

779
COPY
Nov. 6, 1950
MLD

8 NOV 1950

Mr. Heineman

Cl. Russensmith

THE MEXICAN LIGHT & POWER COMPANY, LIMITED
2A, Calle de Gante No. 20
MEXICO, D.F.

November 2, 1950.

My dear Mr. Bachrach:

I have your letter of October 31 acknowledging my personal note of October 26. I had heard from Mr. Lorie that Mr. Heineman will now not be returning to New York until between November 10 and 15.

The enclosures to your letter I will deliver to Mr. Lawson, who I think is enjoying his week stay here. He is leaving Sunday morning for Chicago and New York and you will undoubtedly see him in New York before he flies to London, which I understand he is planning to do around the 8th of November.

Mr. Wilmers had written me about Mr. Norman's visit to London, etc., and made available to me the material which you transmit with your letter of October 31 to me for Mr. Lawson.

There is of course no doubt that the Bloomfield you mention is the Meyer Bloomfield who is a Montreal attorney and who acted ostensibly for Werner Behr in the Mexlight reorganization. When I was last in London all the information available to us from reliable sources indicated that Behr could not be acting for himself and we had the definite feeling at the time that in one way or another he was acting for March through Horace Samuel and Salamon. When Bloomfield appeared on the scene in Toronto in February, ostensibly acting for Behr, I don't think there were any of us who had any illusions but that he was acting for March through Behr. This little man was only an instrument for Horace Samuel and Salamon and in my mind there is no doubt that Samuel and Behr were acting for March.

On the other hand I do not believe that March took too much interest in this Mexlight matter, but because of his association with Horace Samuel, whom he had used in the past, and more indirectly with Salamon, March told them that if they could make any trouble in the Mexlight matter all the better and that he would defray their expenses. Samuel and Salamon used Behr as their cat's-paw, as they both had achieved a certain degree of respectability in London and did not wish to prejudice themselves too much in the Mexlight matter, so they used this little man Behr.

When, after the Toronto meetings, Samuel died suddenly in London, the brains behind it all was gone and March obviously did not feel that it was worth while pursuing a matter in which the vote of every class of the security holders had been either unanimous or overwhelmingly favorable.

- 2 -

All this is water under the bridge so far as Mexlight is concerned.

I read with much interest these documents covering Norman's visit to London and the conversations there, and appreciate Mr. Wilmers and you having made them available to me. I am sending a copy of this letter to Mr. Wilmers.

With all good wishes,

Cordially and sincerely yours,

(SIGNED) G. S. Messersmith