Hr | 4 | 188 | - - | Trna | Sand | -- | -- | 1957 | 200 | -- | T. A | See log. |
| Qj32-1 | Del. State Nat'i. Guard | E | 1943 | 7 | J | 1 | 64 | - - | ${ }_{\text {Tpo }}$ | Sand | 5-17-43 | 2.3 | 6-17-43 | 160 | -- |  | See log. |
| Qj32-2 | Do | Ld | 1951 | 7 | Dv | 1.5 | 15 | - - | 0 p | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \text { w } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Qj32-3 | Do | Ld | 1951 | 7 | Dv | 1.5 | 15 66 |  | $\Omega_{\text {Tpo }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\stackrel{W}{\text { P }}$ |  |
| Qj32-4 | Town of Bethany Beach Do | PM ? | 1950 1951 | 7 7 | J | 4 | 66 |  | Tpo Tpo | Sand Sand | -- | -- | --7 | 40 | -- | $\stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Qj}^{\mathrm{Qj32-5}}$ | Do | ${ }_{\text {E }}$ | 1951 1943 | 7 | J | 4 | 65 | - - | Tpo | Sand Sand | ${ }_{8}^{8-30-43}$ | 4.9 | 8-30-43 | 97 | -7 | E | See log. |
| Qj32-7 | W. P. Short, Jr. | SAW | 1954 | 5 | J | 6 | 69 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 2-17-56 | 30 | 2-17-56 | 202 | 12 | P | C. A.; yee log. |
| Qj32-8 | Town of Bethany Beach | PM | 1954 | 7 | J | 4 | 70 | - - | tpo | Sand | -- | -- | 1954 | 90 | -- | P | C. A.; temp. $57^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Q132-9 | Susaex Shores Real Estate | -- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1931 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | J | 4.5 | 267 | - - | Tma | Sand | 6-29-55 | 2.9 | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | $N$ | See log. |
| Qj52-1 | R. Norman | Ld | 1958 | 10 | Dv | 1.25 | 11 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | $\beta-18-58$ | 6.0 | -- | -- | -- | D |  |

Table 23.-RECORD OF WELLS

Table 23. --RECORD OF WELIS

Table 23.--RECORD OF WELLS

| Wel! number | Owner or name | Driller | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { completed } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Altitude above sea level (feet) | Method of con-struction | Diameterofcasing(inches) | Total depth (feet) | Screen betting (feet) | Aquifer |  | Staticwater level |  | Well capacily |  |  | Une | Remark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | $\|$Depth <br> below <br> Land <br> nurface <br> (feet) | Date meavured | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (gpm) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draw- } \\ & \text { down } \\ & \text { (Ieet) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Rf14-1 | W. T. Shorl | Ld | -- | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 7-18-54 | 6.6 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |  |
| Rf15-1 | E. Toomey | Ld | 1950 | 40 | Dv | 1.25 | 62 | - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 7-18-54 | 6.9 | -- | -- |  | D |  |  |
| Rf21-1 | K. Weat | Ld | -- | 54 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | 7-18-54 | 10.2 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rf21-2 | L. Daisey | Ld | -- | 52 | Dv | 1. 25 | 48 | - | $q_{p}$ | Sand | 7-18-54 | 11.0 | ,-- | -- | -- | N |  |  |
| Rf23-1 | C. Collins | Ld | 1946 | 45 | Dy | 1. 25 | 15 | - | 0 | Sand | 7-18-54 | 5 | -. | -- | -- | N |  |  |
| Rf24-1 | H. Collins | Led | -- | 43 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - | 0 | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | E |  |  |
| Rf24-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 43 | Dv | 1.25 | -- | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |  |
| Rf24-3 | Do | Ld | -- | 43 | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - * | Op | Sand | 7-18-54 | 4.4 | -- | -- | -- | $F$ |  |  |
| Rf24-4 | R. C. Wootten | Ld | 1951 | 45 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 | - | $\Omega_{\text {p }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | D |  |  |
| Rf25-1 | H. Collins | SCS | 1946 | 39 | Dv | 12 | 6 | - - | Qp | Sand | 8.4-48 | 0.1 | -- | -- | -- | $0, \mathrm{~A}$ |  |  |
| Rf25-2 | Do | SCS | 1946 | 37 | Dv | 12 | 9 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 3-8-48 | 0.0 | -- | -- | -- | O, A |  |  |
| Rf25-3 | Do | Ld | 1940 | 36 | Dr | 1.5 | 96 | - - | Tp( ? ) | Coarse sand | 10-30-52 | 5.9 .- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rf31-1 | H. Pease | Ld | 1948 | 61 | Dv | 1.25 | 50 | - - | $Q_{P}$ | Sand | 7-16-54 | 16.6 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |  |
| Rf31-2 | Do | Ld | 1943 | 61 | Dv | 1.25 | 55 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | - | . 6 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rf32-1 | D. E. Parker | Ld | 1952 | 46 | Dv | 1.25 | 14 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | D |  |  |
| Rf32-2 | Do | Ld | ** | 46 | Dv | 1.25 | . | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rf32-3 | J. W. Brittingham | Ld | -- | 46 | Dv | 1.25 | 33 | - - | Qp | Sand | 7-18-54 | 3.5 | -n | -- | -- | -- |  |  |
| Rf33-1 | T, H. Moore | Ld | 1449 | 42 | Dv | 1.25 | 13 | - - | $Q_{p}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -. | -- | F |  |  |
| Rf35-1 | A. Baker | Ld | 1940 | 34 | Dv | 1.25 | 15 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -. | -- | -. | -- | D |  |  |
| Rf35-2 | Do | -- | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Before } \\ 1900 \end{array}$ | 34 | Dg | 20 | 8 | - | Qp | Sand | 7-22-54 | 5.9 | -- | -- | - | $F$ |  |  |
| Rg15-1 | N. Mitchell | Ld | 1944 | 37 | Dv | 1.25 | 45 | - - | 9 | Sand | 7-2.2-54 | 9.9 | *- | -- | -* | $F$ |  |  |
| Rg21-1 | W. Bunting | Ld | 1948 | 39 | Dv | 1.25 | 20 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -. | -- | - | -- | F |  |  |
| Rg21-2 | Standard Feed Co. | Ld | 1950 | 40 | Dv | 1.5 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rg22-1 | State Highway Dept. | MWD | 1958 | 40 | Hr | 6 | 277 | - - | Trisa | Sand | -- | -- | -. | -- | -- | T, A | See log. |  |
| Rg23-1 | Do | MWD | 1958 | 39 | Hr | 6 | 188 | - | $\begin{gathered} T m a \\ (?) \end{gathered}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | - | -* | T, A | See log. |  |
| Rg24-1 | A. R. Hudson | L.d | 1950 | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | 20 |  | $\mathrm{CP}_{\text {P }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | $F$ |  |  |
| Rg24-2 | G. V. Wood | Ld | $\cdots$ | 38 | Dv | 1.5 | -- | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | E |  |  |
| Rg25-1 | S. H. Long | Ld | 1920 | 41 | $\mathrm{Dg}^{\text {dg }}$ | 20 | 10 | - - | $0_{p}$ | Sand | 7-22-54 | 5.1 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rg 31-1 | T. H. Hall | Ld | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { Before } \\ 1900 \end{array}$ | 38 | Dg | 20 | 8 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | F |  |  |
| Rg35-1 | E. S. McCabe \& Son | w | 1954 | 35 | - | 4 | 118 | $\cdots$ | Tpo | Sand | 10-13-54 |  | 10-13-54 | 220 | 15 | Ir | See log. Sp. |  |
| Rh12-1 | Smith-Roland Co. | ${ }_{P M}$ | 1951 | 37 | J | 6 | 102 | - | Tpo( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Sand | 1951 | 14.5 | -- | -- | -- | I | 1. 1 . |  |

Table 23.--RECORD OF WELLS

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Owner or name | Driller | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Kompicted } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Alti- } \\ \text { tude } \\ \text { tabeve } \\ \text { abes } \\ \text { sevel } \\ \text { feet } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Methodofsen-struct-ion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ca eing } \\ \text { (inches) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Total } \\ \text { depth } \\ \text { (feat } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Screen } \\ & \text { setting } \\ & \text { (fert) } \end{aligned}$ | Aquifer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Static } \\ \text { water level } \end{gathered}$ |  | Well capacity |  |  | U.e | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Name | Composition | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Date } \\ \text { measured } \end{array}$ | Depth <br> below <br> land <br> Rurfice <br> (feet | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Date } \\ \text { meavared } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yieid } \\ & (\mathrm{grpm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Draw- } \\ \text { down } \\ \text { (feer) } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Rhl2-2 | Smith-Roland Co. | PM | 1951 | 37 | $J$ | 6 | 103 |  | Tpo( 8 ) | Sand | 1951 | 14.5 | -- | -- | -- | 1 |  |
| Rh14-1 | F. Gum | Ld | 1954 | 34 | Dg | 20 | 8 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-6-55 | 4.7 | -- | . | -- | D |  |
| Rh14-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 34 | $\mathrm{DV}^{\text {r }}$ | 1.5 | 47 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 10-6-55 | 15.2 | -- | .- | -- | N |  |
| Rh15-1 | H. F. Wilgur | $E(7)$ | 1943 | 30 | J | 4 | 125 | - - | Tpo | Sand | 8-30-43 | 22 | 8-30-43 | 70 | 28 | N | See log. |
| Rh15-2 | Do | Ld | 1954 | 30 | Dv | 1.25 | 12 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | -- | *- | - | -. | D |  |
| Rh21-1 | E. Hudson | Ld | 1930 | 38 | $\mathrm{Dg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 20 | 14 | - - | Qp | Sand | 10-7-55 | 7.4 | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | D |  |
| Rh22-1 | H. H. Simpler | USGS | 1955 | 39 | B | 3.5 | 89 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | -. | -- | -- | T, A | Sen log. |
| Rh24-1 | W. Godfrey | ${ }^{\text {Ld }}$ | 1942 | 37 | Dv | 1.5 | 38 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 10-7-55 | 8.6 | -- | -- | -- | N |  |
| Rh32-1 | Town of Selbyville | PM | 1948 | 35 | J | 8 | 96 | - - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 1948 | 30 | 5-2-50 | 500 | -* | P | C, A.; see log. |
| Rh32-2 | Do | PM | 1951 | 35 | ${ }^{3}$ | 8 | 110 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -- | -- | 1951 | 500 | -* | P |  |
| Rh32-3 | H \& H Poultry Co. | PM | 1948 | 36 | J | 6 | 110 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -* | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |  |
| Rh32-4 | Do | PM | 1945 | 36 | J | 6 | 90 | - - | 8 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | A | Marshy odor. |
| Rh32-5 | Do | PM | 1952 | 36 | J | 6 | 118 | - - | Tpo | Sand | -~" | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | 1 |  |
| Rh32-6 | Town of Selbyville | PM | 1957 | 35 | $J$ | 4 | 185 | - - | Tma | Sand | 8-6-58. | 12.7 | 1957 | 100 | 10 | ${ }^{N}$ | Mar*hy odar. |
| Rh33-1 | J. Beauchamp | $1 . d$ | -- | 35 | Dv | 2 | 14 | - - | Qp | Sand | - | -- | -- | -. | -- | ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Rh35-1 | White Oak Hatchery | L.d | 1947 | 21 | $\mathrm{Dv}^{\text {d }}$ | 1.5 | 12 | - - | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | 12-6-51 | 1.5 | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | C, D |  |
| Rill-1 | K. Wharton | Ld | -- | 25 | $\mathrm{Dg}^{\text {g }}$ | 18 | 18 | - - | $\Omega_{p}$ | Sand | 10-7-55 | 5.3 | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | N |  |
| Ril3-1 | I. C. Hudson | Ld | -- | 11 | Dv | 1.5 | 38 | - - | $\mathrm{Op}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | D |  |
| Ri14-1 | G. W. Shockley | Ld | 1950 | ${ }^{8}$ | Dv | 1.25 | 18 | - - | $\bigcirc \mathrm{p}$ | Sand | -- | - | -- | -- | - | F |  |
| Ri22-1 | Mre. J. B. Evans | Ld | 1949 | 11 | Dv | 1.25 | 23 | - - | Qp | Sand | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ri22-2 | Do | Id | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1920 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 | - - | Op | Sand | 10-7-55 | 6.4 | -- | -- | -- | F |  |
| Ri24-1 | 5. Murray | Ld | $\begin{gathered} \text { Before } \\ 1953 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | Dv | 1.25 | 14 | - - | Op | Sand | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | F |  |
| Ri24-2 | Do | Ld | -- | 7 | Dv | 1.25 | 8 |  | Qp | Sand | 10-7-55 | 3.5 | -- | -- | -- | E |  |
| Ri31-1 | M. Gray Hatchery | Ld | 1944 | 17 | Dv | 2 | 90 | - - | Tpo( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | c |  |
| Ri32-1 | A. Kites | Ld | 1936 | 26 | Dv | 1.25 | 65 |  | 8 p | Sand | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\cdots$ | D |  |
| Ri34-1 | E. Cooper | Ld | 1952 | 7 | Dv | 1.25 | 28 |  | $\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Sand | --- | -- | -- | - | -- | D |  |
| Rj31-1 | M. Bunting | Ld | 1939 | 4 | Dv | 1.25 | 26 |  | Qp | Sand | 12-6-51 | 0.5 | -- | -* | -- | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |
| Rj32-1 | E. B. McCabe | $\stackrel{L d}{\text { Ld }}$ | 1952 | 10 | Dv | 1.25 1.25 1.25 | 8 5 |  | $\mathrm{Qp}_{\mathrm{pr}}$ | Sand | ---52 | 2.0 | -- | -- | -- | A | Marahy odor. Marshy odor. |
| Rj32-2 Rj32-3 | N. Bunting | ${ }_{\text {Ld }}$ | 1952 | 10 5 | Dv | 1.25 1.25 | 5 | -- | Qr | Sand |  | 2.0 | -- | -- | -. | A c | Marshy odor. |
| Rj32-4 | E. B. McCabe | Ld | 1952 | 10 | Dv | 1.25 |  | - - | Qp | Sand |  | -- | -. | -- | -- | A |  |
| Rj32-5 | , | w | 1951 | 10 | J | 4 | 287 | - - | Tma | Sand | 12-12-51 | 2.5 | 12-12-51 | 40 | 27 | T, A | See log. Water at 169.5 and 194 ft . |
| Rj32-6 | Do | w | 1946 | 10 | J | 4 | 95 | - - | Tp( ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Coarse sand | -- | -- | 1946 | 60 | -- | D |  |



## Table 24. -- Log of wells

The altitude, in feet above sea level, of the land surface at each well is given in parentheses. The descriptions of rock material are from observation by the geologist, or driller, whose name is given after the altitude. The geologic formations are designated by the writers on the bases of texture, color, and mineralogy. Numerous instances where the descriptions were not apt, or the properties not diagnostic, so that the writers were in doubt, are treated with a "(?)" or an alternative interpretation is introduced by the conjunction "or".

|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Clay, yellow | 5 | 5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Pamlico formation or Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, white | 38 | 43 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, yellow | 12 | 55 |
| Sand, white and yellow | 31 | 86 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey(?) sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, gray | 19 | 105 |
| Sand, coarse, gray | 35 | 140 |
| Sand, coarse, gray, yellow, and white | 33 | 173 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Sand, gray and black | 11 | 184 |
| Mud | 30 | 214 |
| Choptank formation |  |  |
| Frederica (?) aquifer |  |  |
| Sand, gray and white; shell | 30 | 244 |
| MeI5-13 (Alt. $25 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Layne |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Pamlico formation or Parsonaburg sand |  |  |
| Clay, sandy | 28 | 28 |
| Sand, brown | 4 | 32 |
| Clay, sandy, brown | 10 | 42 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey (?) sand |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, blue | 22 | 64 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Sand, muddy | II | 75 |
| Clay, sandy, blue; shells | 143 | 218 |
| Choptank formation |  |  |
| Frederica aquifer |  |  |
| Sand, dark-gray; gravel; shells | 19 | 237 |
| Clay, brown | 13 | 250 |

Table 24. -- Continued

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Thickness } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Depth } \\
\text { (feet) }
\end{array} \\
\text { (feet) }
\end{array}
$$

Me15-16 (Alt. 25 ft.) White
Recent series
Top soil
0.5
0.5

Pleistocene series
Pamlico formation or Parsonsburg sand Clay, sandy
$4.5 \quad 5$
Clay
3 8

Clay, sandy $\quad 6 \quad 14$
Beaverdam (?) sand Sand, clayey 8

```22
```

Sand and gravel 6
Sand, clayey, cemented ..... 37

9Pliocene (?) or Pleistocene seriesSand, very fine, red 3673
Miocene (?) seriesCohansey (?) sand
Sand, fine, cemented, gray-green ..... 86
Sand, loose, black ..... 88
Miocene series
St. Marys formation
Clay, sandy, gray ..... 35 ..... 123
Clay, gray ..... 130
Clay, gray; shells ..... 140
Choptank (?) formation
Frederica(?) aquiferSand, gray; clay; shells 90230
Clay and shells, hard ..... 236
Clay, sandy; shells ..... 245
Missing ..... 298
Me15-17 (Alt. 30 ft ) White
Recent series
Fill 0.3 ..... 0.3
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg (?) sand
Sand, clayey, reddish ..... 9.7 ..... 10
Beaverdam sandClay, white8
18
Sand, very fine; gravel; silt ..... 10 ..... 28
Sand, veryfine, yellow ..... 32 ..... 60Sand, very fine, medium, coarse 13
Pliocene (?) series1373
Me15-18 (Alt. 15 ft .) White
Recent series
Top soil0.5
Pleistocene series
Pamlico formation or Parsonsburg sand

## Table 24. - - Continued

| - - | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me15-18 (continued) (feet) |  |  |
| Sand, clayey, dry | 4. 5 | 5 |
| Water table | - | 5 |
| Sand, silty | 10 | 15 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand and gravel, layered | 2 | 17 |
| Sand, fine and medium, silty, clayey | 3 | 20 |
| Sand, coarse; gravel; water-bearing | 9 | 29 |
| Clay, gumbo, gray | 11 | 40 |
| Clay, sandy, gray | 15 | 55 |
| Sand, fine and very fine, gray-white, some coarse sand and small gravel from 61 to 63 ft . | 8 | 63 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay, gumbo, gray | 13 | 76 |
| Sand, fine, gray, dirty; wood | 12 | 88 |
| Clay, gumbo, gray | 2 | 90 |
| Sand, very fine, dirty, black | 5 | 95 |
| Sand, dirty, muddy | 15 | 110 |
| Clay, gumbo, sandy, gray; shells | 40 | 150 |
| Sand, very fine, muddy; shells | 18 | 168 |
| Clay, gumbo, sandy, gray; shells | 30 | 198 |
| Gumbo, sandy, gray; shells | 6 | 204 |
| Clay, gray, with a lot of shells | 8 | 212 |
| Choptank (?) formation |  |  |
| Missing | 6 | 218 |
| Me15-27 (Alt. $25 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series | . |  |
| Pamlico formation or Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Clay, sandy; gravel | 12 | 13 |
| Sand and gravel, dirty | 4 | 17 |
| Clay, white and yellow; some gravel | 4 | 21 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium, brown | 2 | 23 |
| Sand, very fine to very coarse, mostly fine, orange-yellow | 13 | 36 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, orange-red | 2 | 38 |
| Clay, sandy, orange | - | 38 |
| Me33-2 (Alt. $48 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Walston silt |  |  |

## Table 24. -- Continued

|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me33-2 (continued) (feet) |  |  |
| Clay, sandy | 17. 5 | 18 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, water-bearing | 6 | 24 |
| Sand, fine, dirty | 57 | 81 |
| Sand, fine to coarse; silty | 9 | 90 |
| Sand, fine to very coarse, clayey; gravel | 4 | 94 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Shale, sandy; soft, gray, fine, sand | 3.5 | 97.5 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys ( ? ) formation |  |  |
| Sand, fine, silty, gray-black | 10.5 | 108 |
| Mg42-1 (Alt. $17 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Coskery |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium, reddish-brown | 3 | 3.5 |
| Sand, fine to medium, brown, with pellets of gray clay | 2.5 | 6 |
| Sand, medium, gray-brown | 2 | 8 |
| Sand, clayey, dark gray-brown | 2 | 10 |
| Sand, coarse, silty, tan; granules and gravel; some ilmenite | 2 | 12 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, white; granules, water-bearing | 7 | 19 |
| Clay, red-brown, stiff | 7 | 26 |
| Clay, sandy, red-brown, and gravel | 5 | 31 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium, silty, white | 2 | 33 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, graymwhite; gravel and pebbles | 1 | 34 |
| Sand, coarse, white; gravel; pebbles | 9 | 43 |
| Sand, coarse; pebbles; pellets of white clay | 1 | 44 |
| Sand, coarse, white; gravel; pebbles | 5 | 49 |
| Sand, medium, tan; gravel | 1 | 50 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, orange-red to brown | 2 | 52 |
| Sand, medium, clayey, tan to reddish; pebbles | 5 | 57 |
| Clay, sandy, reddish; pebbles | 2 | 59 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse; gravel; pebbles; gravel and pebbles; white particles | 15 | 74 |


| Thickness | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: |
| (feet) |  |

Mg42-2 (Alt. 23 ft.) Wilkens and Coskery Recent series

Top soil; sand, fine to medium, brown 1 Pleistocene series

Parsonsburg sand
Sand, fine to medium, silty, light-brown; woody material 34
Sand, fine to medium, clayey, light-brown ..... 6
Slit; sand, fine ..... 7
Sand, fine to medium, silty, tan ..... 10.5
Sand, medium, very silty ..... 13
Clay, sandy (fine), gray with trace of brown ..... 14
Pamlico formation or Beaverdam sand
Sand, coarse and granules, silty, tan ..... 17
Sand, medium to very coarse, silty, white ..... 19
Sand, coarse, tan, water-bearing ..... 21
Sand, fine to coarse, silty, white; gravel ..... 26
Beaverdam (?) sand
Sand, coarse, brown; large pebbles ..... 28
Sand, coarse to very coarse, white; gravel ..... 34
Sand, medium to very coarse, grayish-white;
gravel; black particles ..... 10 ..... 44
Mg42-3 (Alt. 19 ft.$)$ Wilkens
Recent series
Top soil ..... 1 ..... 1
Pleistocene geries
Parsonsburg sand
Sand, medium, clayey, brown ..... 3
Clay, sandy, brown ..... 4
Sand, medium, silty, brown ..... 5
Clay, gray ..... 6
Sand, medium, silty, gray and brown ..... 7
Clay, sandy, dark-gray ..... 1.5 ..... 8.5
Sand, medium, dark-gray ..... 10
Sand, medium to coarse, buff ..... 15
Clay, sandy, orange-brown ..... 16
Silt, clayey, dark-gray ..... 19
Mg42-4 (Alt. 20 ft.) Wilkens
Recent series
Top soil ..... 11
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Sand, medium, silty, brown ..... 4
Silt, sandy, clayey, brown ..... 6
Sand, fine, gray ..... 9
Sand, medium to very coarse, brown; gravel ..... 13

Table 24, -- Continued

|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mg42-4 (continued) |  |  |
| Parnlico formation or Beaverdarn sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium, silty, light-gray to white | 2 | 15 |
| Silt, light-gray to white; sand, very fine | 4 | 19 |
| Mg42-5 (Alt. $17 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Wilkens |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium, silty, brown | 3 | 4 |
| Clay, sandy, brown to gray | 2 | 6 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, yellow-brown | 2. 5 | 8.5 |
| Gravel, sandy (tan), water-bearing | 2 | 10.5 |
| Pamlico formation or Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, vexy coarse, white and tan mixed | 2.5 | 13 |
| Sand, very fine to medium, very silty, white to light-gray; fine particles of black minerala | 9 | 22 |
| Beaverdam (?) sand |  |  |
| Silt, red-brown, some gray; sand, coarse, brown; gravel | 2 | 24 |
| Mg52-2 (Alt. 7. 2 ft.) Hopkins and Rasmussen |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Loam, sandy | 1 | 1 |
| Sand, coarse to fine, silty, light-gray | 2 | 3 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Pamlico formation or Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse to fine, silty, buff | 5 | 8 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, gray | 1 | 9 |
| Sand, medium to fine, silty, buff-gray; (layer of gravel at 12 ft .) | 4 | 13 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, buff-gray; (layer of silt at 16 ft .) |  |  |
| Sand, medium, gray | 3.5 | 21.5 |
| Gravels and sand | 7.5 | 29 |
| Sand, medium to coaree; gravel, fine | 5 | 34 |
| Sand | 5 | 39 |
| Beaverdam (?) sand |  |  |
| Grit and sand, coarse, tan-orange; some gravel, fine | 14 | 53 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, orange and gravel | 14 | 67 |
| Grit and sand, orange | 9 | 76 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, orange | 13.5 | 89.5 |
| Clay, sandy (fine), gray | 3.5 | 93 |
| Sand | 1 | 94 |
| Clay | 0.5 | 94.5 |
| Sand, medium, silty, orange | 7.5 | 102 |


| Table 24. -- Continued | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mg53-5 (Alt. $21 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Hopkins and Coskery |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top aoil; loam, sandy, brown | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, brown | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| Sand, medium, silty, dark-brown; granules | 1.2 | 5 |
| Sand, fine, very silty, brown; lumps of gray and |  |  |
| Silt, brown and gray mixed | 3 | 12 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, very silty, gravelly, gray-brown, water-bearing <br> Pamlico formation or Beaverdam sand | 2.2 | 14. 2 |
| Sand, medium, some coarse, silty, tan; some granules <br> 2.3 <br> 16.5 |  |  |
| Sand, fine, and silt, tan | 2.5 | 19 |
| Clay, ailty, brown | 6 | 25 |
| Sand, coarse, silty, white | 17 | 42 |
| Mg53-6 (Alt. 20.5 ft.) Hopkins and Coskery |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soilj loam, sandy, silty, black-brown | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Silt, sandy (fine), brown | 2 | 2.8 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, brown; some gramules | es 3.2 | 6 |
| Silt, brown; granules; some gravel | 2 | 8 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, brown | 2. 5 | 10.5 |
| Silt, gray; some sand and granules | 2. 5 | 13 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silly, brown; gravel | 1.8 | 14.8 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, gilty, brown | 2 | 16.8 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, clayey, brown | 1.2 | 18 |
| Clay, blue-gray | 7 | 25 |
| Clay, brown | 3 | 28 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium (soupy), silty, brown | 6 | 34 |
| Sand, coarse, silty, white; granules; small gravel | $1 \quad 4$ | 38 |
| Mg53-7 (Alt. 23 ft.) Hopkins and Coskery |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil; loam, sandy, medium, brown | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, brown; granules | 1. 5 | 2. 5 |
| Sand, fine to medium, red-brown; granules | 3.5 | 6 |
| Sand, fine, silty, brownt gramules; some small gravel | 5.5 | 11.5 |

Table 24. -- Continued

|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mg53-7 (continued) |  |  |
| Sand, flne, silty, brownj granules | 3.5 | 16 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, silty, buff; granules; gravel | 2 | 18 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, gray-brown | 3 | 21 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, very silty, light-gray; granules; gravel | 2 | 23 |
| Sand, coarse to medium, light-brown | 10 | 33 |
| Sand, medium, silty, light-brown | 4 | 37 |
| Gravel | 0.5 | 37. 5 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse to fine, brown | 5.5 | 43 |
| Sand, quartz, fine to coarae, silty, brown | 5 | 48 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, silty, orange-brown; gravel; pebbles | e8 15 | 63 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, orange-brown; gravel and pebbles | 10 | 73 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, silty, orange-brown; gravel and pebbles | 10 | 83 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, light-brown; granules; some gravel | 20 | 103 |
| Mg53-8 (Alt. 20.5 ft.$)$ Coskery |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Top soil; sand, medium, dark-brown; roots | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, red-brown | 4. 5 | 5.5 |
| Sand, medium, silty, brown | 1.7 | 7.2 |
| Silt, gray; sand, medium, brown | 0.8 | 8 |
| Sand, medium, silty, orange-brown | 2 | 10 |
| Silt, orange-brown and gray; some root fibres | 2 | 12 |
| Clay, stiff, gray | 0.5 | 12.5 |
| Silt, orange-brown | 0.5 | 13 |
| Sand, medium, silty, rust-brown to orange-brown | $n \quad 0.5$ | 13. 5 |
| Sand, medium to very coarse, brown; gravel; water-bearing | 2 | 15.5 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, gray; some gravel | 1 1.3 | 16. 8 |
| Sand, fine, silty, gray | 1. 7 | 18.5 |
| Mg53-9 (Alt. 20 ft ) Coskery |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil, sandy, dark-brown | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, silty, red-brown to brown | 2.7 | 3 |


| Table 24. -- Continued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| Mh41-1 (Alt. 5 ft .) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Beach sand, dry | 2 | 2 |
| Sand; gravel | 2 | 4 |
| Mud, sandy, gravelly, with decayed vegetation | 16 | 20 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, grayish-white; gravel; silt | 16 | 36 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand, very fine, white; silt; some clay balls; brackish water | 14 | 50 |
| Beaverdam (?) sand |  |  |
| Sand, very fine, buff and white | 28 | 78 |
| Sand, buff, dirty | 60 | 138 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to very coarse, buff, waterbearing (brackish) | 12 | 150 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Shale, sandy, dark-gray | 16 | 168 |
| Clay, gray, tough | 62 | 230 |
| Clay, sandy, gray; shell | 15 | 245 |
| Rock | 1. 5 | 246. 5 |
| Clay, gray; shell | 12.5 | 259 |
| Clay, light-lavender; shell | 11 | 270 |
| Choptank (?) formation |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, gray; shell | 20 | 290 |
| Shale, sandy (fine), hard; shell | 40 | 330 |
| Shale, sandy, soft | 2 | 332 |
| Rock | 1 | 333 |
| Clay, gray; shell | 2 | 335 |
| Nc15-1 (Alt. $55 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Ennis |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Walston silt |  |  |
| Clay | 3 | 3 |
| Sand, white | 26 | 29 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, yellow | 9 | 38 |
| Nc25-1 (Alt. 50 ft.) Shannahan |  |  |
| Pleistocene-Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Missing | 71 | 71 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| Clay, black; shell | 55 | 126 |
| Nc25-3 (Alt. 45 ft.$)$ Ennis Pleistocene series |  |  |

## Table 24. -- Continued

| Table | Thickness (feet) | Depth. (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nc25-3 (continued) |  |  |
| Walston silt |  |  |
| Clay, blue and yellow | 20 | 20 |
| Sand, yellow; streaks of clay, blue | 20 | 40 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, yellow; gravel | 12 | 52 |
| Nc25-8 (Alt. $45 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Ennis |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Walston silt |  |  |
| Sand and clay | 20 | 20 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand and gravel | 34 | 54 |
| Nc55-1 (Alt. $40 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Wilkens and Coskery |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium, red-brown; some gravel | 2 | 3 |
| Sand, fine to medium, tan to red-brown | 3 | 6 |
| Sand, medium, reddish-brownt small clay balls | 2 | 8 |
| Sand, medium, clayey, reddish-brown | 1 | 9 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, buff; small gravel; water-bearing | 2 | 11 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, brown | 1 | 12 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, silty, tan to buff | 2 | 14 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, dark-brown | 5 | 19 |
| Sand, clayey, light-brown, soft | 1 | 20 |
| Silt, sandy, brown | 4 | 24 |
| Sand, medium, clayey, brown; some gravel | 5 | 29 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, brown; gravel | 6 | 35 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Silt, sandy, purple-red | 5 | 40 |
| Sand, coarse to granule, orangembrown; gravel | 14 | 54 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, light-gray, orange-brown | 10 | 64 |
| Nd41-1 (Alt. $45 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil and clay | 3 | 3 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand and clay | 11 | 14 |
| Sand and gravel | 8 | 22 |
| Walston silt |  |  |
| Clay | 10 | 32 |
| Beaverdam and |  |  |
| Sand, clayey; gravel | 3 | 35 |

Nd41-1 (continued)
Sand, orange, water-bearing ..... 38Silt, sand, fine; gravel
Sand, fine, and silt in layers ..... 6550
Clay, sandy ..... 77
Sand, white; gravel ..... 79
Pliocene (?) serles
Brandywine formation
Sand, medium to coarse; gravel, large;water-bearing1291
Ne14-1 (Alt. 50 ft.) Wilkens and Coskery
Recent series
Fill ..... 1 ..... 1
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Sand, medium, gray-black ..... 1.5 ..... 2.5
Sand and clay, gray to yellow ..... 6
Walston silt
Clay, sandy, light gray ..... 14
Sand, fine to medium, clayey, light-buff; black particles ..... 18
Sand, medium to coarse, silty, buff to reddish- brown ..... 3 ..... 21
Clay, sandy (medium), orange-brown ..... 24
Sand, medium to coarse, silty, clayey, buff to orange-brown ..... 31
Beaverdam sand
Sand, medium to coarse, with granules, silty, buff to orange-brown ..... 6
Sand, medium to coarse with large granules,37
silty, buff; clay, white ..... 441
Sand, coarse, with granules, silty, orange- brown, water-bearing ..... 49
Sand, flne to medium, silty, dark orange-brown ..... 54
Sand, medium to coarse, with granules, silty, buff with or ange-red streaks ..... 10 ..... 64
Sand, fine to medium, silty, clayey, white to buff 10 ..... 74
Sand, medium to coarse, silty, buff to orange- brown ..... 7 ..... 81
Pliocene (?) seriesClay, sandy, red-orange-brown 384
Sand, fine to medium, silty, brown ..... 89
$\mathrm{Ne} 25-1$ (Alt. 51 ft.$)$ White
Recent aeries
Cinder fill ..... 1Thickness(feet)Depth(feet)

## Table 24. -- Continued

|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ne25-1 (continued) |  |  |
| Walston silt |  |  |
| Sand, clayey, cream-colored | 24 | 25 |
| Sand, clayey, grayish-white | 14 | 39 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, fine, medium, and coarse, orange-red, dirty, water-bearing | 4 | 43 |
| Silt, orange, yellow; sand; gravel | 16 | 59 |
| Sand, fine to very coarse, mostly very coarse, silty, orange; gravel, large; water-bearing | 8 | 67 |
| Clay, yellow | - | 67 |
| Ne34-1 (Alt. 48 ft .) Haigler |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, red and gray | 5 | 6 |
| Sand, medium, clayey, red | 3 | 9 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, gray | - | 9 |
| Missing | 4.8 | 13.8 |
| Ne34-2 (Alt. 49 ft .) Wilkens |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, silty, brown to white | 5 | 6 |
| Sand, medium, silly, yellow-brown | 3 |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, orange-brown, loose | 6 | 15 |
| Walston silt |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, silty, grayish-tan to white | 7 | 22 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, brown to greenish-gray | 7 | 29 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, grayish-brown | 17 | 46 |
| Pliocene ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ ) series |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, rusty orange-brown; gravel |  |  |
| Sand, medium, coarse, and granules, orangebrown |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, orange-brown | 20 | 93 |
| Ne54-1 (Alt. 45 ft .) Wilkens |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series Parsonsburg sand |  |  |

## Table 24.-- Continued

| Thickness | Depth |
| :---: | :---: |
| (feet) | (feet) |

Ne54-1 (continued)
Sand, flne, clayey, $\tan$ ..... 3 ..... 4
Sand, fine, silty, tan and gray ..... 6
Walston silt
Sand, very silty, gray; a little gravel ..... 3 ..... 9
Beaverdam sand
Sand, medium, cream-colored, water-bearing ..... 8 ..... 17
Sand, cream-colored and orange-brown ..... 5 ..... 22
Sand, medium, orange-brown; layers of gravel ..... 14 ..... 36
Sand, medium, tan to brown ..... 13 ..... 49
Sand, greeniah-gray; gravel; clay, gray ..... 13 ..... 62
Sand, very silty, white; gravel ..... 2 ..... 64
Pliocene (?) series
Sand, medium to coarse, orange-brown 4 ..... 68
Gravel ..... 68
Sand, medium, coarse, and granules, orange- brown ..... 26 ..... 94
NgI2-1 (Alt. 10 ft .) White
Recent series
Top soil0.50.5
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Clay, sandy, brown ..... 4. 5 ..... 5
Water table ..... - ..... 5
Sand, fine, white ..... 9 ..... 14
Pamlico (?) formation
Sand, clayey, white; gravel ..... 2438
Beaverdam sand
Sand and gravel, water-bearing ..... 2462
Ng24-2 (Alt. 17 ft.) White
Recent aeries
Top soil0.70.7
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Clay, nandy, yellow ..... 2. 3 ..... 3
Sand, yellow ..... 8 ..... 11
Clay, sandy, yellow ..... 7 ..... 18
Clay, red ..... 24
Pamlico formation
Clay, light-gray, dark-gray, brown ..... 11 ..... 35
Clay, sandy, gray-brown ..... 10 ..... 45
Iron ore ..... 45
Clay, sandy, gray-brown ..... 50
Clay, sandy, yellow; sand, clayey ..... 68
Pliocene (?) seriesSand, coarse to very coarse, clayey; gravel 1381

Table 24. .- Continued

| - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thickness } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ng} 24-2$ (continued) |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, brownish-red, dirty | 5 | 86 |
| Sand, clayey; gravel | 8 | 94 |
| Clay, white and red; sand; gravel | 11 | 105 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Sand and clay | 7 | 112 |
| Sand, very fine, clayey; silt | 18 | 130 |
| Sand, very fine; silt | - | 130 |
| Ng35-1 (Alt. 12 ft ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Clay, sandy, dry | 3 | 3.5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, very fine, white | 1 | 4.5 |
| Sand, clayey | 18.5 | 23 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand, fine; silt | 7 | 30 |
| Clay, slightly sandy, soft | 8 | 38 |
| Beaverdam (?) sand |  |  |
| Sand, clayey, silty, yellow; gravel | 12 | 50 |
| Sand, clayey; silt; some gravel | 13 | 63 |
| Miocene (?) Beries |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium | 18 | 81 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys (?) formation |  |  |
| Sand, very fine; sill | 41 | 122 |
| Ng53-1 (Alt. $32 \mathrm{ft)}$. White |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, blue | 14 | 24 |
| Sand, very fine, cemented | 4 | 28 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, very fine to coarse, reddish-brown | 15 | 43 |
| Sand, fine, white; gravel, coarse | 2 | 45 |
| Sand, very fine, brown | 3 | 48 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey and |  |  |
| Manokin aquifer |  |  |
| Sand, white; gravel | 6 | 54 |
| Sand, very fine, white | - | 54 |

$\mathrm{Ng} 55-1$ (Alt. 28 ft.$)$ White Recent series

Table 24. - - Continued

|  | (feet | feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ng55-1 (continued) |  |  |
| Fill and top soil | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, light-yellow | 3 | 4 |
| Clay | 1 | 5 |
| Sand, reddish-yellow | 4 | 9 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay; clay, sandy | 22 | 31 |
| Sand, fine, cemented | 3 | 34 |
| Clay, sandy, boft | 7 | 41 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, yellow, dirty | 19 | 60 |
| Sand, eflty, white; gravel | 7 | 67 |
| Sand and gravel | 8 | 75 |
| Nh42-1 (Alt. $24 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Clay | 1.3 | 2 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, clayey | 2 | 4 |
| Sand, fine, white; sand, clayey, white | 16 | 20 |
| Sand, fine to medium, white | 4 | 24 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, white, stiff | 6 | 30 |
| Beaverdam and |  |  |
| Sand, very fine, silty, white | 20 | 50 |
| Sand, very fine to coarse, silty, white; gravel | 7 | 57 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, very fine to coarse, clayey, yellow-orange; gravel | 5 | 62 |
| Miocene (?) geries |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Manokin aquifer |  |  |
| Sand, very fine; silt; pebbles; gravel | 16 | 78 |
| Sand, medium to coarse; gravel; water-bearing | 9 | 87 |
| Nh52-1 (Alt. $21 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Clay and sand | 4.5 | 5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, dry | 9 | 14 |
| Sand, very fine, water-filled | 12 | 26 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |

Table 24.-- Continued

| $\mathrm{Nh} 52-1$ (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clay, light-gray | 4 | 30 |
| Clay, white | 2 | 32 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, white; pebbles | 2 | 34 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, white, water-bearing | 5 | 39 |
| Sand, fine | 17 | 56 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Clay | 2 | 58 |
| Sand, fine to coarse | 22 | 80 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Manokin aquifer |  |  |
| Sand, fine, white | 26 | 106 |
| Sand, fine to medium, clean | 7 | 113 |
| Sand, coarse; gravel | 3 | 116 |
| Sand, fine; silt | 26 | 142 |
| Ni3l-4 (Alt. 8 ft .) Del. Geol. Survey (Vlangas?) Recent series |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, white | 4 | 4 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, subangular to subrounded, light-brown; clay, gray and brown |  |  |
| Missing | 5 | 14 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, blue-gray; bands of clay, blue | 5 | 19 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, silty, poorly sorted, blue-gray | 5 | 24 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, poorly sorted, blue-gray; clay | 10 | 34 |
| Pandico (?) formation |  |  |
| Missing | 20 | 54 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, mostly coarse, gray | 15 | 69 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, subangular to subrounded, gray | 5 | 74 |
| Missing | 5 | 79 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, gray; grit; gravel | 5 | 84 |
| Ni34-1 (Alt. 5 ft .) Woolman, 1899; p. 85-86; paleontologic names may be outmoded. |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand and sandy clay, with mollusc shell fragments | 40 | 40 |
| Gravel, medium to coarse, gray with shells Solen americanus Gould |  |  |

Table 24. -- Continued

| 陈 | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ni34-1 (continued) |  |  |
| Mulinea lateralis Say |  |  |
| Nassa trivittata Say |  |  |
| Anomia sp. ? | 10 | 50 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Gravel, orange-yellow with shells, as above, plus |  |  |
| Tellina tenera Say | 10 | 60 |
| Clay, orange-yellow | 10 | 70 |
| Gravel, yellowish-white, with shells |  |  |
| Natica duplicata Say | 10 | 80 |
| Pliocene(?) series |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, dark (Iignite at 100 ft .) |  |  |
| (comminuted shells at $109 \mathrm{ft}$. ) | 45 | 125 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, gray | 24 | 149 |
| Sand, coarse, brown | 11 | 160 |
| Sand, clayey, greenish | 5 | 165 |
| Clay, brownish | 20 | 185 |
| Sand, coarse, brown | 15 | 200 |
| Sand, gray | 94 | 294 |
| (lignite at 268 ft .) <br> (clay streaks at 277 ft .) |  |  |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay (not diatomaceous) | 91 | 385 |
| Choptank (?) formation |  |  |
| Rock | 2 | 387 |
| Sand, clayey and clay, sand | 6 | 393 |
| Rock <br> (water at 400 ft. ) | 3 | 396 |
| Sand, clayey, with shells |  | 402 |
| Rock | 5 | 407 |
| Calvert formation |  |  |
| Clay, diatomaceous, sometimes sandy | 161 | 568 |
| No record, probably clay | 27 | 595 |
| Clay, probably diatomaceous (sand seam with water at 625 ft .) | 37 | 632 |
| Sand, diatomaceous, greenish, with broken shells and Echinus spines |  |  |
| Sand, diatomaceous, brown | 4 | 658 |
| Sand, gray and clay | 72 | 730 |
| Rock | 1 | 731 |
| No record (water -bearing sand at 750 ft .) | 29 | 760 |
| Sand, gray, Diatoms | 7 | 767 |
| No record | 5 | 772 |



Table 24. -- Continued

| Ni51-6 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand, fine to medium, brown; clay streaks | 25 | 60 |
| Hard | 0.2 | 60.2 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Brandywine formation |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, brown; some gravel | 24.8 | 85 |
| Gravel, coarse; sand, coarse, brown | 10 | 95 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, brown; clay streaks about 2 in. thick | 10 | 105 |
| Sand, medium, brown, streaks of clay, white, tough | 14 | 119 |
| Clay, blue; sand streaks | 5 | 124 |
| Gravel | 0.2 | 124. 2 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Manokin aquifer |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium | 38.8 | 163 |
| Iron ore, hard | 0.6 | 163.6 |
| Lower (?) aquiclude |  |  |
| Clay, dark-blue | 16.4 | 188 |
| Ni51-9 (Alt. 16.5 ft .) Mitchell |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, light-brown | 9 | 10 |
| Sand, yellow-brown; gravel | 6 | 16 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, blue-gray | 4 | 20 |
| Sand and gravel, with streaks of clay, blue-gray | 10 | 30 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, light-gray to brown | 20 | 50 |
| Gravel | 10 | 60 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Brandywine formation |  |  |
| Sand, coarse to granule, yellow-brown | 30 | 90 |
| Gravel | 20 | 110 |
| Sand, fine; gravel | 10 | 120 |
| Sand, coarse; gravel | 10 | 130 |
| Sand and gravel | 10 | 140 |
| Sand, medium; gravel | 10 | 150 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium | 9 | 159 |
| Clay |  | 160 |
| Clay, blue | 10 | 170 |

Table 24. -- Continued

Oc35-1 (Alt. 40 ft .) Wilkens and Coskery
Fill
Loam, black and brown 2

Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Clay, sandy, friable, gray and yellow -brown $\quad 4$
Sand, clayey, buff 2
Beaverdam sand
Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, yellowishbrown; black particles
$5 \quad 14$

Sand, fine to medium, yellow-brown; gravel 29
Pliocene (?) series
Sand, medium to coarse, gray-brown; gravel 49
Miocene series
St. Marys formation
Sand, very fine and silt, light-gray, semi-soft 5.54 .5
Oc35-2 (Alt. 45 ft ) Coskery
Recent aeries
Fill
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Sand, medium, brown
Sand, clayey, red-brown

| Thickness | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | ---: |
| (feet) |  |

## Recent series

1
Silt, sandy, yellow-brown4
Beaverdam sand
Sand, medium to coarse, silty, tan ..... 18
Sand, coarse, ailty, yellow-brown; gravel ..... 26
Silt, sandy, yellow-brown ..... 29
Sand, medium to coarse, silty, yellow-brown; gravel ..... 44
Sand, medium to coarse, silty, yellow-brown ..... 54 ..... 54
Pliocene (?) series
Brandywine formation
Sand, medium to coarge, red ..... 30
Oc55-1 (Alt. 30 ft ) Wilkens
Recent series
Top soil ..... 22
Pleistocene seriesPamlico formation
Clay, sandy, gray ..... 68
Beaverdam sand
Sand, medium to very coarse, light-gray; granules ..... 15 ..... 23
Sand, medium to very coarse, buff ..... 40
Pliocene (?) seriesBrandywine formation

Table 24. -- Continued

| Oc55-1 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand, gravelly, orange | 14 | 54 |
| Od23-1 (Alt. 43 ft.$)$ Sun Oil Co. <br> (Electric log available) |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand; gravel | 20 | 20 |
| Sand | 25 | 45 |
| Hard; shells | 0.5 | 45.5 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand; gravel | 17.5 | 63 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand | 100 | 163 |
| Sand; shale | 20 | 183 |
| Sand, hard | 1 | 184 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| Kirkwood formation |  |  |
| Shale | 23 | 207 |
| Shale, sandy; shale | 17 | 224 |
| Rock | 19 | 243 |
| Rock; thin streaks sand, soft | 26 | 269 |
| Shale, sandy; shells | 88 | 357 |
| Rock | 5 | 362 |
| Shale, sandy, with hard sand breaks | 18 | 380 |
| Rock | 6 | 386 |
| Shale, sandy, with hard sand breaks | 35 | 421 |
| Shale | 4 | 425 |
| Shale, sandy, with hard breaks | 35 | 460 |
| Rock | 5.5 | 465.5 |
| Shale, sandy; sand, hard | 31.5 | 497 |
| Rock, hard | 3 | 500 |
| Shale, sandy; sand, hard | 10 | 510 |
| Rock | 12 | 522 |
| (Cheswold aquifer?) |  |  |
| Sand with hard breaks | 18 | 540 |
| Sand | 53 | 593 |
| Sandstone | 3 | 596 |
| Sand with hard breaks | 36 | 632 |
| Shale, sandy, with shale breaks | 30 | 662 |
| Shale | 20 | 682 |
| Shale, sandy | 18 | 700 |
| Sand | 5 | 705 |
| Sand, hard; shale, sandy | 17 | 722 |
| Shale | 16 | 738 |
| Eocene series |  |  |
| Sand, hard | 22 | 760 |



|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thickness } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Od23-1 (continued) |  |  |
| Shale with hard breaks | 9 | 2326 |
| Shale | 17 | 2343 |
| Shale, samdy, with hard breaks | 19 | 2362 |
| Shale with lime breaks | 29 | 2391 |
| Shale, glauconitic, green (core) | 6 | 2397 |
| Shale | 43 | 2440 |
| Shale, sandy | 20 | 2460 |
| Shale | 24 | 2484 |
| Shale, sandy | 14 | 2498 |
| Sand, hard | 3 | 2501 |
| Shale | 6 | 2507 |
| Sand | 13 | 2520 |
| Shale, sticky | 17 | 2537 |
| Shale, sandy | 10 | 2547 |
| Shale | 13 | 2560 |
| Sand, hard, with breaks of shale, sandy | 8 | 2568 |
| Shale, sticky | 32 | 2600 |
| Od23-2 (Alt. 42 ft.) Cleveland Oil Co. Pleistocene, Pliocene (?), and Miocene series |  |  |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| Kirkwood formation |  |  |
| Shale, sticky, blue | 60 | 240 |
| Hard | 4 | 244 |
| Shale, sandy | 16 | 260 |
| Sand | 120 | 380 |
| Shale, sticky | 40 | 420 |
| Rock (sand?), hard | 7 | 427 |
| Sand | 28 | 455 |
| Rock (sand?), hard | 10 | 465 |
| Shell; sand | 56 | 521 |
| Rock (sand ?), hard | 9 | 530 |
| Shale, sandy | 50 | 580 |
| Shale, sticky | 10 | 590 |
| Sand | 39 | 629 |
| Shale, sticky, blue | 54 | 683 |
| Eocene series |  |  |
| Sand, dark, soft | 19 | 702 |
| Rock, hard | 18 | 720 |
| Shale, sandy; clay, sticky, hard | 29 | 749 |
| Clay, sandy, yellow, hard | 31 | 780 |
| Sand, dark | 60 | 840 |
| Clay, dark yellow, streaked with dark sand | 25 | 865 |
| Shale; gravel | 5 | 870 |
| Clay, sticky, hard | 15 | 885 |
| Sand, soft, dark | 85 | 970 |
| Clay, sticky, hard | 23 | 993 |

Table 24. -- Continued

| Od23-2 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shale, sandy, dark, with atreaks of clay, sticky | 102 | 1095 |
| Paleocene(?) series |  |  |
| Shale, gray, streaked with lime-shale | 135 | 1230 |
| Clay, sticky, dark, streaked with sand | 20 | 1250 |
| Shale, sticky, dark | 73 | 1323 |
| Upper Cretaceous series |  |  |
| Shale, sandy, dark, streaked with sand | 562 | 1885 |
| Raritan formation (top may be above this depth) |  |  |
| Shale, sticky, red, hard, streaked with sand | 165 | 2050 |
| Sand, iron-cemented (core) | 2 | 2052 |
| Sand; shale | 58 | 2110 |
| Shale, sticky, red, hard | 60 | 2170 |
| Sand, streaked with shale | 170 | 2340 |
| Sand | 5 | 2345 |
| Sand, hard; shale, sticky | 160 | 2505 |
| Sand | 20 | 2525 |
| Shale, sticky, hard | 42 | 2567 |
| Sand, hard | 30 | 2597 |
| Shale, sticky | 9 | 2606 |
| Sand | 11 | 2617 |
| Hard (dxiller said limestone) | 2 | 2619 |
| Sand | 6 | 2625 |
| Shale, sticky, hard | 30 | 2655 |
| Sand | 7 | 2662 |
| Shale, sticky, hard | 27 | 2689 |
| Sand, hard, streaked with shale | 142 | 2831 |
| Shale, sandy | 19 | 2850 |
| Clay, sticky | 11 | 2861 |
| Lime-shell, hard | 2 | 2863 |
| Sand; shale | 90 | 2953 |
| Lime-shell, hard | 2 | 2955 |
| Shale, sticky | 15 | 2970 |
| Sand, hard | 14 | 2984 |
| Missing | 8 | 2992 |
| Sand | 4 | 2996 |
| Shale, sticky, hard | 14 | 3010 |
| Shale, sticky, red (core) | 1. 5 | 3011.5 |
| Lime-shell (core) | 0.5 | 3012 |
| Od24-1 (Alt. $36 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Sun Oil Co. |  |  |
| Pleistocene and Pliocene (?) seriea |  |  |
| Sand and gravel | 120 | 120 |
| Miocene (?) series |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand with hard breaks | 40 | 160 |
| Shale, sandy | 48 | 208 |
| Sand with hard breaiks | 15 | 223 |
| Rock, hard | 3 | 226 |

Table 24. -- Continued

| Od24-1 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand with hard breaks | 4 | 230 |
| Rock, hard | 3.5 | 233.5 |
| Sand; shells | 8. 5 | 242 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| Kirkwood formation |  |  |
| Shale, sandy, with sand | 41 | 283 |
| Rock, hard | 1 | 284 |
| Shale, sandy; sand | 17 | 301 |
| Rock, hard | 2 | 303 |
| Shale, sandy, and hard breaks | 97 | 400 |
| Shell | 23 | 423 |
| Sand | 47 | 470 |
| Rock, hard; shell | 2 | 472 |
| Sand | 8 | 480 |
| Rock, hard | 12. 5 | 492. 5 |
| Sands shell | 9.5 | 502 |
| Rock, hard, with sand streaks | 13 | 515 |
| Rock, hard | 4 | 519 |
| Sand; shale, sandy | 44 | 563 |
| Shale | 29 | 592 |
| Sand, hard, or shell | 6 | 598 |
| Rock and sand, hard | 6 | 604 |
| Rock | 6 | 610 |
| Shale, sandy | 92 | 702 |
| Rock | 1 | 703 |
| Eocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand | 45 | 748 |
| Rock | 9 | 757 |
| Sand | 133 | 890 |
| Shale, sandy | 140 | 1030 |
| Hard | 1 | 1031 |
| Shale, sandy | 109 | 1140 |
| Paleocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand | 50 | 1190 |
| Shale, sandy | 30 | 1220 |
| Shale, sticky | 60 | 1280 |
| Shale, sandy | 57 | 1337 |
| Upper Cretaceous (?) series |  |  |
| Rock | 4 | 1341 |
| Shale, sandy | 42 | 1383 |
| Shale | 3 | 1386 |
| Chalk, hard | 19 | 1405 |
| Shale, limey, gray (chalk) | 58 | 1463 |
| Shale | 17 | 1480 |
| Shale, sticky | 63 | 1543 |
| Shale, sandy, dark | 20 | 1563 |
| Sand, black, with hard streaks | 20 | 1583 |

Table 24. -- Continued

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thickness } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Od24-1 (continued) |  |  |
| Magothy (?) formation |  |  |
| Sand, gray | 20 | 1603 |
| Sand, gray and black | 40 | 1643 |
| Raritan formation |  |  |
| Shale, sandy | 77 | 1720 |
| Sand and shale, sandy | 43 | 1763 |
| Shale | 20 | 1783 |
| Shale, sandy; shale breaks | 40 | 1823 |
| Shale, aticky, red | 17 | 1840 |
| Shale, sticky | 50 | 1890 |
| Sand, soft, or lime | 3 | 1893 |
| Shale, sticky, red | 30 | 1923 |
| Shale, sandy, red | 20 | 1943 |
| Shale, sandy, with hard breaks | 20 | 1963 |
| Shale, sticky | 37 | 2000 |
| Shale, sticky, yellow and gray | 6 | 2006 |
| Shale, sticky, gray | 21 | 2027 |
| Shale, sticky, gray-green | 12 | 2039 |
| Clay, sandy, gray-white | 7 | 2036 |
| Clay, gray; lignite | 5 | 2051 |
| Shale, sticky, pinkish | 4 | 2055 |
| Shale, sticky, red- and gray-mottled | 26 | 2081 |
| Sand, coarse, shaley, gray-white | 5 | 2086 |
| No core recovery | 24 | 2110 |
| Clay, ashy, gray-white | 3 | 2113 |
| Clay, brown-red and gray | 2 | 2115 |
| Clay, gray | 1 | 2116 |
| Shale, gandy, dark-gray; lignite | 6 | 2122 |
| Clay, lignitic, gray-white | 4 | 2126 |
| Shale, sticky, red- and pink-mottled | 14 | 2140 |
| Shale, sandy, gray- and buff-mottled | 6 | 2146 |
| Shale, sandy, soft | 12 | 2158 |
| Shale, dark-gray; lignite | 12 | 2170 |
| Shale, sticky, gray | 12 | 2182 |
| Clay, ashy, red-gray mottled | 17 | 2199 |
| Sand, shaley, dark-gray | 7 | 2206 |
| Sand, gray, soft | 12 | 2218 |
| Clay, gray- and red-mottled | 36 | 2254 |
| Sand, clayey, gray; lignite | 12 | 2266 |
| Sand, gray; lignite | 12 | 2278 |
| Shale, sandy, gray; lignite | 12 | 2290 |
| Shale, lignitic, black | 6 | 2296 |
| Shale, sticky, gray | 6 | 2302 |
| Clay, ashy, gray-white | 4 | 2306 |
| Sand, clayey, soft | 1 | 2307 |
| Sandstone, tan | 6 | 2313 |
| Shale, gray | 1 | 2314 |
| Clay, sticky, gray | 12 | 2326 |

Table 24. - - Continued

| Od24-1 (continued) | ( | (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shale, sticky, gray | 3 | 2329 |
| Shale, sticky, gray- and pink-mottled | 4 | 2333 |
| Shale, dark-gray | 4 | 2337 |
| Shale, gray; lignite | 2 | 2339 |
| Shale, sticky, gray- and red-mottled | 12 | 2351 |
| Shale, dark-gray | 2 | 2353 |
| Sandstone | 6 | 2359 |
| Clay, ashy, white | 6 | 2365 |
| Shale, gray- and red-mottled | 8 | 2373 |
| Clay, ashy, white | 6 | 2379 |
| Clay, gray- and red-mottled | 14 | 2393 |
| Shale, black; thin brown streaks | 7 | 2400 |
| Clay, gray-white | 5 | 2405 |
| Shale | 10 | 2415 |
| Sand | 2 | 2417 |
| Clay, gray-white | 3 | 2420 |
| Shale, dark-gray; sand, soft, dry | 12 | 2432 |
| Shale, gray-black; some sand; shell fragments; pyrite | 28 | 2460 |
| Shale, black | 12 | 2472 |
| Lignite, 日oft | 1 | 2473 |
| Shale, gray | 11 | 2484 |
| Sand, micaceous, gray with hard streaks and shale inclusions | 14 | 2498 |
| Shale | 12 | 2510 |
| Missing | 10 | 2520 |
| Shale, sandy, gray | 1 | 2521 |
| Clay, ashy, gray | 4 | 2525 |
| Clay, red | 2 | 2527 |
| Missing | 7 | 2534 |
| Clay, ashy, gray | 3 | 2537 |
| Clay, red- and gray-mottled | 21 | 2558 |
| Missing | 2 | 2560 |
| Shale, tough | 20 | 2580 |
| Clay, red-gray-mottled | 3 | 2583 |
| Clay, gray-white | 2 | 2585 |
| Misaing | 7 | 2592 |
| Clay, brick-red- and gray mottled | 23 | 2615 |
| Shale, sandy, dark-gray | 1 | 2616 |
| Missing | 4 | 2620 |
| Sand, soft, laminated, dark-gray | 3 | 2623 |
| Shale, black | 1 | 2624 |
| Shale, sandy, black | 1 | 2625 |
| Sandstone, hard, cross-bedded | 0.5 | 2625.5 |
| Sand, shaley, laminated, black | 1. 5 | 2627 |
| Miesing | 7 | 2634 |
| Clay, red and gray mottled | 40 | 2674 |

## Table 24. -- Continued

| Od32-1 (Alt. $25 \mathrm{ft)}$. Vlangas (feet) (feet) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, brown and gray; grit | 2 | 2 |
| Sand, fine, silty, yellow | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| Clay, sandy, gray-black, tough | 3.5 | 6 |
| Sand, fine, silty, clayey, gray-brown | 3 | 9 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, well-sorted, lightbrown |  |  |
| Gravel; cobbles | 1.5 | 22.5 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, light-brown; some grit | 6.5 | 29 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, light-brown; grit; lignite | 5 | 34 |
| Sand, mostly fine, some medium to coarse; light- <br> brown; grit; lignite $\qquad$ 10 |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, tan Pliocene (?) series | 35 | 79 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, tan-brown; dark minerals |  |  |
| Sand, fine, yellow-brownj grit; gravel | 15 | 114 |
| Od32-2 (Alt. 30 ft.$)$ Vlangas Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil; sand, medium, gray | 1. 5 | 1.5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, gray-tan | 1.5 | 3 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, light-gray | 6 | 9 |
| Sand, fine to medium, silty, yellow-or anges white and black grains | 10 | 19 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, light-brown; grit | 5 | 24 |
| Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, tan; grit; Hgnite | 3.5 | 27.5 |
| Gravel | - | 27. 5 |
| Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, tan; grit; lignite |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, silty, tan | 2 | 31 |
| Gravel | - | 31 |
| Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, silty, tan; lignite | 13 | 44 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, silty, rustbrown | 10 | 54 |
| Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, silty, lightbrown | 15 | 69 |


| Thickness |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (feet) | Depth <br> (feet) |

Od32-3 (Alt. 28 ft ) Vlangas

## Pleistocene series

## Parsonsburg sand

Sand, fine, tan-gray; some lignite 2
Sand, fine to medium, light-brown; grit; pebbles; black minerals
Sand, fine, well-sorted, tan; white specks; black minerals
$4.5 \quad 6.5$

Sand, fine to medium, silty, clayey, gray, light-brown
$3 \quad 9.5$

Sand, fine to medium, silty, clay, brown and gray; white specks
12.5

Beaverdam sand
Sand, medium, well-sorted, light-tan
16
Sand, medium to coarse, light-tan; gravel 8
Sand, fine to coarse, mostly medium, tan; grit $\quad 25 \quad 49$
Sand, fine to coarse, mostly coarse, tan 55
Od35-2 (Alt. 40 ft.$)$
Missing Sun Oil Co.

Miocene series
St. Marys formation(?)
Sand and clay, green 6
Sand and pebbles green-gray 6
Silt andes, green-gray 12
Clay and shell fragments 24
$\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Choptank formation (?) } \\ \text { Shells }\end{array} & 12 & 268\end{array}$
Sand, silty 24
Frederica aquifer (?)
Sand and shell fragmenta 218
Od42-1 (Alt. 28 ft .) Vlangas
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
Sand, fine, silty, gray-tan; clay 6
Sand, fine, silty, clayey; grit 3
Beaverdam sand
Sand, fine to medium, well-sorted, light-tan $10 \quad 19$
Sand, fine to medium, light-tan 15
Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, tan; grit $\quad 5 \quad 39$
Sand, fine to medium, some coarse, brown $10 \quad 49$
Sand, fine to coarse, light-brown 10
Sand, fine to medium, light-brown $\quad 30 \quad 89$
Od42-2 (Alt. 30 ft .) Vlangas
Recent geries
Top soil, sandy (fine), silty, gray
1.5
1.5

Pleistocene series

Table 24. -- Continued


Table 24.-- Continued

| Of31-1 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water table | - | 4 |
| Sand, light-brown | 3 | 7 |
| Walston(?) silt |  |  |
| Clay, gray | 2 | 9 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, gray | 15 | 24 |
| Sand, medium, silty, gray to brown | 5 | 29 |
| Sand, coarse, silty, gray to yellow | 10 | 39 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, brown-yellow; pebbles | 5 | 44 |
| Sand, coarse, brown-orange; pebbles | 5 | 49 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, orange -brown; gravel | 10 | 59 |
| Sand, medium, yellowish-brown; gravel | 35 | 94 |
| Oi25-1 (Alt. $24 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Clay | 3.3 | 4 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, dry | 8 | 12 |
| Sand, very fine to fine; gravel | 10 | 22 |
| Clay, sandy | 9 | 31 |
| Sand, fine; pebbles | 12 | 43 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, blue-gray | 29 | 72 |
| Sand, very fine, white | 8 | 80 |
| Sand, fine, black; sand, clayey | 5 | 85 |
| Clay, gray, soft | 20 | 105 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand; gravel | 13 | 118 |
| Oi34-1 (Alt. $24 \mathrm{ft}$. ) N. Shanmahan |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Missing | 43 | 43 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay | 20 | 63 |
| Pamlico (?) formation or Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, yellow | 10 | 73 |
| Sand, fine, white | 10 | 83 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, white and gray | 31 | 114 |
| Clay, sandy, gray | 2 | 116 |
| Sand, fine, yellow | 5 | 121 |
| Sand, coarse, yellow | 16 | 137 |

Oi34-3 (Alt. 24 ft.) Pentz
Pleistocene series

Table 24. .- Continued

| T | Thickness (feet) | Depth <br> (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oi34-3 (continued) |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, yellow | 20 | 20 |
| Sand, coarse, yellow | 12 | 32 |
| Sand, gray-blue | 12 | 44 |
| Sand, gray | 6 | 50 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, blue | 12 | 62 |
| Pamlico formation or Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, water-bearing (Test \#1: 72-82 ft. 800 gpm ) | ) 20 | 82 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand | 13 | 95 |
| Sand, water-bearing (Test \#2: 102-112 ft. |  |  |
| Clay, blue | 6 | 118 |
| Sand, fine, yellow | 4 | 122 |
| Sand, yellow, water-bearing (Test \#3: 126-136 ft. $500 \mathrm{gpm})$ | . 14 | 136 |
| Miocene ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ Beries |  |  |
| Cohansey sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, gray-blue; clay | 14 | 150 |
| Oi34-5 (Alt. 25 ft ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Clay | 1.8 | 2.5 |
| Clay, sandy, red | 3 | 5.5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, very fine, white | 2.5 | 8 |
| Sand, fine to mediurn, yellow | 18 | 26 |
| Sand, very fine, white | 4 | 30 |
| Sand, fine; pebbles | 8 | 38 |
| Sand, fine, gray-black; pebbles | 2 | 40 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, gumbo, gray | 18 | 58 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, very fine, white | 19 | 77 |
| Clay, sandy, black | 8 | 85 |
| Clay, gray | 2 | 87 |
| Sand, fine to coarse; gravel | 3 | 90 |
| Clay, sandy, lavender | 2 | 92 |
| Sand, coarse, white; gravel | 10 | 102 |
| Sand, coarse, yellow-red | 0.5 | 102.5 |
| Sand, coarse, white; gravel | I. 5 | 104 |
| Oj41-1 (Alt. $7 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series Fill and sand | 2.5 | 2.5 |

Table 24. - - Continued

| Thickness | Depth |
| :---: | ---: |
| (feet) | (feet) |

Oj41-1 (continued)
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Sand, water-bearing } & 33.5 & 36\end{array}$
Pamlico formation
Clay, blue-gray $\quad 56$
Beaverdam sand
Rock; pebbles
Sand, fine $\quad 5 \quad 98$
Sand, medium, water-bearing 18116
Oj41-2 (Alt. 7 ft.) White
Recent series
Sand, dry
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand

| Sand, water-bearing | 28.5 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Pamlico formation
Clay, blue-gray
$34 \quad 64$
Sand, fine 4
68
Clay, sandy, blue-gray $\quad 28 \quad 96$
Clay, sandy, gray, soft $\quad 4 \quad 100$
Beaverdam sand
Sand, fine to coarse; gravel 8
108
Oj41-3 (Alt. 7 ft.$)$ White
Recent series
Fill 1
1
Pleistocene series
Pamlico formation
Sand, containing brackish water 34

| Clay, gray; shell | 39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Clay, sandy, gray; shale 17
Beaverdam sand
Sand, coarse; gravel; water-bearing $\quad 3 \quad 94$

Clay or clay balls, white and yellow - 94
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Sand, fine to coarse, light-amber } & 701\end{array}$
Oj4l-4 (Alt. 7 ft .) White
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand Sand 35
Pamlico formation Clay, blue-black, hard 45

80
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Clay, sandy, blue-black } & 10 & 90\end{array}$
Beaverdam sand Sand; gravel, pebbly

Table 24. -- Continued

| Oj41-5 (Alt. $7 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill and sand | 2 | 2 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, containing brackish water; decayed vegetation |  |  |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, gray; shells | 61 | 90 |
| Sand; clay, gray | 14 | 104 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, gray | 1 | 105 |
| Sand, orange-red; gravel | 7 | 112 |
| Oj41-25 (Alt. 6 ft .) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill; sand; marsh | 3 | 3 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand | 12 | 15 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, gray | 4 | 19 |
| Sand, containing brackish water | 11 | 30 |
| Clay, dark-gray; shell | 43 | 73 |
| Sand | 3 | 76 |
| Clay, gray | 13 | 89 |
| Beaverdarn sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, light-gray; gravel; water-bearing | 11 | 100 |
| Oj4l-26 (Alt. $5 \mathrm{ft}$. ) White |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Fill; sand | 2. 5 | 2.5 |
| Sand | 9.5 | 12 |
| Sand; marsh | 4 | 16 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand | 14 | 30 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, gray | 62 | 92 |
| Missing | 10 | 102 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to coarse, mostly coarse, white; gravel | 8 | 110 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, mostly coarse, yellow; gravel | - | 110 |

Pbl3-1 (Alt. 46 ft.$)$ Shannahan Axt. Well Co. Recent series

| Table 24. -- Continued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| Pb13-1 (continued) |  |  |
| Sand | 7 | 7 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Sand; gravel | 63 | 70 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Brandywine formation |  |  |
| Sand, red | 20 | 90 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay, sandy | 30 | 120 |
| Clay, tough | 55 | 175 |
| Choptank formation |  |  |
| Rock | 1 | 176 |
| Sand; shells | 10 | 186 |
| Rock | 1 | 187 |
| Sand; hard; shell | 23 | 210 |
| Clay | 30 | 240 |
| Calvert formation |  |  |
| Sand and shell, hard | 60 | 300 |
| Clay, sandy | 3 | 303 |
| Pcl3-1 (Alt $35 \mathrm{ft}$.$) White$ |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, clayey, brown | 4.5 | 5 |
| Sand, clayey, yellow | 10 | 15 |
| Sand, clayey, orange-red | 5 | 20 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, dark-yellow, silt; |  |  |
| Pamlico (?) formation |  |  |
| Clay, sandy, yellow | 6 | 35 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to very coarse, dirty | 6 | 41 |
| Pc23-1 (Alt. $20 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Sydnor |  |  |
| Recent seriea |  |  |
| Top soil | 2 | 2 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, yellow | 28 | 30 |
| Pamlico (?) formation |  |  |
| Sand, fine, yellow | 10 | 40 |
| Samd, dark-yellow | 10 | 50 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, dark-yellow | 14 | 64 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, dark-yellow, clay streaks | 2 | 66 |

Table 24. -- Continued

| Pc23-1 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand, medium to coarse, yellow | 21 | 87 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys (?) formation |  |  |
| Mar1, dark | 43 | 130 |
| Pc23-2 (Alt. $25 \mathrm{ft}$. ) N. Shannahan |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand and clay | 14 | 14 |
| Gravel, large | 1 | 15 |
| Pamlico formation and Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand | 35 | 50 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, brown | 19 | 69 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marya formation |  |  |
| Rock | 1 | 70 |
| Clay, gray | 33 | 103 |
| Sand, gray and clay | 10 | 113 |
| Pc23-3 (Alt. 31 ft .) Wilkens and N. Shannahan |  |  |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg (?) sand |  |  |
| Silt, sandy, buff | 8 | 8 |
| Sand, coarse, silty, gray; granules | 8 | 16 |
| Gravel, gray, clean | 2 | 18 |
| Sand, medium, silty, gray; gravel | 12 | 30 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Silt, white and yellow | 10 | 40 |
| Sand, fine, gray | 10 | 50 |
| Silt, white; some sand, coarse | 10 | 60 |
| Beaverdam (?) sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine, grayish-white | 10 | 70 |
| Sand, medium, grayish-white | 25 | 95 |
| Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Brandywine formation <br> Sand, fine, silty, yellow-brown; sand, coarse; granules |  |  |
|  | 8 | 103 |
| Pc23-7 (Alt. 32 ft.) N. K. Shannahan Pleistocene series |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, loose | 10 | 10 |
| Clay, white | 8 | 18 |
| Sand, coarse, white; gravel | 17 | 35 |
| Sand | 5 | 40 |
| Beaverdam sand or Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, white and brown | 18 | 58 |


| Thickness | Depth <br> (feet) |
| ---: | ---: |
| (feet) |  |amlico formation and Beaverdam sand(?) seriesSt. Marya formation70113Pleistocene seriesSilt, sandy, buff8Grayel, gray, clean18Pamlico formation, will

50
Silt, white; some sand, coarse60
Sand, fine, grayish-white ..... 70
Brandywine formation
Sand, fine, silty, yellow-brown; sand, coarse; granules ..... 103
Pc23-7 (Alt. 32 ft.) N. K. Shannahan
eistocene series ..... 10
Sand ..... 40
Sand, coarse, white and brown ..... 58

Table 24.-- Continued

| Pc23-7 (contimued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand, medium, white and brown | 21 | 79 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay, white | 5 | 84 |
| Clay, brown | - | 84 |
| Pc23-10 (Alt. $29 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Wilkens |  |  |
| Recent aeries |  |  |
| Top soil | 1 | 1 |
| Pleiatocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, brown | 2 | 3 |
| Sand, brown, clayey, pebbles, stony | 5 | 8 |
| Sand, white, pebbles, clayey, no water | 3 | 11 |
| Sand, white, pebbles, stony, with silt or clay, brown | 4 | 15 |
| Sand, yellow -brown, silty, with pebbles | 3 | 18 |
| Sand, white, medium to coarse, with pebbles | 6 | 24 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand, fine, silty, white | 2 | 26 |
| Sand, fine, with clay lumps, and streaks, red and gray | 2 | 28 |
| Clay, red, brown and gray | 6 | 34 |
| Clay, red, brown, with sand, fine | 2 | 36 |
| Clay, gray, with black streaks | 2 | 38 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Sand, fine to medium, well-sorted, yellowbrown; water-bearing | 10 | 48 |
| Sand, fine to medium, yellow-brown, waterbearing | 10 | 58 |
| Sand, fine to medium, yellow-brown, waterbearing, with clay streaks and lumps, brown and gray | 6 | 64 |
| (hard pan at $63 \mathrm{ft}$. ) |  |  |
| Sand, medium to coarse, silty, yellow-brown | 2 | 66 |
| Sand, coarse, yellow-brown, (well-sorted)Sand, medium and coarse, yellow-brown |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Sand, medium and coarse, tan | 2 | 86 |
| Sand, coarse, yellow-brown, water-bearing; clay, red, at 96 ft . | 10 | 96 |
| Pliacene (?) series |  |  |
| Clay, blue-gray, stiff, very little fine sand (cored $98 \mathrm{l} / 2$ to $100 \mathrm{ft} .100 \%$ recovery) Brandywine formation | 7 | 103 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, brown, gilty, hard pan fragments, rust, water-bearing, some silt, gray and grayish-white | 4 | 107 |


| Table 24. -- Continued | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thickness } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | Depth (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pc23-10 (continued) |  |  |
| Sand, grayish-white and brown, coarse to medium, (cored) | 3 | 110 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, pale-orange, well-sorted | 1 | 111 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, red or deep-orange, well-sorted, brown at bottom | 3 | 114 |
| Sand, coarse, orange-brown, water-bearing, clay lumps, finely laminated yellow and gray, with fine orange fragments | 2 | 116 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay, gray, with fine sand | 4 | 120 |
| Pc23-11 (Alt. $31 \mathrm{ft)}$. RasmussenRecent neries |  |  |
| Loamy sand | 1 | 1 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg and |  |  |
| Sand, medium-fine, orange-brown | 4 | 5 |
| Gravel, medium to fine, and silt, sandy, gray | 1 | 6 |
| Sand, coarse to fine, gritty, light-gray | 2 | 8 |
| Sand, very fine, silty, gray, compact (called clay by driller) | 6 | 14 |
| Sand, medium to coarse, light-gray, with gravel, fine to medium | 6 | 20 |
| Sand, coarse to medium, gritty | 3 | 23 |
| Sand stone, medium to fine, white, friable, some muscovite, (called hardpan by driller) | 2 | 25 |
| Gravel and grit, angular and sand, coarse, orange | 1 | 26 |
| Gravel, angular, and sand, coarse | 2 | 28 |
| Sand, medium, brown | 1 | 29 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Silt, and sand, fine, yellow | 4 | 33 |
| Silt, sand, fine, yellow, clay "pebbles" | 4 | 37 |
| Beaverdam sand |  |  |
| Gravel and sand with a cobble of white sandstone | 2 | 39 |
| Sand, fine, brown, silty with small pieces of orange-ocher | 8 | 47 |
| Silt, and clay, gray, small black and red specks | 2 | 49 |
| Sand, medium to fine, brown, water -bearing | 9 | 58 |
| Sand, medium to fine; brown, with a stringer of gray silt | 10 | 68 |
| Sand, coarse to fine, brown with a little silt | 10 | 78 |
| Miocene (?) series (or Pliocene(?) series to 107 ft .) Manokin(?) aquifer |  |  |
| Silt, gray, and peat, black | 1 | 79 |

Table 24. ... Continued

| Pc23-11 (continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand, and silt, gray, slightly organic (cored 100\% recovery) | 0.9 | 79.9 |
| Peat, and silt | 0.1 | 80 |
| Sand, very fine, brownish with layers of peat and silt, gray, thixotropic | 5 | 85 |
| Silt, gray, sand, brown and peat | 3 | 88 |
| Clay, and silt, blue | 0.5 | 88.5 |
| Sand, medium to fine, brown | 5.0 | 93.5 |
| Clay, silty, blue | 0.5 | 94.0 |
| Sand, medium, orange-brown (cored - 70\% recovery) | 1.0 | 95.0 |
| Sand, fine to medium, brown with stringer of clay at 96 ft . | 5 | 100 |
| Sand, fine to medium | 5 | 105 |
| Clay and silt, blue | 0.5 | 105.5 |
| Sand, fine to coarse, brown | 1. 5 | 107 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay and silt, blue-gray | 1 | 108 |
| Clay, blue and sand, fine, gray | 11 | 119 |
| Sand, medium-fine, gray with black speckles, (cored - $100 \%$ recovery) | 1 | 120 |
| Pc24-8 (Alt. $30 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Chandler |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Top soil | 2 | 2 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, white and gray | 8 | 10 |
| Sand, with clay streaks | 10 | 20 |
| Sand, coarse; boulders | 10 | 30 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Sand; clay streaks | 10 | 40 |
| Miocene (?) series (or Pleistocene series to 73 ft ) <br> Manokin aquifer (or Beaverdam sand) |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, gray and white Miocene series | 33 | 73 |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay, soft | 17 | 90 |
| Pc33-15 (Alt. $7 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Harris - Harmon Pleistocene eeries |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Top soil and clay | 13 | 13 |
| Pamlico formation |  |  |
| Clay, black | 2 | 15 |
| Clay, gray | 6 | 21 |
| Sand, gray, muddy | 5 | 26 |


| Table 24. -- Continued |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thickness (feet) | Depth (feet) |
| Pc33-15 (continued) |  |  |
| Sand, fine, gray | 30 | 56 |
| Pleistocene or Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, and grayel, fine | 9 | 65 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Sand, yellow and black, muddy, and clay | 25 | 90 |
| Pc33-16 (Alt. 8 ft.) Harris - Harmon Recent series |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Clay, soft; some sand | 15 | 15 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Parsonsburg sand |  |  |
| Sand, coarse | 5 | 20 |
| Sand, coarse; gravel | 5 | 25 |
| Beaverdam (?) sand |  |  |
| Sand, medium | 5 | 30 |
| Sand, coarse | 7 | 37 |
| Sand, coarse; gravel | 6 | 43 |
| Sand, medium | 7 | 50 |
| Sand, coarse | 6 | 56 |
| Pleistocene or Pliocene (?) series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, with traces of clay | 14 | 70 |
| Sand, coarse | 12 | 82 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Clay, dark, with some fine sand | 12 | 94 |
| Pc33-17 (Alt, $7 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Harris - Harmon |  |  |
| Recent and Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse; some clay | 15 | 15 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Sand, fine, and clay | 12 | 27 |
| Sand, coarse | 33 | 60 |
| Sand, medium | 3 | 63 |
| Sand, coarse | 7 | 70 |
| Pleistocene or Miocene series |  |  |
| Sand, coarse, black | 2 | 72 |
| Sand, fine, black | 11 | 83 |
| Miocene series |  |  |
| St. Marys formation |  |  |
| Sand, fine, black; clay | I2 | 95 |
| Pc33-18 (Alt. $7 \mathrm{ft}$. ) Harris - Harmon |  |  |
| Recent series |  |  |
| Clay | 15 | 15 |
| Pleistocene series |  |  |
| Sand, medium | 7 | 22 |



Table 24. -- Continued

```
Pc34-I (continued)
    Brandywine formation
        Sand, medium to coarse, red-brown 5 5 
        Missing 5
        Sand, medium, light-brown 
        74
        Clay, gray to tan 1
        Sand, clayey, yellow-brown 
Pc45-1 (Alt. 43 ft.) Wilkens
Recent serieg
    Top soil l
        l
Pleistocene series
    Pargonsburg sand
            Sand, medium, light-yellow-brown 7
            Sand, coarse, light-brown 11
            Sand, coarse, clayey, gray; some granules 18
        Beaverdam sand
            Sand, coarse, yellowish-brown 12 30
            Sand, medium to coarse, white and yellow-brown 5
            Sand, fine to coarse, yellow-brown 
            Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, yellow-brown 
            Missing 59
            Sand, medium to coarse, yellow-brown 21 80
            Sand, medium to coarse, yellow-brown; clay, 
            M, coarse, yellow browm82
```

Sand, medium to coarse, yellow-brown ..... 17 ..... 99
Pc55-1 (Alt. 39 ft ) Ennis
Recent series
Top soil ..... 1
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand

```Gravel; sand4445
```

Pamlico formation Clay, white and gray ..... 65
Miocene (?) series (or Pleistocene series)
Cohansey sand (or Beaverdam sand)
Manokin aquifer
Sand, fine; clay; wood ..... 32 ..... 97
Sand, fine ..... 11 ..... 108
St. Marys (?) formation
Sand, fine, and clay ..... 6.3 ..... 114.3
PdiI-1 (Alt. $15 \mathrm{ft}$. ) N. K. Shannahan

```Pleistocene series
```

Parsonaburg sand

```Sand and gravel29

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & (feet) & (feet) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pdil-1 (continued) (feet)} \\
\hline Sand & 24 & 53 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay and wood & 2 & 55 \\
\hline Sand and clay & 6 & 61 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, white & 10 & 71 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pd21-1 (Alt. \(30 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill and top soil & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, yellowish-brown & 16 & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, white; gand; gravel & 5 & 23 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, yellow; silt & 12 & 35 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, yellow & 10 & 45 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, yellow; silt & 5 & 50 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, purplish-gray & 7 & 57 \\
\hline Silt, clayey, yellow & 8 & 65 \\
\hline Clay, white & 6 & 71 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam (?) sand} \\
\hline Sand, very fine, white; silt & 3 & 74 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Sand, very fine, orange; silt & 7 & 81 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse & 6 & 87 \\
\hline Sand, medium to very coarse; gravel & 5 & 92 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pel5-1 (Alt. \(50 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill and top soil & 0.8 & 0.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Walston silt} \\
\hline Sand, clayey, yellow & 1.2 & 2 \\
\hline Sand, white, hard & 1.5 & 3.5 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white; water & 1 & 4.5 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, white; clay & 13.5 & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, clayey, white; gravel & 12 & 30 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, buff; gravel & 10 & 40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, clayey, light-gray; gravel & 15 & 55 \\
\hline Sand, silty, white; gravel & 30 & 85 \\
\hline Sand, fine to very coarse, white; gravel, amall to large; water-bearing & 10 & 95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 24.-- Continued & Thickness
(feet) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pe23-1 (Alt. \(48 \mathrm{ft}\). ) Vlangas} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, brown & 3 & 3 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, orange-brown; gravel & 1 & 4 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, clayey, grayish-brown & 0.2 & 4.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Walston silt} \\
\hline Sand, fine, clayey, cream-gray; silt & 1.8 & 6 \\
\hline Sand, fine, b rown; silt & 2 & 8 \\
\hline Water table & - & 8 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, light-brown & 6 & 14 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, tan & 10 & 24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Clay, orange-brown to brick-color; sand; grit; gravel & 16 & 40 \\
\hline Gravel & 3 & 43 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, clayey; grit; gravel & 16 & 59 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pe23-2 (Alt. \(48 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill and top soil & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Walston silt} \\
\hline Sand and clay & 3.5 & 5 \\
\hline Clay and gravel & 2 & 7 \\
\hline Sand, dry & 5 & 12 \\
\hline Sand, clayey & 12 & 24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam (?) sand} \\
\hline Sand, water-bearing & 4 & 28 \\
\hline Sand, clayey & 13 & 41 \\
\hline Sand, clayey; gravel & 5 & 46 \\
\hline Sand, clayey & 10 & 56 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, white & 13 & 69 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Sand, yellow, dirty; gravel & 7 & 76 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, clean; gravel; water-bearing & 8 & 84 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pe23-5 (Alt. \(50 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Top soil & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Clay, sandy & , & 1.5 \\
\hline Sand, clayey & 1.5 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 24, -- Continued & & \\
\hline & Thickness (feet) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pe23-5 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand & 14 & 17 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, silty & 23 & 40 \\
\hline Silt; sand; gravel & 10 & 50 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline Sand, fine to very coarse, silty; gravel, orange; water-bearing & 7 & 57 \\
\hline Sand, and gravel, very silty & 22 & 79 \\
\hline Sand, and gravel, iron-cemented & 1 & 80 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, fine to coarse, silty; gravel, small to} \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, white; gravel & 6 & 108 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, yellow; gravel & 4 & 112 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene series} \\
\hline Silt, white; clay balls & 3 & 115 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pe32-1 (Alt. \(40 \mathrm{ft}\). ) Vlangas} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Top soil & 1 & 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, brown & 4 & 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Walston silt} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray & 5 & 10 \\
\hline Silt and sand, fine, gray & 4 & 14 \\
\hline Water table & - & 14 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, gray & 5 & 19 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, gray-brown & 10 & 29 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, brown; lenses of clay, gray, gravel & 5 & 34 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, orange-brown & 30 & 64 \\
\hline Sand, fine, clayey, orange; gravel & 5 & 69 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, clayey, orange-brown & 25 & 94 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Pe32-2 (Alt. 40 ft .) Vlangas Pleistocene series}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, tan & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, black & 1 & 1.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Walston silt}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline Sand, fine, buff; silt; clay & 5 & 14 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, some medium, tan; silt; clay lenses Pliocene (?) series & 20 & 34 \\
\hline Brandywine formation & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Table 24. .- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & Thickness (feet) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pf34-1 (continued)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, medium to coarse, brown to gray; granules; gravel} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, clayey, tan & 1.5 & 12 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray to orange-brown & 0.5 & 12.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, buff; granules; some pebbles & 9.5 & 22 \\
\hline Sand, medium, ailty, buff to brown & 14 & 36 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown to orange-brown & 7 & 43 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, yellow-brown & 6 & 49 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, gray-white to orange-brown; granules; pebbles & 10 & 59 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown to orange-brown; granules; gravel & 9 & 68 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, tan to orange-brown & 4 & 72 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, tan and gray-brown; black particles & 7 & 79 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, orange-brown to tan; granules; gravel & 6 & 85 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, black & 4 & 89 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pg31-2 (Alt. \(33 \mathrm{ft)}\). Ennis} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, white, with streak of yellow & 25 & 25 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, white & 33 & 58 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico (?) formation} \\
\hline Clay and sand & 7 & 65 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, yellow & 21 & 86 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pg51-1 (Alt. 30 ft .) Haigler} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Top soil & 1 & 1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium, clayey, tan & 3 & 4 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, reddish-tan & 2 & 6 \\
\hline Sand, fine, clayey, reddish-tan & 1 & 7 \\
\hline Sand, very fine, clayey, tan to gray & 0.5 & 7.5 \\
\hline Clay, gray & 1 & 8.5 \\
\hline Sand, very fine, gray & 2.5 & 11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued



Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-7 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown & 7 & 7 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 45 & 52 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 8 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Ph51-8 (Alt. 8 ft .) United Engrs. \& Constructors Pleistocene series}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown & 9 & 9 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 12 & 21 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 33 & 54 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown & 6 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-9 (Alt. 8 ft .) United Engrs. \& Constructors Recent gexies} \\
\hline Loam; sand, medium, brown & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown & 7 & 9 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; some clay; traces of gravel & 9 & 18 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown and whitej traces of gravel & 14 & 32 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, gray; traces of gravel & 20 & 52 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 23 & 75 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, gray; gravel & 5 & 80 \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty, gray & 3 & 83 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 7 & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-10 (Alt. 7.5 ft .) United Engrs. \& Constructors Recent aeries} \\
\hline Loam; sand, fine, brown & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 25 & 27 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 41 & 68 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown and gray; gravel; some clay & 9 & 77 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Silt, brownish-gray, with some fine sand & 7 & 84 \\
\hline Sand, flne, gray; traces of silt & 4 & 88 \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray & 2 & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-11 (Alt. 2.5 ft.) United Engrs. \& Constructors} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Parsonsburg sand & & \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 13 & 13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-11 (continued) (feet) (feet)} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, gray & 9 & 22 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel; some clay & 7 & 29 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 19 & 48 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, gray; traces of gravel & 21 & 69 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Silt, brownish-gray, with some fine sand & 8 & 77 \\
\hline Sand, silty, brownish-gray & 6 & 83 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 7 & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Ph51-12 (Alt. 2.5 ft.) United Engrs. \& Constructors Pleistocene series}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, brown & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray & 6 & 8 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 4 & 12 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, gray and brown; gravel & 10 & 22 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 26 & 48 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam (?) sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, white & 12 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, medium & 9 & 9 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown and gray; gravel & 56 & 65 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray; gravel & 10 & 75 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray; some small gravel & 15 & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-14 (Alt. 7.8 ft.\()\) United Engrs. \& Constructors Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, brown & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown and gray; gravel & 58 & 62 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, medium gray; gravel & 12 & 74 \\
\hline Sand, silty, gray & 18 & 92 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Sand, fine gray & 17 & 109 \\
\hline Sand, silty, gray & 8 & 117 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 6 & 123 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Thickness
(feet) & Depth (feet) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-15 (Alt. 8.5 ft.) United Engrs. \& Constructors Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, brown & 3.5 & 3.5 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown and gray; gravel & 64 & 67.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray; seams of silt; gravel & 6 & 73.5 \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty, gray & 15 & 88.5 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 1 & 89.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-16 (Alt. 6.5 ft .) United Engrs. \& Constructors} \\
\hline Recent series & & \\
\hline Loam; sand, fine, brown & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown & 6 & 8 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 13 & 21 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 32 & 53 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown & 7 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ph51-17 (Alt. 9 ft.) United Engrs. \& Constructors} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Loarn; sand, coarse, brown & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, brown & 5 & 7 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; traces of gravel & 10 & 17 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, browni traces of clay & 6 & 23 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown & 4 & 27 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 33 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Ph5l-18 (Alt. 9 ft.) United Engrs. \& Constructors}} \\
\hline Recent series & & \\
\hline Loam; sand, coarse, brown & \(\iota\) & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg and} \\
\hline Sand, medium, brown & 6 & 8 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown & 9 & 17 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, brown; gravel & 43 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pj31-1 (Alt. 5 ft .) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill; sand, dry & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Sand, water-bearing & 6 & 8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Parsonsburg sand & & \\
\hline Sand, marshy formation & 9 & 17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pj31-1 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand, muddy, white & 64 & 81 \\
\hline Sand, yellow; gravel & 8 & 89 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay; sand; clay, sandy & 16 & 105 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam (?) sand} \\
\hline Silt; sand, fine; gravel, small & 35 & 140 \\
\hline Sand, and gravel, muddy, dark-gray & 12 & 152 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, dark-gray; clay, sandy; marl & 35 & 187 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, very fine to fine, silty, gray & 15 & 202 \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty, gray & 10 & 212 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{St. Marys formation} \\
\hline Silt, gray; clay balls & 8 & 220 \\
\hline Silt, gray; sand, very fine & 30 & 250 \\
\hline Silt, brown; sand, very fine; clay, brown & 14 & 264 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Choptank (?) formation} \\
\hline Sand, very fine, gray; silt & 96 & 360 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Calvert (?) formation} \\
\hline Silt; clay; sand, very fine, silty, layered; shell in layers & 143 & 503 \\
\hline Hard layer & 2 & 505 \\
\hline Hard layers of less than one foot in thickness at 440,445 , and 490 feet. & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pj31-2 (Alt. 5 ft .) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill; sand, dry & 2 & 2 \\
\hline Sand, water-bearing & 6 & 8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, and marshy formation & 9 & 17 \\
\hline Sand, muddy, white & 64 & 81 \\
\hline Sand, yellow; gravel & 8 & 89 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy; clay, yellow, white, and gray & 16 & 105 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty; gravel, small, white; water, yellow & 35 & 140 \\
\hline Sand, muddy, dark-gray; gravel & 12 & 152 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Pj42-1 (Alt. 6 ft.\()\) Ennis
Pleistocene series
Parsonsburg sand

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pj42-1 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand and gravel & 36 & 36 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, gray & 26 & 62 \\
\hline Sand, coarse; gravel & 28 & 90 \\
\hline Mud; gravel & 2 & 92 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, white and yellow & 52 & 144 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, green; sand, white and yellow & 7 & 151 \\
\hline Sand, green, yellow, and white; gravel & 4 & 155 \\
\hline Sand, green, yellow, and white & 8 & 163 \\
\hline Sand, green and white; clay & 16 & 179 \\
\hline Clay, gray and green; sand, white and green & 5 & 184 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, gray and white; wood & 66 & 250 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qd2l-5 (Alt. \(25 \mathrm{ft}\). ) Hatton} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, white & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Sand, brown & 1 & 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, white & 28 & 33 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, red & 11. & 44 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam (?) sand} \\
\hline Clay and gravel & 10 & 54 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, red; gravel & 22 & 76 \\
\hline Sand, fine, red & 4 & 80 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene series} \\
\hline Sand, coarse; gravel & 18 & 98 \\
\hline Sand, gray & 4 & 102 \\
\hline Clay, gray, soft & 0.6 & 102. \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qd21-6 (Alt. 25 ft ) Shannahan Art. Well Co. Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, gray & 17 & 17 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico (?) formation} \\
\hline Sand and gravel with clay streaks & 18 & 35 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, white & 5 & 40 \\
\hline Sand, hard; gravel & 16 & 56 \\
\hline Iron ore & - & 56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Thickness (feet) & Depth (feet) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qd21-6 (continued)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene or Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline Sand; gravel; iron ore & 7 & 63 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qd31-1 (Alt. 32 ft .) Wilkens} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Top soil, sandy (medium), dark-brown & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Parsonsburg sand & & \\
\hline Sand, medium, light-brown & 2 & 4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, brown and gray & 5 & 9 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, gray & 5 & 14 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, buff & 4 & 18 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, silty, yellow to orange & 7 & 25 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, clayey, yellow; pebbles & 4 & 29 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, yellow to orange; pebbles & 19 & 48 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, white and brown; pebbles & 21 & 69 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Brandywine formation} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, silty, brown & 25 & 94 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qd51-1 (Alt. 41 ft .) Wilkens} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Top soil & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium, brown & 3 & 5 \\
\hline Sand, medium, clayey, light-brown and gray & 5 & 10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium to very coarse, buff & 9 & 19 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, cream-colored & 20 & 39 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, white & 25 & 64 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, clayey, buff & 19 & 83 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh31-7 (Alt. 25 ft .) Ennis} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Sand; clay; gravel & 21 & 21 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, white and yellow; gravel & 7 & 28 \\
\hline Sand, white; gravel & 27 & 55 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline Pocomoke aquifer & & \\
\hline Sand, white; gravel; clay & 5 & 60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh31-7 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, white and yellow & 14 & 74 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh33-2 (Alt. \(22 \mathrm{ft)}\). White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Top soil & 0.7 & 0.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, very fine, orange & 3.3 & 4 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white, with brown irony streaks & 10 & 14 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, light-gray & 9 & 23 \\
\hline Sand, clay, buff; some gravel and wood & 7 & 30 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, white; sand, clayey & 9 & 39 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, very fine to very coarse, silty, yellow & 4 & 43 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white; sllt, gravel & 5 & 48 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, silty; orange & 2 & 50 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white; silt & 3 & 53 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, silty, white; gravel & 10 & 63 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh41-1 (Alt. 35 ft .) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill and top soil & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Clay, sandy & 2 & 4 \\
\hline Sand & 3 & 7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, brown & 5 & 12 \\
\hline Clay, light-gray; clay, sandy; sand, clayey & 43 & 55 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand and gravel, dirty, light-gray & 15 & 70 \\
\hline Sand, fine, and silt, light-gray & 11 & 81 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, mostly coarse, white; gravel, fine to coarse & 7 & 88 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, white & 8 & 96 \\
\hline Sand, fine to gravel, small & 15 & 111 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene series} \\
\hline Clay, gray & - & 111 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh44-1 (Alt. \(22 \mathrm{ft}\). ) Jordan} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Loam, sandy & 2.5 & 2.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Parsonsburg (?) sand & & \\
\hline Sand, poorly-borted, yellow & 1.5 & 4 \\
\hline Pamlico formation Silt and clay, gray & 8.5 & 12.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Thickness \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
14 & 74
\end{tabular}
Qh33-2 (Alt. 22 ft.) White
Recent series
    Top soil
    Parsonsburg sand
            Sand, very fine, orange 3.3
            Sand, fine, white, with brown irony streaks 1014
            Clay, sandy, light-gray 9
            Sand, clay, buff; some gravel and wood 70
            Clay, sandy, white; sand, clayey 943
Sand coarse, silty; orange50
Sand, fine, white; silt63
Qh41-1 (Alt. 35 ft.\()\) WhiteFill and top soil 22Parsonsburg sandClay, sandy4Pamlico formationlay, sandy, brown12Beaverdam sandSand and gravel, dirty, light-gray70Sand, fine to coarse, mostly coarse, white;gravel, fine to coarse96
Sand, fine to gravel, small111
h44-1 (Alt. 22 ft.) Jordan
2.5 ..... 5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 24.-- Continued & & \\
\hline & Thickness (feet) & Depth (feet) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh44-1 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, gray, some silt and wood & 9.5 & 22 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray & 2 & 24 \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty, gray & 3 & 27 \\
\hline Clay, woody, gray & 6 & 33 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, gray & 6 & 39 \\
\hline Clay, woody, gray-blue, and a little fine sand & 10 & 49 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Gravel and sand, light-gray & 4 & 53 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Sand, medium to coarse, some fine gravel, greenish-gray \\
28.5 \\
81.5
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Gravel & 1 & 82.5 \\
\hline Sand, medium, greenish-gray & 7.5 & 90 \\
\hline Gravel & 4 & 94 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(Possibly Cohansey sand with gravel follow-down)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper (?) aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, gray & 4 & 126 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, coarse to medium & 23 & 149 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty, clayey, blue-gray & 25 & 174 \\
\hline Clay, blue and sand & 16 & 190 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 18 & 208 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh5l-1 (Alt. 35 ft.\()\) M. Pentz Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Clay and sand, interbedded & 70 & 70 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, water-bearing & 10 & 80 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh51-7 (Alt. \(35 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill; top soil & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, clayey, yellow, brown, and white & 9 & 11 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, dark-gray & 7 & 18 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, light-gray & 15 & 33 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, silty, white; gravel, large & 27 & 60 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, light-gray & 13 & 73 \\
\hline Sand, clayey; gravel; silt & 7 & 80 \\
\hline Sand, very fine to very coarse, white; gravel; some silt & 20 & 100 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Table 24. -- Continued & Thickness (feet) & Depth (feet) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh51-7 (continued)} \\
\hline Miocene (?) series & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine; silt & - & 100 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh5l-10 (Alt. \(35 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill; top soil & 0.8 & 0.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Clay & 1.2 & 2 \\
\hline Sand, white & 4 & 6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, gray & 5 & 11 \\
\hline Clay, sandy (fine, white & 17 & 28 \\
\hline Sand, very fine, clayey, white & 5 & 33 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty & 23 & 56 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse; gravel; silt & 18 & 74 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse; some silt & 6 & 80 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse; some gravel; and sandetone, gray-green & 15 & 95 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse; some gravel; clay balls & 10 & 105 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh51-II (Alt. \(35 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Sand, loamy, black & 0.2 & 0.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, iron-cemented, hard & 4.8 & 5 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white & 5 & 10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, gray & 4 & 14 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray & 8 & 22 \\
\hline Clay, white & 3 & 25 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, cemented & 26 & 51 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine; silt; gravel & 34 & 85 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse; gravel & 2 & 87 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline Sand, very fine & 3 & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, fine to very coarse, water-bearing & 32 & 122 \\
\hline Lower ( ? ) aquiclude & & \\
\hline Clay, gray, hard & - & 122 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Qh5l-12 (Alt. 35 ft ) White
Recent aeries

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qh5 1-12 (continued)} \\
\hline Fill; top soil; clay & 1.5 & 1.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand & 17.5 & 19 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, soft & 10 & 29 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, very fine to coarse; silt! gravel, small to large} \\
\hline Sand, water-bearing & 12 & 100 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline Sand, very fine & - & 100 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qi34-1 (Alt. 12 ft .) Ennis} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Clay and sand & 20 & 20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene and Pliocene (?) series} \\
\hline Sand and clay, white and red & 43 & 63 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand & 21 & 84 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qi55-1 (Alt. 5 ft.) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill; top soil & 2 & 2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, red & 2 & 4 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, yellow & 3 & 7 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white; silt & 7 & 14 \\
\hline Sand, light-gray; ailt & 6 & 20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Silt, brown; pyrites & 6 & 26 \\
\hline Clay, blue-gray & 26 & 52 \\
\hline Sand, muddy, gray; gravel & 3 & 55 \\
\hline Sand, dirty, light-gray; silt & 17 & 72 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, buff; gravel; some silt and clay & & 79 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, light-gray; sand, coarse & 8 & 87 \\
\hline Silt; clay balls, gray; sand; gravel & 6 & 93 \\
\hline Sand, silty, buff & & 100 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white; silt & 6 & 106 \\
\hline Sand, coarse; gravel; some silt & 2 & 108 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, light-gray & 3 & 111 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24, -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline - & Thicknesa (feet) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qi55-1 (continued)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, white; gravel; some silt & 6 & 117 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qj22-1 (Alt. \(10 \mathrm{ft}\). ) N. K. Shannahan} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, brown and small gravel & 24 & 24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, green, and wood & 19 & 43 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, and gravel, fine & 22 & 65 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper aquiclude} \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 8 & 73 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, coarse, and gravel, fine & 33 & 106 \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray and white & 10 & 116 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray & 47 & 163 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray-white & 5 & 168 \\
\hline Sand, coarse, gray and white & 12 & 180 \\
\hline Clay, green, some sand and shell & 8 & 188 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qj32-1 (Alt. \(7 \mathrm{ft}\). ) Ennis} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent and Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, white and yellow & 10 & 10 \\
\hline Clay, blue & 1 & 11 \\
\hline Sand, white and yellow & 8 & 19 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Sand, green; clay & 16 & 35 \\
\hline Clay, blue; sand, green & 12 & 47 \\
\hline Clay, gray; some sand & 9 & 56 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, dark & 4 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, fine and coarse, mostly coarse, gray & 20 & 80 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qj32-6 (Alt. \(7 \mathrm{ft}\). ) Ennis} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Clay and sand & 5 & 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Parsonsburg sand Sand & 19 & 24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Thickness (feet) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qj32-6 (continued)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, blue & 13 & 37 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam (?) sand} \\
\hline Sand & 23 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qj32-7 (Alt. \(5 \mathrm{ft}\). ) N. Shannahan} \\
\hline Recent and Pleistocene series & & \\
\hline Sand & 10 & 10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, coarse & 12 & 22 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Mar1 & 8 & 30 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, gray, tough & 29 & 59 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, medium, gray & 12 & 71 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Qj32-9 (Alt. \(7 \mathrm{ft}\). ) ?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent and Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, beach & 30 & 30 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay & 23 & 53 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine & 7 & 60 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) formation} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay & 38 & 98 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Gravel*, gives flow of 50 gpm & 38 & 136 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay & 5 & 141 \\
\hline Sand, fine & 12 & 153 \\
\hline Clay, blue & 3 & 156 \\
\hline Sand, clay, and gravel & 36 & 192 \\
\hline Sand and clay & 18 & 210 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, fine & 44 & 254 \\
\hline Gravel*, flint, very coarse & 5 & 259 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Gravel*, water-bearing \\
(*Use of the term "gravel" by this deiller is open to some question. He does not use the term "coarse sand".)
\end{tabular} & 8 & 267 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued


Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & \(\underset{\text { (feet) }}{\text { Thickness }}\) (feet) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rg22-1 (continued)} \\
\hline brown clay with organic matter. (cored 7 to 9 ft .) & 7 & 12 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, subrounded to rounded, fairly sorted, light-gray; occasional thin bands of blue clay. (cored 12 to 14 ft .)} \\
\hline Clay, silty, black; some sand, fine, rounded. (cored 16 to 18 ft .) & 4 & 18 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, fine, subrounded to rounded, clayey, fairly sorted, dark brown; some mafic minerals. (cored \(18 \mathrm{l} / 2\) to 21 ft .)} \\
\hline Sand, quartz, fine, subrounded to rounded, wellsorted, gray; some mafic minerals. (cored 22 to 24 ft .) & 4 & 26 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Clay, silty, blue-gray; quartz sand, fine, subrounded to rounded; some muscovite. (cored 28 to 30 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine, very silty and clayey, poorly sorted, dark gray-blue; some muscovite and mafic minerals. (cored 42 to 44 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Sand, quartz, coarse to medium, rounded to wellrounded, fairly sorted, brown; some granules, chert and muscovite. \\
(cored 54 to 55 ft .)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Clay, green; sand, quartz, fine to medium, subrounded, fairly sorted; some muscovite and biotite. (cored 73 to 74 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, medium, subrounded to rounded, poorly sorted, blue-greent some granules and pebblea; some muscovite and mafic minerals. (cored 74 to 75 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, medium, aubrounded, poorly sorted, light-gray; some muscovite, biotite, and mafic minerals. (cored 104 to \(106 \mathrm{ft}_{*}\) )} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, medium, subrounded, fairly sorted, gray; some mafic minerals and chert; some very thin bands of clay, green. (cored 124 to 126 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene ( \(?\) ) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline Clay, gray; some fine quartz sand. (cored) & 1 & 136 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, subrounded, fairly sorted, gray; some mafic minerals and muscovite. (cored 136 to 137 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, subrounded to rounded, very silty and clayey, poorly sorted,} \\
\hline blue-gray; some muscovite, chlorite, and mafic minerals. (ditch 155 ft .) & 10 & 160 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{cr} 
Thickness & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Depth \\
(feet)
\end{tabular} \\
(feet)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rg22-1 (continued)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, blue; with a little sand, fine, quartz. (cored 165 to 167 ft .) Some 1ignite (ditch 163 ft .) & 17 & 177 \\
\hline Sand, fine & 8 & 185 \\
\hline Clay & 4 & 189 \\
\hline Sand, fine & 10 & 199 \\
\hline Clay & 3 & 202 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Manokin aquifer} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, subrounded to rounded, poorly sorted, grays some muscovite} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rg23-1 (Alt. \(39 \mathrm{ft}\). )} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, rounded, clayey, fairly sorted, black} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, rounded, clayey, fairly sorted, Light-gray; some muscovite} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, rounded, clean, well-sorted, gray; some mafic minerals. (cored 2.5 to \(3 \mathrm{ft}_{7}\) )} \\
\hline Silt, brown; some quartz sand, fine, subrounded, well-sorted; much plant matter. (core) & 0.5 & 7. \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Pleistocene series \\
Parsonsburg sand
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine, rounded, fairly sorted, lightgray; some mafic minerala and muscovite. (cored 7.5 to 8 ft .)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Clay, gray; some plant matter \\
(cored 13 to 15 ft .)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray. (cored) & 1 & 17 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, subrounded to rounded, well-sorted, light-gray; some mafic minerals. (cored)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, very fine, subrounded to rounded, very silty, and clayey, fairly sorted; some mafic minerals. (cored 21 to 23 ft )} \\
\hline Sand, with clay lenses & 5 & 31 \\
\hline Sill, clayey, sandy, fine, blue-gray. (cored) & 1 & 32 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, quartz, fine to medium, rounded, fairly sorted, light-gray; some mafic grains. (cored)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Sand, quartz, coarse, greenish-white, with thin \\
lenses of clay, blue-gray
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline Sand, quartz, coarse to medium, rounded, fairly sorted, greenish-gray; some granules, muscovite, & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rg23-1 (continued)} \\
\hline and mafic minerals. (cored 41 to 43 ft .) & 12 & 50 \\
\hline Gravel, and granules, quartz with some chert; matrix of clay, sandy, gray. (cored 51 to 52 ft .) & 2 & 52 \\
\hline Sand, quartz, medium, poorly sorted, greenishgray; some granules, pebbles, thin clay bands, muscovite and ferromagnesian. (cored 52 to 53 ft .) & 10 & 62 \\
\hline Gravel, granules, quartz with some chert; matrix of gray clay with some fine sand & 1 & 63 \\
\hline Sand, quartz, medium to coarse, subrounded to rounded, poorly sorted, greenish-gray, some mafic minerals. (cored 63 to 64 ft .) & 21 & 84 \\
\hline Gravel, pebble, quartz, chert, and sandstone; some clay, gray. (cored) & 2 & 86 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, quartz, greenish-gray & 18 & 104 \\
\hline Gravel, pebble, quartz, chert, and quartzite. (cored) & 2 & 106 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, quartz, greenish-gray, some pebbles & 14 & 120 \\
\hline Clay & 4 & 124 \\
\hline Sand, quartz, medium, some granules, subrounded, poorly sorted, light-gray; some muscovite, dust and mafic minerals. (cored 124 to 126 ft .) & 19 & 143 \\
\hline Sand, quartz, coarse to medium, some granules, subrounded to rounded, poorly sorted, gray; some chert and muscovite. (cored 145 to 147 ft .) & 6 & 149 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower (?) aquiclude} \\
\hline Sand, quartz, fine, subrounded, well-sorted, gray; some mafic minerals. (cored 165 to 167 ft .) & 27 & 176 \\
\hline Manokin (?) aquifer & & \\
\hline Sand, quartz, medium, subangular to subrounded, poorly sorted, gray; some mafic minerals. (cored 186 to 188 ft .) & 12 & 188 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rg35-1 (Alt. \(35 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill: top soil & 1.5 & 1. \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, brown and yellow & 1.5 & 3 \\
\hline Sand, fine, white, and clay, light-gray, in streaks & 4 & 7 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, brown & 6 & 13 \\
\hline Sand, clayey, gray & 5 & 18 \\
\hline Sand, quick, dark-gray & 5 & 23 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, gray & 14 & 37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & (fe & eet \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rg35-1 (continued)} \\
\hline Silt and sand, clayey, light-gray & 7 & 44 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine, white; silt, some gravel & 18 & 62 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse; silt & 8 & 70 \\
\hline Sand, silty, yellow; gravel & 8 & 78 \\
\hline Sand, fine to coarse, cream-colored; gravel; pebbles & 7 & 85 \\
\hline Sand, fine, clayey, gray-white & 11 & 96 \\
\hline Sand, fine to very coarse, white; gravel, small to large; some silt; water-bearing & 22 & 118 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, very fine, white; silt; clay balls & 5 & 123 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rhl5-1 (Alt. 30 ft .) Ennis ?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand and Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay & 6 & 6 \\
\hline Sand & 24 & 30 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Upper aquiclude} \\
\hline Sand, blue & 30 & 60 \\
\hline Clay, black & 30 & 90 \\
\hline Clay, black; sand & 6 & 96 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, white and gray & 29 & 125 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rh22-1 (Alt. 39 ft .) Wilkens} \\
\hline Recent series & & \\
\hline Top soil & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium, brown & 1.5 & 2 \\
\hline Sand, medium, silty, gray & 4 & 6 \\
\hline Sand, fine, silty, gray-brown; black particles & 6.5 & 12.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Clay, sandy (fine), gray & 1 & 13.5 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, bluish-gray & 4 & 17.5 \\
\hline Clay, sandy, bluish-gray & 1.5 & 19 \\
\hline Sand, medium, clayey, light-gray & 5 & 24 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, silty, light-gray & 10 & 34 \\
\hline Silt, sandy (fine), light-gray & & 35 \\
\hline Clay, gray, stiff & 7 & 42 \\
\hline Sand, medium, silty, gray & 1.5 & 43.5 \\
\hline Clay, gravelly, stiff; sand, coarse, gray & 3 & 46.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rh22-1 (continued)} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, light-gray & 20.5 & 67 \\
\hline Gravel & 2 & 69 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, silty, light.gray & 5 & 74 \\
\hline Sand, fine to medium, light-gray; white and black particles & 5 & 79 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sand, medium to coarse, light-gray; white and black particles} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rh32-1 (Alt. 35 ft .) M. Pentz} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Sand and loam & 40 & 40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleistocene series} \\
\hline Sand & 10 & 50 \\
\hline Sand, clayey & 35 & 85 \\
\hline Sand and gravel & 10 & 95 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Rj32-5 (Alt. \(10 \mathrm{ft}\). ) White} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent series} \\
\hline Fill; sand, dry & 2.5 & 2. 5 \\
\hline Sand; decayed vegetation & 1.5 & 4 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Recent or Pleistocene series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Parsonsburg sand} \\
\hline Sand, medium, water-bearing & 6 & 10 \\
\hline Sand, fine, gray & 10 & 20 \\
\hline Sand, yellow; pyrites & 20 & 40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pamlico formation} \\
\hline Sand, gray, containing salty water & 4 & 44 \\
\hline Clay, gray & 4 & 48 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Beaverdam sand} \\
\hline Sand, fine to very coarse; gravel; water-bearing & 32 & 80 \\
\hline Sand and clay balls & 4 & 84 \\
\hline Sand, water-bearing & 8 & 92 \\
\hline Sand, and gravel, water-bearing & 10 & 102 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Miocene (?) series} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Cohansey sand} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pocomoke aquifer} \\
\hline Sand, very fine, silty, mushy & 5 & 107 \\
\hline Sand, medium to coarse, white; gravel; containing water of poor quality & 9 & 116 \\
\hline Sand, dark-gray; gravel & 5 & 121 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Lower aquiclude} \\
\hline Clay, sandy, light-gray & 5 & 126 \\
\hline Sand, very fine, compacted & 23 & 149 \\
\hline Sand, very fine, dirty & 21 & 170 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 24. -- Continued
\begin{tabular}{crc} 
Rj32-5 (continued) & (feet) & (feet) \\
Clay, sandy & 5 & 175 \\
Clay, gray & 12 & 187 \\
Manokin aquifer & 53 & 240 \\
Sand, very fine, cemented & 5 & 245 \\
Sand, fine, loose & 2 & 247 \\
Sand, fine; bits of wood & 30 & 277 \\
Sand, very fine, cemented & 10 & 287
\end{tabular}
240Sand, fine, looseSand, very fine, cernented10287```

